

The Washington Post

'Buy American' vs. 'Why American?'

By Allan Sloan
Tuesday, October 13, 2009

I've written a lot about car companies since I started covering business in the 1960s, but I've written very little about cars, because they just don't interest me much.

So I was surprised -- and fascinated -- by the volume and passion of e-mails and online posts responding to last week's column about my buying an Asian-nameplate SUV without considering an American vehicle, despite having bought American almost exclusively since the '60s. I didn't trust General Motors, which orphaned my family's three Saturns, thus reducing their value; I didn't consider Chrysler financially viable; and I didn't look at a Ford because of a horrible experience my wife had with a Ford decades ago.

My most interesting e-mail came from Alan Mulally, Ford's chief executive, whom I had never met. He wrote: "Your thoughts resonated with me and are the reasons why I joined Ford three years ago. It is all about the customer and a long-term commitment to design, produce and support the very best vehicles in the world.

"I would welcome the opportunity to share with you our new Ford product line-up," he continued, going on to praise the vehicles. "And we would have fun!"

I called him, and we did have fun. He talked about feeling privileged to have a chance to help such an iconic firm, and he listened patiently to my story of how Ford sold my wife an imported Cortina in 1968, wouldn't help when we had trouble with it, and secretly told dealers they could return their Cortina parts inventory for full credit, making it difficult to get the car serviced or repaired.

He didn't say the magic words "We're sorry," but he did want to hear what happened. Now that someone at Ford has finally listened to me, my boycott is over. Next time, I'll consider Ford (though not Chrysler or GM unless something changes), provided that Ford continues to seem financially viable.

My other letters were split roughly 50-50 between people who wondered why I'd bought so many American cars and people

Advertisement

30-Year Fixed Rate
\$200K loan for \$1,060/mo.

866-945-4410

*Rates can change. Act now!

Quicken Loans

The Easiest Way to Get a Home Loan®

http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2009/10/12/AR2009101202983_pf.html

Print Powered By  FormatDynamics™

The Washington Post

'Buy American' vs. 'Why American?'

who called me an economic traitor for buying an Asian-branded vehicle.

Here are excerpts, edited for clarity, and my comments.

"I guess I'm confused. You are boycotting GM because they decided to end the Saturn line. However, later in the article you say they lost \$20 billion over 20 years. So you are [angry] that an American company recognized that it had a failing business model and decided to quit losing money? What would you rather they do? Continue to make cars and sell them at a loss?"

-- R.B., Centennial, Colo.

A fair question. GM's job is to take care of GM, my job is to take care of my family. GM didn't even bother to say "sorry" when it orphaned millions of Saturn, Pontiac, Oldsmobile and Hummer owners, or to offer us something (such as discounts on a vehicle from New GM) to show it valued us. Since GM doesn't care about me as a customer and could well orphan today's buyers, given its shaky finances, why should I trust it with an investment that costs more than my first house did?

"If you don't support American companies, then America will never grow. Yes, there are employees here in the U.S. building the parts for [your new] vehicle, but the bottom line is that the profit for this vehicle went to Asia. How does that help the bottom line for the

United States?"

-- J.K., Warrenton, Va.

"The profit from your so-called American SUV [assembled in Canada with a U.S.-built engine] could have stayed here in America. What do your family and friends (if you have any) think about you buying a foreign SUV?"

-- TAD, Glen Burnie, Md.

A link that someone posted on Fortune.com showed that my new ride had more North American content than a Saturn Vue, the car I traded in. GM had no profits on Saturns. My family and friends wonder why I'd stuck with U.S. brands for so long.

"I am currently on my fifth Saturn, and all have run well and required little maintenance. But Saturn eliminated [U.S.-made] cars after 2007, and went with re-badged Mexican Opels. So, frankly, I don't know what my next

Advertisement

Save Money this Fall
with **FREE**
SAMPLES

Sign up to receive
FREE Samples of
Name Brand Products

FreeSampleValues.com

QualityHealth™

http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2009/10/12/AR2009101202983_pf.html

Print Powered By FormatDynamics™

The Washington Post

'Buy American' vs. 'Why American?'

car will be. I don't think it will be from Government Motors."

-- B.A., Longmont, Colo.

"Chances are that the Asian nameplate SUV you recently purchased was made in Tennessee or Kentucky or Indiana or Ohio by American workers whose employer pays U.S. federal, state, and local taxes, supports other American businesses, and sponsors charities and local softball teams. Why would you consider such a vehicle an import? From my perspective, there is no virtue (only mindlessness) in buying American for the sake of buying American, particularly if the American-made product is inferior or more expensive."

-- Dan Ikenson,

Cato Institute, Washington

So is a vehicle made largely outside North America by a U.S. company more of an American car than a vehicle made largely in North America but sold by an Asian company? I don't know, but it's something to think about.

Scott Cendrowski of Fortune assisted with this column. Allan Sloan is Fortune magazine's senior editor at large. His e-mail address is asloan@fortunemail.com.

Advertisement



Send flowers
for any occasion

Bouquets \$19⁹⁹
from 19^{+s/h}

ProFlowers[®]
Order ONLY at
proflowers.com/happy
or call 1-877-888-0688

http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2009/10/12/AR2009101202983_pf.html

Print Powered By  FormatDynamics™