



The first completely customisable news site on the web 133 years in print

Search Advanced Search



Monday 12 October 2009

Search ir	The Statesman Web	monday, 12 Cotobor 2000
	Home Classified Jobs Matrimonials Archives Advertis	se Feedback About Us
News	[Archives]	News Flash
Page one	Back to archives for	X
India World	[Perspective]———	
Editorial		
Perspective		
Business	Populists get it wrong	
Sport		
Bengal	DANIEL GRISWOLD	Login
Magazine		Username
Sports & Leisure		Osemanie
Career & Campus	Tune in to cable TV, talk radio, or the blogosphere and you will soon be hit over the head with the message that free trade is destroying America. According to the economic populists on the left and right, the wages, jobs, and futures of Main	Password
Science & Technology		Login
Voices		New user? Sign-in

Tune in to cable TV, talk radio, or the blogosphere and you will soon be hit over the head with the message that free trade is destroying America. According to the economic populists on the left and right, the wages, jobs, and futures of Mair Street Americans are being sacrificed daily to the gods of globalisation. On trade, as on so much else, the populists have it wrong again. Free trade and globalisation are great blessings to American families. Trade is delivering lower prices and more variety to consumers, especially the poor, while creating better paying jobs for the middle-class. Beyond US shores, the spread of economic openness is building a more peaceful, democratic and humane world for our children.

Now may seem an odd moment to tout the benefits of trade for Main Street America. After all, unemployment is nearing 10 percent, manufacturing is in a slump, and global poverty is rising. But those are all the result of the current recession, a downturn that was not caused by trade but by misguided monetary and housing policies that were "Made in the USA."

During difficult economic times, import competition allows American families to keep their heads above water by delivering lower prices on staples such as food, clothing, and shoes. The prices Americans pay for goods exposed to global trade tend to rise more slowly than inflation or even fall. The expansion of product variety alone from trade delivers an estimated \$400 billion a year in benefits to American families because of increased consumer satisfaction, according to a 2004 study by Christian Broda and David E Weinstein for the

National Bureau of Economic Research.

No consumers benefit proportionally more from trade than the poor, and nobody suffers more from existing trade barriers. The imported fresh fruit and vegetables, T-shirts and discounted sneakers sold at big-box retailers loom especially large in the budgets of poor and middle-class families.

Perversely, the highest remaining US trade barriers are aimed at products that are disproportionately made by poor people abroad and consumed by poor

are disproportionately made by poor people abroad and consumed by poor people at home. The \$25 billion the US government collects each year through import tariffs is the most regressive tax in the federal arsenal. According to a study by the Progressive Policy Institute, a single mother earning \$20,000 a year pays a much higher share of her income for import duties than a manager earning \$100,000 a year. Labour unions and other groups that oppose tariff-lowering trade agreements are unwittingly serving a status quo that is punishing the poor.

Despite what the populists say, the consumer benefits of trade have not come at the expense of jobs or wages. As a candidate for President in 2007, Barack Obama was echoing CNN's Lou Dobbs when he told a cheering union crowd in Chicago that importing lower-priced T-shirts from developing countries was not worth the loss of jobs. "People don't want a cheaper T-shirt if they're

North East Page Orissa Plus

Lifestyle

Kolkata Plus

Bengal Plus

Unplugged

Viewpoint

Note Book

N.B & Sikkim Plus

Entertainment

NB Extra

World Focus







1 of 3

losing a job in the process," he said. "They would rather have a job and pay a little bit more for a T-shirt."

Like most politicians, candidate Obama chose to represent a small but noisy special interest at the expense of the large majority of Americans. Only one-third of one percent of American workers are engaged in making clothing and textiles of any kind. That compares to the virtually 100 percent of Americans who buy and wear T-shirts and other clothing. If Americans are forced to pay higher prices because of import restrictions, a small number of jobs would be "saved" but at a huge cost to working families.

Across the entire US economy, trade accounts for a small share of job displacement. For every worker displaced by trade or outsourcing, 30 American workers typically lose their jobs because of other factors ~ most commonly technological change, but also domestic competition and changing consumer tastes. Think of the 30,000 workers at Kodak that have been laid off in the past five years, not because of imports, but because of the popularity of digital cameras and plunging film sales. My former calling, the newspaper business, has been haemorrhaging jobs since 2001, not because of unfair trade, but because of Craigslist and changing reader habits. "Job churn" is a fact of life in a dynamic, open economy.

A Big Lie of the trade debate is that we have been surrendering middle-class manufacturing jobs for low-paying service jobs. Since the early 1990s, the US economy has lost more than three million manufacturing jobs, but during that same period the economy has added 18 million service-sector jobs that are typically better paying. In fact, since 1991, two-thirds of the net new jobs created in US economy are in sectors such as healthcare, education, and business and professional service where the average pay is higher than in manufacturing.

The American middle-class today earns its keep from better-paying service sector jobs. Knock on doors in a typical middle-class American neighbourhood and you will meet teachers, managers, carpenters, architects, engineers, computer specialists, truck drivers, accountants and auditors, police officers and fire fighters, insurance and real estate agents, registered nurses and other healthcare professionals, and self-employed business owners.

Beyond American shores, falling trade barriers and the spread of technology have created a more prosperous, democratic, and peaceful world. Again, this may seem a counter-intuitive argument in the face of daily headlines about a global recession and ongoing violence in West Asia and Africa, but here too we need to step back and assess long-term trends.

The past three decades of expanding trade and globalisation have witnessed dramatic global progress. Between 1981 and 2005, the share of the world's population living on the equivalent of \$1.25 a day dropped by half, from 52 to 25 percent, according to the World Bank. In China alone, the number in absolute poverty fell by 600 million. During this same period, real gains have been made in life expectancy, infant survival, nutrition, and literacy. Child labour rates have fallen by more than half. It is not a coincidence that the most dramatic gains against poverty have occurred in those countries that have most aggressively opened themselves to the global economy.

Meanwhile, as a global middle-class has emerged, so too have more democratic forms of government. Trade has spread tools of communication and spurred the growth of civil society as an alternative to centralised government. As a result, the share of the world's population living in countries that respect civil liberties and the right to vote has climbed from 35 percent in 1973 to 46 percent today, according to Freedom House.

Fewer people are dying in wars today than in past decades, in large part because commerce has replaced military competition. Global commerce has allowed nations to gain access to resources through trade rather than conquest, while deeper economic integration has brought former enemies together and raised the cost of war. Even with the ongoing conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan, young American adults living today are far less likely to fight and die in wars than their counterparts in the 1940s, '50s and '60s.

America and the world face daunting tasks today, as in generations past, but expanding trade is part of the solution, not part of the problem. Americans should have the same warm feelings toward free trade and globalisation as they do toward iPods, e-mail, online shopping, a well-fed child going off to school, and peace on earth.



10/12/2009 2:47 PM

The writer is director of the Center for Trade Policy Studies at the Cato Institute in Washington and author of the new Cato book, "Mad about Trade: Why Main Street America Should Embrace Globalisation".

Reprinted with permission from YaleGlobal Online

Serious investors should know what the secret debt solution is ... For The Special Report.
Feedback - Ads by Google UncommonWisdomDaily.com

3 of 3 10/12/2009 2:47 PM