



- [Home](#)
- [Orders and Opinions](#)
- [Commentary and Analysis](#)
- [New Filings](#)
- [Petitions to Watch](#)
- [Term Tracker](#)

[RSS Feed](#)

## [Podcasts: McDonald v. City of Chicago](#) [Arguments from five perspectives](#)

Erin Miller | Tuesday, March 2nd, 2010 10:15 am

Continuing our series of five-minute podcasts on oral argument days, we have two new podcasts below with counsel in [McDonald v. City of Chicago](#) (08-1521), in which the Court will consider whether the Second Amendment's individual right to keep and bear arms should be incorporated against state and local government through either the Privileges or Immunities Clause or the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. Because the approaches to incorporation are so different, and more than fifty *amicus* briefs were filed in the case, we are including five podcasts to capture the full scope of argument.

**For the petitioners**, their counsel:



[Alan Gura](#) of Gura & Possessky, PLLC, counsel to the Second Amendment Foundation

The Second Amendment Foundation's brief is [here](#).

**In support of the petitioners**, arguing for incorporation through the Privileges or Immunities Clause:

[Ilya Shapiro](#) of the Cato Institute

The *amicus* brief of the Cato Institute and Pacific Legal Foundation is [here](#).

**In support of the respondents**, arguing against incorporation:

[Charles Dyke](#) of Nixon Peabody LLP, representing the Board of Education of the City of Chicago, the Institute of Medicine of Chicago, Wayman African Methodist Episcopal Church of Chicago, the Illinois Council Against Handgun Violence, et al.

The *amicus* brief for the Board of Education of the City of Chicago et al. is [here](#).

**In support of the respondents**, arguing for incorporation through the Due Process Clause (if at all):

[Leonard Niehoff](#) of Butzel Long, PC, representing the Anti-Defamation League

*Note:* See 2:47 of this podcast for the argument on the Due Process Clause.

The Anti-Defamation League's *amicus* brief is [here](#).

**In support of neither party**, arguing that the Court should consider the public interest in gun control laws:

[Jon Lowy](#) of the Brady Center to Prevent Gun Violence

The Brady Center's *amicus* brief supporting neither party is [here](#).

Subscribe to the [SCOTUSblog](#) feed on iTunes to receive our latest podcasts regularly.

[Email this](#) • [Share on Facebook](#) • [Share on LinkedIn](#) • [Digg This!](#)

## No Comments

No comments yet.

Sorry, the comment form is closed at this time.

- links open new windows

### Breaking News



[3 new opinions: Johnson, Reed Elsevier, Mac's Shell Service](#)



[Kiyemba remanded 3/1](#)

### Court Calendar

request "Unable to connect to MySQL server."

Click a date for more details

- Oral Arguments
- Private Conferences
- Orders and/or Opinions
- Court-Related Events

### Recent Posts

- [Analysis: 2d Amendment extension likely](#)
- [Full texts of today's opinions](#)
- [Sex offender registration under SORNA](#)
- [Podcasts: \*McDonald v. City of Chicago\*](#)
- [LiveBlog: Opinions | 3.2.10](#)
- [Tuesday round-up](#)
- [Today at the Court](#)
- [A skeptical Court turns again to \*Bivens\* actions](#)

### This Week at the Court

- [Berghuis v. Thompkins](#)
- [Holland v. Florida](#)
- [Skilling v. U.S.](#)
- [McDonald v. Chicago](#)
- [Hui v. Castaneda](#)
- [Samantar v. Yousuf](#)

Also visit our Wiki covering merits cases and statistics for OT07-09

Search for:

### Archives

### Authors