Receive the nation's top News and Opinion, at the top of each business day.



# The Washington Times

Subscribe RSS Mobile Headlines e-edition E-MAIL ALERTS | REGISTER | LOG IN

Front Page Image | Classifieds | Autos | Real Estate | Jobs | Special Sections | Customer Service Home Opinion Sports Culture Communities **Rebate Shopping** 

**Editorials** Commentary Columns Water Cooler Letters Cartoons Books

**POLITICS** oth sides seek to rewrite stimulus law



POLITICS lealth care bill rekindles ortion battle



POLITICS reasury fails on transparency

Rate this story

Login or register to rate this story

Share



POLITICS lew issues push Iraq off radar Obama, press

Search

Home » Opinion » Commentary

# RAHN: Fraudulent tax revenue forecasts



chard W. Rahn

V York City decided to increase its ette tax by another \$5 per pack, would n more or less revenue from the extra

e you answer the question, you should that New York City already has the st combined state-local tax rate of

\_\_\_\_ per pack while the national average state tax is \$1.34 per pack, and South Carolina has the lowest tax in the nation of only 7 cents per pack. The bet is that New York would receive less rather than more tax revenue, because some smokers would

decide to quit and many others would acquire their cigarettes legally or illegally from low-tax states (New York already has an enormous cigarette smuggling problem). The citizens, and even most of the politicians in New York City, understand that their cigarette tax is already too high to maximize tax revenue, but they argue the high tax discourages smoking and thus is justified on that basis.

The point is, you don't have to be an economist to understand that if you tax something, you tend to get less of it. Thus it makes good fiscal sense to tax products perceived as harmful, such as tobacco and alcohol, up to the point where the tax becomes so high that people engage in large-scale smuggling or produce the product themselves - moonshine stills, etc. Responsible government officials understand the behavioral response to tax rates and thus adjust their tax revenue forecasts to consider the economic disincentive effects as well as the tax avoidance and/or evasion effects.

However, many government officials like to pretend that the effects of tax rates on the willingness of people to purchase goods or services, or work, save and invest are far less than the empirical and historical evidence shows. The Obama administration is now engaging in this type of misrepresentation and forecast fraud, which will result in much higher deficits and lower levels of economic growth and job creation than it claims.

## REGISTER

Sign up for Email Alerts

# Mortgage APRs Hit 3.62%



Last spring, the US government launched a housing relief program, designed to help 7-9 million homeowners, but so far only 85,000 homeowners have used the program to refinance. Many homeowners mistakenly think they are not eligible. If you are a homeowner and you haven't looked into refinancing recently, you may be surprised at how much you can save.

## Calculate New House Payment

Select State: Alabama

LowerMyBills.com


# Top Stories

### Most Read

- 1. DEMINT: White House land grab
- 2. DEMINT: White House land grab
- 3. Lawmakers cry 'jobs' to push through bills
- 4. Supreme Court appears set to widen gun rights
- 5. EDITORIAL: Party time at Veterans

More Top Stories »

Most Shared Most Commented



## Walk out with the tools to own a home

## IT'S INFORMATIVE.

Find out how to: determine your price range qualify for the \$8,000 government tax credit pick a great neighborhood

#### IT'S FREE. Join us for lunch and get a free gift just for attending!

## SATURDAY MARCH 6 @ NOON

HOSTED BY



CLICK HERE FOR DETAILS

BOOK REVIEW: A shady lawyer's bad business

GUPTA: Candidates kicking the TV ad addiction

RANDAZZO: Bulldozing the dream

GORIN: The blackmail of America

To understand how serious this type of fraud is, think about how government officials would react if a company forecast a huge increase in revenues - and sent press releases of the forecast to the investment community, but failed to reveal that this forecast was based on the intention to double the prices for the company's products while assuming it will not reduce demand. Such actions by company officials would be considered irresponsible misrepresentation, for which they could be held legally liable.

Economists engage in endless debate about the long-run revenue-maximizing rates for any tax, whether it is a cigarette, gasoline, general sales, property or income tax. All of these taxes have been raised and lowered many times at the federal, state and local levels, so there is a good deal of empirical evidence about what the effects are likely to be. For instance, economists do know that taxes on capital (interest, dividends, capital gains) tend to be the most destructive because capital is the "seed corn" necessary for economic growth and job creation, while low-rate taxes on consumption do scant economic harm.

There is little dispute among economists that an increase in the tax on labor from 10 percent to 15 percent would bring in almost 50 percent more revenue (there would only be a small behavioral effect). There is also little dispute, at least among knowledgeable tax economists, that increasing the income tax rate on upper income people from 50 percent to 70 percent will, over the long run, bring in no additional tax revenue and eventually will lead to a loss in revenue.

Despite this knowledge, the Obama administration and the congressional Democrats are proposing a series of tax surcharges and deduction phase-outs in the health care bill on people making more than \$200,000 per year. These surcharges, along with the proposed elimination of the "Bush" tax cuts at the end of this year, as well as state and local income taxes, will cause many Americans to face tax rates well over 50 percent and some in the 60 percent range or even higher. Punishing productive work and investment by imposing such high tax rates has been shown time and time again to result in less investment, economic growth, job creation and ultimately, lower tax revenues.

In addition, the Senate just passed a "jobs bill" that is now being considered by the House, which would make it much more expensive to do business in the United States by imposing either a 30 percent withholding tax or very costly and intrusive regulatory requirements on foreign financial institutions. This will divert global capital elsewhere, thus reducing job creation in the U.S. rather than increasing it. The failure to recognize and admit to the fact that productive capital can move around the globe almost at the speed of light and will not stay where it is punished by either excessive taxation or regulation is as criminal as business executives providing false information.

Reasonable people can disagree about the negative effects of tax rates up to a point - but neglect and/or denial of evidence is misrepresentation. The sum of the tax rate increases on productive labor and capital now before Congress may not kill the U.S. economy but will leave it very sick - and ultimately, with less tax revenue. If corporate executives can be sued for misrepresentation, should the people not have the right to sue government officials for providing phony tax revenue and spending numbers?

Richard W. Rahn is a senior fellow at the Cato Institute and chairman of the Institute for Global Economic Growth.



Post a comment

There are 3 comments on this article, submit your opinion!

## Please login or register to post a comment

Advertising Links

Ads by Google MA in Diplomacy

New York Diplomacy

Igbo Foreign Service

Diplomacy

Foreign Policy



Pheromones:
Are bending the laws of attraction and sexual chemistry. Learn more

Ads by Adblade ITM



Do Wrinkle Creams Work? Beauty User ranks the top 3 wrinkle creams. More than 82% noticed less-visible wrinkles... Learn more



How to Stop Joint Pain! Suffering with joint pain? Click here. Shocking joint relief discovery by Cambridge, MA researchers... Learn more

Buy a link here

Listen to Washington Times Radio

America's Morning News with John McCaslin

Question of the day

#### What was your favorite Super Bowl ad?

O Hyundai's "50-year-old Brett Favre wins MVP"

Google's "Impress a French Girl"

C Snickers' "Betty White/Abe Vigoda tackle football"

C Focus on the Family's "Tim Tebow and his

O Other

SUBMIT

Blogs & Columns

On the Fly

RAHN: Fraudulent tax revenue forecas...

TWT Store TWT Affiliates

e-edition Print Edition Weekly Washington Times Middle East Times Golf UPI Arbor Ballroom

Washington Times Global

About TWT | Press Room | F.A.Q. | Work for TWT | Advertise | Sponsors | Contact Us | Privacy Policy | Site Map

All site contents © Copyright 2009 The Washington Times, LLC.