Subscription Center | E-mail Headlines | Print Edition | Advertise | Twitter | Contact

SUBSCRIBE TODAY
FOR HOME & WEB DELIVERY

Monday, March 29, 2010

Search

Home News Arts & Culture Sports Opinion Business Monkeybrains Life Lines Society Power Search Classifieds Receive Home

Welcome & About Contact Subscribe Weather Forecast Investigative Reporting Special Series Obituaries AM 1340 WHAT RSS Feeds Delivery

## **NEWS > NATION**



## **Future Of Nuclear Arsenal Is Unclear**

SUNDAY, MARCH 28, 2010

The general public knows very little about the U.S. nuclear arsenal. The nuclear weapons budget, including security and maintenance of the warheads, totals well into the billions. There are billions more tied up in the submarines, missiles, and aircraft tasked with delivering these weapons to targets. The public is also confused about the nature of these weapons, about the role that they play in deterrence, and about the prospects for further nuclear arms reductions.

At a Capitol Hill Briefing "Nuclear Weapons Spending and the Future of the Arsenal," Stephen I. Schwartz, editor of Nonproliferation Review at the James Martin Center for Nonproliferation Studies of the Monterey Institute of International Studies, and principal author of Nuclear Security Spending: Assessing Costs, Examining Priorities, shed some light on this issue and provided some concrete figures.

Simply putting together a comprehensive assessment of the amount we spend on nuclear weapons is difficult, he said, because nuclear weapons program expenses are spread across the budgets of multiple departments. There is no single nuclear weapons budget in existence. By going through the various programs, he found that, in 2008, the United States spent roughly \$52.8 billion on nuclear security — but this number is likely low, since it does not include intelligence and classified activities. Mr. Schwartz said he would like to see legislation requiring the administration to compile a single, consistent budget for all nuclear weapons—related programs, bringing more transparency to the spending. Congress would then have the opportunity to take a much broader look at the tremendous amount of money allocated to this area and make more informed decisions.

While Mr. Schwartz admitted there were inherent difficulties in producing such a study without inside access and classified documents, his study is instructive nonetheless as the only contemporary study of the true cost of nuclear security spending. It sets a model for what could become a required comprehensive accounting study.

Christopher Preble, director of foreign policy studies at the Cato Institute, mapped out the decision criteria we should use to downsize from our current, three-pronged nuclear strategy (the triad) to a two-pronged alternative (the dyad).

While the triad was defensible in the context of the Cold War, Mr. Preble said, at some point in the 20th Century it went from being prudent to questionable, and then to absurd. With the continuing decline of worldwide arsenals, we no longer need all three delivery systems for our nuclear warheads: missiles on submarines, B-2 and B-52 bombers, and intercontinental ballistic missiles on the continental United States. One of the three should be phased out and that decision should be based "not on parochial and political considerations but ultimately on the strategic merit of each system."

Cato Institute

## A Special Message From The Publisher







SUBSCRIBE TODAY
FOR HOME & WEB DELIVERY

« Previous Article

Next Article »

Georgetown Students Vote To Fund Abortion Rights Panel

AIPAC: Delaware Valley Attendees Speak Out

**Reader Comments** 

The following are comments from the readers. In no way do they represent the view of the bulletin.us.

You must register with a valid email to post comments. Only your Member ID will be posted with the comments.

Registered users sign in here:	Become a Registered User
*Member ID:	Do not use usernames or passwords from your financial accounts!
*Password:  Remember login? [requires cookies]	Note: Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required!
Forgot Your Password?	*Create a Member ID:
Login	*Choose a password:
	*Re-enter password:
	*E-mail Address:
	*Year of Birth:
	(children under 13 cannot register) *First Name:
	*Last Name:
	Company:
	Home Phone:
	Business Phone:
	*Address:
	*City:
	*State:
	*Zip Code:
	Create Account

1	The Bulletin - Philadelphia's Family Newspaper on Facebook Become a Fan	
The Bullet	n - Philadelphia's Family Newspaper has 255	
Fans Nancy	Bernadette John-david Karen Terry	
losephine	Tari Marian In Susan Philadelphia's Family Newspaper on Facebook	

Return to: Nation « | Home « | Top of Page ^

Top Stories

â Family Guyâ Mocks Terri Schiav Poll: Tea Party Can Hurt GOP University Of Chicago Censors Studentâ STARTâ Toward Harming US Nuclea Trade Gap With China Costs Pennsylvar Treaty to cut US-Russia nukes; signing in House passes bill to fund infrastructure, New ancestor? Scientists ponder DNA fr Bigger hospitals may treat some conditio Hurled bricks, threats surround health ov News & Sports

'Classical Education Live' At Regina Coe Castle Not Among Republicans Calling F Main Line Unitarian Church Supports Stu Georgetown Students Vote To Fund Abc Future Of Nuclear Arsenal Is Unclear Netanyahu Locked In Heated Debate Wi Hezbollah Expanding Forces In Lebanon Rollins Biding Time Until Seasonâ s S Coaches Not Happy With Overtimeâ I Reynoldsâ Great Nova Career Ends

Opinion

Letters to the Editor for the Week of Mari Still Time For Mayor Nutter To Be Phillyâ China, Russia Dragging Feet On Nuclea Obamaâ s Summit On Entrepreneursl The Obama Administrationâ s Plot Ag

Art & Culture

A Burlap Church No More:â Celebrati Reflecting On Passover Scripture

A Message For Easter From Cardinal Ju

The Bulletin

The Bulletin, 1500 Walnut Street, Suite 300, Philadelphia, PA, 19102 (Directions) | 1-215-735-9150 Copyright 2009 The Bulletin; All Rights Reserved | Published by Thomas G. Rice The Locally Owned, Independent Philadelphia Newspaper

