## **Patient Power**

Because your health care is too important to be left to politicians.

- Home
- Real reform: free markets
- authors
- Stay Informed

## Health bill: In defense of Senate "obstructionism"

December 29th, 2009 | by Brian Schwartz |

OK, the Senate passed <u>HR 3590</u> last week, but <u>Gene Healy</u> at Cato has some great observations:

In [the] *Washington Post*, E.J. Dionne cursed "the bizarre habits of the Senate," which show that "we are no longer a normal democracy." In a "normal democracy," apparently, you get to ram through a scheme that the public opposes 52 to 38 percent, according to the latest *RealClearPolitics* polling average. ...

If democracy means anything, it has to mean that the people's representatives comprehend the laws they pass and expect us to follow. Yet when Coburn asked that each senator certify that he or she has read and understands the bill, Sen. Max Baucus, D-Mont., objected: "I cannot certify that members of the Senate will understand what they're reading" (!)....

Krugman points out that the 60-vote requirement for cloture "appears nowhere in the Constitution." While he's got the document out, maybe he can enlighten the *Times*' readers as to where Congress finds the power to force all Americans to buy health insurance.

Read the whole article in the *Washington Examiner*: In defense of Senate 'obstructionism'.

## ShareThis

tags: 3590, Constitution, democracy, Krugman, Obama Care

- Senate passes health care bill. Is the battle over?
- Health care bill: huge costs, more taxes, national ID
- Health care bill: Call your Congressman November 5
- Responses to Paul Krugman on economics of health insurance
- Canadians Tell Krugman About Socialized Medicine

## Post a Comment

Name (required)	
E-mail (will not be published) (required)	
Website	

1 of 4 12/29/2009 12:23 PM