

[Home](#)[Research Areas](#)[Publications](#)[Tax Policy Blog](#)[Data, Charts, and Maps](#)[About Us](#)[Support the Tax Foundation](#)[Contact Us](#)[Press Room](#)[Tax Freedom Day](#)[Tax Policy Podcast](#)[Commentary](#)[Events](#)[Staff](#)[Center for Legal Reform](#)[Center for State Fiscal Policy](#)

Tax Foundation
National Press Building
529 14th Street, NW
Suite 420
Washington, DC 20045-1000
202.464.6200



JUNE 3, 2010

Capital Gains: Congress's Immoral, Irrational, and Unconstitutional Tax?

by [Lawrence Summers](#) and [Steven Pahuksin](#)

In light of the capital gains tax resetting from its 2003 levels to its pre-Bush levels, Dr. Daniel J. Mitchell and Dr. Richard W. Rahn argued today that capital gains taxes impede economic growth, and are potentially unconstitutional. The talk was hosted by the Cato Institute.

Dr. Mitchell first explained that capital gains taxation discourages savings by favoring consumption of disposable income. People who invest their disposable income, which has already been taxed under the income tax, are taxed through the capital gains tax, the dividends tax, and eventually the death/estate tax. These extra taxes encourage taxpayers to use their money quickly rather than saving their money for long-term economic prosperity and growth. Mitchell also argued that the capital gains tax is a form of preemptive double taxation. When an individual or corporation performs a service or sells a good, the money received is generally considered taxable income. Any after-tax income used to invest is taxed again upon realized gains. By taxing the investor's income twice, the government double-dips and potentially deters investment and savings.

Dr. Rahn took Mitchell's analysis a step further. He argued that capital gains taxation is unconstitutional under the 16th Amendment. The 16th Amendment states that "the congress shall have the power to lay and collect taxes on **income**, from whatever source derived..." [emphasis added]. Rahn pointed out that capital gains are not considered income under any definition of the word, in any dictionary, or any IRS definition. Rahn also argued that capital gains taxes are effectively a tax on inflationary gains, nominal changes that do not reflect real accumulation of wealth. In the event that the investment does not outperform inflation, the government is taxing twice on a loss instead of a profit. Therefore, imposing a tax on capital gains is an overreaching and unconstitutional exercise of Congress's power to tax.

Both Mitchell and Rahn argued that the government would raise more revenue if it decreased or repealed capital gains taxation. In 1977, capital gains were taxed at 40% and the IRS collected \$7.8 billion, compared to \$122 billion with a 15% rate in 2007. Even after factoring in inflation and other economic factors, the government collected more revenue with the lower rate. The decrease in rate created incentives for investors to inject more money into the economy, thereby expanding the tax base. Decreased capital gains tax rates also provide disincentives to wealthy investors in avoiding or evading the tax, increasing the amount of revenue generated by the capital gains tax.

[Share This](#)

[Printer Friendly](#)[Send to a Friend](#)

The Tax Policy Blog is the official weblog of the Tax Foundation, a non-partisan, non-profit research organization that has monitored tax policy at the federal, state and local levels since 1937. Our economists welcome your feedback. If you would like to send an e-mail to the author of a blog post, please click on that person's name to locate his or her e-mail address or visit our staff page [here](#).



Monthly Archives

June 2010

Topics

[Alternative Minimum Tax \(AMT\)](#)

[Capital Gains & Dividends Taxes](#)

[Cigarette Taxes](#)

[Compliance Costs & Tax Complexity](#)

[Corporate Income Taxes](#)

[Election Analysis](#)

[Environment and Energy Taxes](#), ([click to show subtopics ↓](#))

[Estate and Gift Taxes](#)

[Excise Taxes](#), ([click to show subtopics ↓](#))

[Expiration of Bush Tax Cuts](#)

[Federal Taxes Paid vs. Spending Received by State](#)

[Gross Receipts Taxes](#)

[Income Taxes](#), ([click to show subtopics ↓](#))

[International Taxes](#)

[Jock Taxes](#)

[Lottery and Gambling Taxes](#)

[Property Taxes](#)

[Public Opinion Surveys on Taxes](#)

[Putting a Face on America's Tax Returns](#)

[Sales & Use Taxes](#), ([click to show subtopics ↓](#))

[Social Security and Payroll Taxes](#)

[State Business Tax Climate](#)

[Index](#)[State Tax and Spending Policy](#), ([click to show subtopics ↓](#))[Tax Burdens](#)[Tax Credits, Deductions, & Benefits](#), ([click to show subtopics ↓](#))[Tax Fact Check](#)[Tax Freedom Day®](#)[Tax Law](#), ([click to show subtopics ↓](#))[Tax Reform](#)

Disclaimer: All views expressed on the Tax Foundation's Tax Policy Blog are those of the individual authors, and do not necessarily represent the views of the Tax Foundation, its Board of Directors, or its financial contributors. The Tax Foundation makes no representation concerning the views expressed, and does not guarantee the source, originality, accuracy, completeness or reliability of any statement, information, data, finding, interpretation, advice, opinion, or view presented.

©2010 Tax Foundation. All Rights Reserved.