

WSJ on "Climategate" -- It's a conspiracy about a conspiracy

July 13, 2010 1:44 pm ET - by Eric Boehlert

Or something.

No wonder it's been nearly a week and most conservative media voices have **remained mum** about their once-beloved "Climategate" story. Turns out the trumped-up "Climategate" allegations were **themselves** a fraud, not the work produced by scientists and administrators who had their emails apparently stolen from the UK's Climate Research Unit (CRU). After the theft late last year, `wingers accused scientists of trying to dupe the public and hide the real facts about global warming.

But last week brought **word** of the latest independent review of the email 'scandal'; a review that also concluded the players involved **did nothing dishonest or corrupt** with their email exchanges or their research.

Writing recently on the *WSJ's* opinion page today, Patrick Michaels, a CATO Institute partisan, finally fashioned a defense of "Climategate" in hopes of keeping the non-story alive. And guess what? There's been cover-up. (Surprise!) In other words, the independent review that disproved the "Climategate" conspiracy is, in itself, a conspiracy.

Talk about a house of mirrors.

Nonetheless, Michaels is sure there's a conspiracy afoot to cover-up the "Climategate" conspiracy. But what about the previous independent reviews of "Climategate" which came to the same no-foul conclusion? You guessed it, they're *also* part of the conspiracy to cover-up the "Climategate" conspiracy.

Whatever you say Patrick. But if this is the best conservatives can do, I'd say you can stick a fork in "Climategate" -- it's done.

Copyright © 2009 Media Matters for America. All rights reserved.