



Columns and OpEds

[Print] [Email] Share SHARE # 99 # ...

Gene Healy: Repeal the 17th Amendment?

By: Gene Healy
Examiner Columnist
June 8, 2010

Quick, what's the 17th Amendment? Good on you if you didn't need a lifeline: It's the one that mandated direct election of senators, instead of having them appointed by state legislatures.

Thanks to the wonderfully impertinent Tea Partiers, that 1913 "reform" is no longer just the stuff of trivia -- it recently made headlines in House and Senate races.

Two Republican nominees for House seats -- Ohio's Steve Strivers and Idaho's Raul Labrador -- have expressed sympathy for repeal. And Tim Bridgewater, one of two Tea Party candidates who last month knocked off sitting Sen. Robert Bennett, R-Utah, argues that "if the states elected their senators, legislative monstrosities like ObamaCare or [No Child Left Behind], with their burdensome mandates, would never see the light of day."

Predictably, the liberal intelligentsia has responded with scorn. Of all the "goofy ideas from those lovable wacky Tea Partyers [sic]," John Aloysius Farrell writes at USNews.com, this is the "stupidest." Repeal talk is "truly regressive," even "Paleolithic," Timothy Egan seethes in Sunday's New York Times.

Apparently, the only thing worse than peasants with pitchforks is peasants with pocket Constitutions.

But there's nothing silly or retrograde in deploring the effects of an amendment that has done untold damage to federalism and limited government.

"Let the state legislatures appoint the Senate," Virginia's George Mason urged at the Philadelphia Convention of 1787, lest a newly empowered federal government "swallow up the state legislatures." The motion carried unanimously after Mason's remarks.

So it's probably fitting that it's a George Mason University law professor, Todd Zywicki, who has done the best work on the 17th Amendment's pernicious effects.

Zywicki shows that selection by state legislatures was a key pillar of the Constitution's architecture, ensuring that the Senate would be a bulwark for decentralized government. It's "inconceivable," Zywicki

writes, "that a Senator during the pre-17th Amendment era would vote for an 'unfunded federal mandate.' "

In the grade-school morality tale offered by Egan and others, noble Progressives pushed the amendment as an antidote to corruption. Yet Zywicki found "no indication that the shift to direct election did anything to eliminate or even reduce corruption in Senate elections."

Indeed, "the increased power of special interests was the purpose of the 17th Amendment," Zywicki writes. "It allowed them to lobby senators directly, cutting out the middleman of the state legislatures."

Maybe that's why corporations and urban political machines -- Progressives' supposed enemies -- supported the amendment.

Together with the 16th Amendment establishing an income tax, the 17th Amendment helped transform the states into little more than administrative units for the federal behemoth. The feds have the gold, and they increasingly make the rules -- in education, health care, and more.

Over the next decade, Obamacare will lead to \$34 billion in new state spending on Medicaid alone, according to the Congressional Budget Office. With the Senate co-opted, state attorneys general can only look to the federal courts to save them from that unfunded mandate.

Unfortunately, repealing the 17th Amendment would be almost impossible. Since Congress won't propose the repealing amendment, you would need two-thirds of the states to call for an amending convention -- something that has never happened.

And repeal might not change anything. By 1913, more than half of the states had already adopted mechanisms that effectively bound state legislators to the voters' choice, and it's hard to imagine their 21st century counterparts ignoring the people's will in senatorial selection. "Democracy is popular," Zywicki notes dryly.

Repealing the 17th is a noble but quixotic goal. However, by focusing on the damage that amendment did, the Tea Partiers have drawn much-needed attention toward the problems that plague us. And diagnosis, one hopes, is the first step toward an eventual cure.

Examiner columnist Gene Healy is a vice president at the Cato Institute and the author of "The Cult of the Presidency".

More from Gene Healy

- Repeal the 17th Amendment?
- BP oil spill: Who's your daddy?
- President Obama's war on his own 'youthful irresponsibility'
- Rand Paul, anti-incumbent Republican
- Security cameras' slippery slope

Topics

Gene Healy, Conservatives, Liberals, Democrats, Politics, ZRepublicans, Talk Radio, Blogosphere



Jack Abramoff has left prison

A spokesman for the Federal Bureau of Prisons confirms for me that former lobbyist and fundraiser Jack Abramoff, federal prisoner 27593-112, is officially "In...

—Timothy P. Carney

Somebody in Arizona has the right idea about how to respond to immigration law boycotts

It doesn't seem to have been probed yet by Snopes.com and I haven't been able to establish its original source, but you have to admit this billboard allegedly showing Arizona's...

—Mark Tapscott

Good news: Teachers Unions shaking down kids to pay their exorbitant salaries

A mother in New Jersey got a rude surprise from her child recently: I am looking for your opinions and insights based upon a very distressing situation my youngest daughter...

—<u>Mark Hemingway</u>

SCOTUS kills Arizona welfare for politicians

Arizona's "Clean Elections" act punished privately-funded candidates by rendering their fundraising moot — for every dollar a privately-funded candidate...

—J.P. Freire

More Beltway Confidential posts...



The 2010 Election Calendar

Today's Featured Writers



Cal Thomas

Helen Thomas retires, not a moment too soon



Thomas Sowell
Real public service



Gene Healy

Repeal the 17th Amendment?



Barbara Hollingsworth

BP client gave Rahm rent-free D.C. apartment



Byron York

Spill reveals Obama's lack of executive experience



David Limbaugh

World press rushes to condemn Israel -- as usual



Sara A. Carter

Drug cartels smuggling illegals create security risk, officials say



Harry Jaffe

Pols need to answer: Are we really more safe?

Examiner Opinion Zone

Scott Rothstein pleads for leniency in 'Sonny Boy' letter

"Could you just SHUT UP, Bernie?" That is what the South Florida "Mini Madoff" Ponzi schemer, Scott Rothstein, must be thinking about Bernie Madoff who made this recent...

—P.J. Gladnick

2010: Senator Lincoln goes back to Washington, again?

Forced into a primary run-off that threatens to end her 16 year congressional career, Senator Blanche Lincoln (D-AR) is working to reinforce her campaign's consistent...

—John Vaught LaBeaume

Oil spill politics are getting stickier by the day

The Associated Press quotes Adm. Thad Allen, the administration's point man for the government response to the oil spill, as saying yesterday the oil spill may be with us "well...

-Bruce McQuain

More Examiner Opinion Zone posts...

To view this site, you need to have Flash Player 8.0 or later installed. Click <u>here</u> to get the latest Flash player.

MOST POPULAR STORIES

Spill reveals Obama's lack of executive experience

Glenn Reynolds: Higher education's bubble is about to burst

Obama brings Nixonian twist to oil spill

Gregory Kane: Mayor Daley is wounding gun control advocacy

What's the matter with Michigan?

How to keep your mind sharp in 6 simple steps

Rush Limbaugh gets married, again

Obama 2008: I ran a big campaign, so I can handle a Gulf emergency

Politico: Get ready to lose your insurance under Obamacare

Gene Healy: Repeal the 17th Amendment?

.t_links_box { font-family: arial, Helvetica, sans-serif; width: 300px; height: auto; /* border: 1px solid #ccc; */ margin: 10px 0px 10px 0px; padding: 10px; /* line-height: 10px; */ line-height: auto; height: auto; } .t_links_box p { margin: 0px padding: 0px; /* line-height: 0px; */ } .t_links_box p.heading, .heading { font-size: 11px; font-weight:bold; padding: 0; margin: 0px 0px 20px 0px; /* line-height: 10px; */ } .t_links_box .t_links { /* padding: 0px 0px 5px 0px; */ padding: 0px 0px 10px 0px; /* border-bottom: 1px solid #ccc; */ } .t_links_box .text a { font-size: 12px; text-decoration: none; font-weight:bold; color: #333; } .t_links_box .before_text a { font-size: 11px; text-decoration: none; color: #333; } .t_links_box .before_text a:hover { text-decoration: underline; } .t_links_box .after_text { font-size: 11px; }

WeeklyStandard.com Mitch Daniels Tries Out a Motto: "Change That Believes In You" Iran Attacks Kurdistan Why is Israel "Isolated"? Weekly Standard Prescience Alert Why I'll Miss Helen Thomas

.submission { display: none; } .submission { display: none; }

Reader Comments

All comments on this page are subject to our Terms of Use and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Examiner or its staff. Comment box is limited to 200 words. Comments that advocate violence, racism, or libel as well as comments written in ALL CAPS are not permitted.

blog comments powered by Disgus

Sports

Nats blow late lead, fall in 10 to Reds, 5-4

Nationals starting pitcher Craig Stammen shook hands... Full story

Sports



Nadal beats Soderling for 5th French title

Accustomed to being on the move, Rafael Nadal fidgeted... Full story

Politics

GOP noticing Colorado outsider's Senate bid

STEAMBOAT SPRINGS, COLO. - It seemed certain who would... Full story



RSS | Twitter | Facebook | Intern | Video | Maps | Mobile | Contact Us | Terms of Use | Privacy Policy | Rack Locations | Advertise

Find this article at:

http://www.washingtonexaminer.com/opinion/columns/Repeal-the-17th-Amendment_-95804129.html

Check the box to include the list of links referenced in the article.