

http://article.nationalreview.com/432743/appraising-arizona/nro-symposium?page=5

Appraising Arizona - NRO Symposium - National Review Online

'Mystery'

Daniel Pipes: In Europe, Remorse Has Turned to Masochism

The Editors: The Case against the Dodd Bill

Jonah Goldberg: Arizona's Ugly but Necessary Immigration Law

Kevin Williamson: Goodbye Supply Side

Nina Shea: Self-Censoring South Park

Mark Krikorian: Activists Amplify Arizona Act, Accelerating Attrition Aftermath

Michelle Malkin: How Mexico Treats Illegal Aliens

Mark Steyn: The End of the World as We Know It with Mark Steyn — Daniel Griswold is director of the Center for Trade Policy Studies at the Cato Institute.

KRIS KOBACH

The Arizona immigration bill is a big step in the right direction. As someone who helped Senator Pearce draft it, I am admittedly biased. But I can say with certainty that it was drafted to withstand legal challenge. We fully expected the ACLU and their fellow travelers to bring suit, and the law is crafted accordingly.

Arizona has led the way in enacting laws that discourage illegal immigration at the state level. In 2005 the Arizona legislature enacted the Arizona Human Smuggling Act. I assisted Maricopa County in successfully defending it in state court in 2006, and now it is being used by Sheriff Joe Arpaio to make a huge dent in the human smuggling that affects all 50 states. Indeed, it is accurate to say that the law-enforcement efforts of Maricopa County alone have accomplished more than the efforts of a dozen ICE district offices combined. In 2007, Arizona became the first state to require all employers to use E-Verify when hiring workers. I assisted the Arizona attorney general's office in successfully defending that law in the Ninth Circuit, and now Mississippi and South Carolina have followed suit. Arizona's most recent law will likely see the same success in court and in the country.

Contrary to misstatements by the critics of Arizona's law, it is a measured and reasonable law that simply makes a state violation out of what has been a federal crime for 70 years — the failure of an alien to carry required registration documents. It does not conflict with federal law in any way. For that reason, it will withstand a preemption challenge. Charges of racial profiling are also off base. In fact, the law expressly prohibits racial profiling in its enforcement. And the Fourth Amendment standards of what constitutes reasonable suspicion remain firmly in place. But don't expect the law's critics to concede those points — that would involve actually reading the text of the statute.

It should also be noted that the liberal critics who are declaring that this law is unconstitutional said the same thing about Arizona's E-Verify law in 2007. Arizona's record is 2–0 in court, and I don't expect a loss this time around .

<PREVIOUS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | NEXT >



Sponsored Links

TODAY: iPads for \$23.74?

Special Report: Macbooks are being auctioned for an incredible 80% off! www.QuiBids.com

Project Management

100% Online. Project Management certificate from Villanova. Free info. www.VillanovaProjectManagement.com

Refinance Now 4.0% FIXED!

\$160,000 Mortgage for \$633/mo. Free. No Obligation. Get 4 Quotes! Mortgage.LendGo.com

Buy a link here