

WHAT YOU DO IS UP TO YOU  [Apply now ▶](#)

Thursday, March 4 2010 Updated at 8AM.

- [Reports](#)
- [Opinion](#)
- [Statistics](#)
- [Technology](#)
- [Countries](#)
- [Companies](#)
- [Topics](#)
- [Sectors](#)
- [XML](#)
- [RSS 2.0](#)

You are not logged in | [Log in](#)

Subscribe Now !

Perspectives

12:00 AM

[Back to Perspectives](#)

Wednesday, March 03, 2010

CAFTA Five Years: Seal of Approval for FDI

CAFTA has not been a panacea, but it has provided a seal of approval for foreign direct investment and democracy.

CHRONICLE SPECIAL
[Knowledge@Wharton](#)

When the Central America Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA) squeaked through the U.S. Congress in 2005, the U.S. business community rejoiced.

By providing duty preferences for imports from the countries of that nearby region, CAFTA would lower the cost of importing from the five countries of Central America as well as the Dominican Republic, and cushion their corporate supply chains from overdependence on China at a time when U.S.-China tensions threatened to erupt in a succession of anti-dumping, countervailing duty and other trade measures against allegedly predatory Chinese trade practices. U.S. manufacturers of apparel and other low-cost manufactured goods would take increasing advantage of lower transportation costs from Central America to build "demand-driven" supply chains that would be more cost-effective than those anchored in Asia, and more responsive to sudden changes in U.S. consumer tastes.

Supporters of CAFTA crowed that the free-trade pact would create the third-largest U.S. export market in Latin America, behind only Mexico and Brazil, and the 14th largest U.S. export market in the world. Nearly 32 percent of total exports from Central America went to the United States in 2008, while the region sourced 33 percent of its total imports from the United States.

Over its first few years, U.S. companies reaped significant benefits. Between 2005 and 2008, U.S. exports to the region increased by around 48 percent to reach \$24.3 billion, while U.S. imports from the region increased by 10 percent to \$14.4 billion. Inward foreign direct investment as a percentage of region's GDP rose from 3.4 percent in 2005 to almost 5 percent in 2008, and



MAKING HISTORY: Trade ministers from CAFTA sign the historic agreement in 2005. (Photo: SEIC)



[Send Article](#) | [Print](#) | [Save Article](#) | Font Size

- Home
- Subscription Benefits
- Free Newsletter
- Advertising Info
- Latin America Outlook
- Latin Business Index
- Latin Technology Index
- Latin Wireless Index
- Latin Security Index
- Globalization Index
- Top 500 Companies
- Top Tech Companies
- Top Energy Companies
- 100 Most Powerful
- Top 25 Women
- Top 100 M&As
- Top 50 Ports
- 50 Best Websites
- LatAm Five
- GDP Champions
- FDI/GDP Ranking
- Tourism/GDP
- Key Conferences
- Latin Trade Magazine
- Bravo Awards
- Books
- About LBC
- Privacy Policy
- Contact Us

PANAMA OFFSHORE CENTER
M&Co.
 Offshore Services and Investments

LATIN AMERICA OUTLOOK 2010
EXCLUSIVE REPORT
LATIN BUSINESS CHRONICLE

DELTA
 FLY TO COSTA RICA
[Go](#)

BUSINESS FUTURE OF THE AMERICAS & AACCLA'S MIDYEAR MEETING PERU 2010

TRADE FACILITATION

- Customs and Trade Security
- Export and Investment Promotion
- Infrastructure and Logistics
- Productive Chains and Exporting SMEs

www.perumeetingaaccla2010.com

From 21st to 24th of June
 Swissôtel Lima

PERU MEETING AACCLA 2010 

higher remittance inflows and tourism from the United States helped lift per capita income. "Before the recession, the countries of the region all increased their exports by double-digit rates," says David Lewis, vice-president of Manchester Trade, a Washington, D.C., trade consultancy. "They were very aggressive about taking advantage of new opportunities in agri-industry, agricultural products, and textiles. [CAFTA] was a shot in the arm for them." For example, El Salvador increased its exports of agricultural products to distribution networks in the U.S. Hispanic market, to little fanfare in the U.S.

More recently, the global economic downturn has taken a major toll on the region's economic growth, foreign direct investment and trade volumes. In 2009, all five Central American members of CAFTA – Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras and Nicaragua – suffered declining GDP. The biggest loser was Honduras (minus 4.4 percent), hit hard not just by declining demand for its apparel exports but by the disruption in the uncertainties that emerged last summer when left-leaning President Manuel Zelaya was arrested by the Honduran military, acting on an arrest order issued by the Honduran Supreme Court. (The democratic election of Porfirio Lobo Sosa as president of Honduras last November has since restored stability to trade with Honduras.)

Even Costa Rica, the region's most developed economy, saw its GDP slip by 4 percent in 2009, after growing by 2.9 percent in 2008. It is barely more than a year since Costa Rica became the final country to join CAFTA but its exports to the U.S. fell by 15 percent in 2009, and its imports from the U.S. dropped by 30 percent. Its unemployment rate rose to 7.8 percent in 2009 from 4.8 percent in 2008. Between 2005 and 2008, in-coming FDI in Costa Rica had risen 134 percent to \$2 billion, before dropping last year by an estimated 30 percent as a result of the impact of the recessions in both the United States and Spain, the country's two largest sources of FDI.

Likewise, Nicaragua, the poorest country in Central America, suffered a GDP decline of 3.1 percent in 2009, after growth of 3.2 percent in 2008; El Salvador's GDP dropped 2 percent, compared with 2.6 percent growth in 2008; and Guatemala's GDP dropped by 2 percent, compared with 4 percent growth in 2008, according to the Economist Intelligence Unit. The only CAFTA member that experienced any positive growth last year was Dominican Republic, which expanded by a mere 1.5 percent, down from 5.3 percent in 2008. CAFTA itself is not to blame for those declines, says Lewis. "Last year was marred not by how CAFTA did in and of itself, but really the fact that because of the fall in the U.S. market, no exporter in the CAFTA region did well at all," he says.

DAMAGED APPAREL

The damage has been particularly severe in the labor-intensive apparel sector. There, about 20,000 jobs in the region have been lost in free-trade zones where contract manufacturers make low-value garments for U.S. apparel makers. The problem, Lewis said, is that "it is easy to close plants in free trade zones because they run on contracts." The challenge now is how to regain those jobs at a time when the U.S. economy is weak and



to regain those jobs at a time when the U.S. economy is weak, and unemployment in the U.S. is high, dampening demand for many imported apparel products. "Until the jobs come back in the U.S., orders for apparel in the region won't grow again," Lewis says. "Growth in other areas of the economy won't provide the number and quality of jobs that you had in apparel. At the end of the day, there is no silver bullet to replace those jobs" despite Central America's continued strength in niche agribusiness markets and its proximity to the United States.

Notwithstanding the preferences CAFTA provides, China has continued to consolidate its position as the top foreign supplier of apparel to the United States. In 2009, overall U.S. imports of apparel dropped by 6 percent to \$22.6 billion, yet U.S. imports of apparel from China increased by 10.71 percent to \$8.62 billion, a market share of nearly 40 percent. China was the number-one source for apparel and home furnishings that use textiles as well as the leading source of imported footwear and fashion accessories, says Julia K. Hughes, senior vice-president at the United States Association of Importers of Textiles and Apparel. Meanwhile, imports from the CAFTA countries, which ranked second collectively after China, dropped during 2009. Apparel imports from Costa Rica plunged by 43.4 percent; from the Dominican Republic by 33.36 percent; from Honduras by 24.4 percent; from El Salvador by 22.6 percent; from Guatemala by 14.7 percent; and from Nicaragua, by 6.94 percent. Only three out of the top ten apparel suppliers to the U.S. worldwide belong to any U.S. free trade agreement. Following China, at the top of the list of apparel suppliers are Vietnam, Indonesia and Bangladesh; not one of those countries belongs to a free-trade pact with the United States. So much for the magic of free-trade pacts, critics say, when it comes to stemming China's rise in the sector.

ADDING COMPLICATIONS

A key reason CAFTA hasn't done more to cushion the region from recession, say its critics, is that CAFTA – like other recent U.S. free-trade agreements – does not yield its benefits easily. Rather than simplify or eliminate trade rules (as many people imagine free-trade pacts do), CAFTA makes importing from Central America a more complicated process than importing from China and many other countries that are not parties to any U.S. free-trade pact. Jonathan M. Fee, a partner at the U.S.-based Alston & Bird law firm, says, "CAFTA isn't for the faint-hearted." According to Kim Glas, deputy assistant secretary, textiles and apparel at the U.S. Department of Commerce, "Textile and apparel goods must be produced starting at the yarn stage in the CAFTA countries in order to access the full benefits of the agreement." U.S. importers from CAFTA countries must also continually share information about their CAFTA shipments with U.S. Customs, and conduct regular factory visits to their foreign suppliers' manufacturing locations to make sure that the goods for which they claim trade preferences are legitimately entitled to them. In contrast, U.S. importers who buy from China can benefit from that country's low costs and high productivity without having to meet such requirements, since they're not applying for any trade-pact preferences.

U.S. Customs has even made it a higher priority recently to enforce the complex requirements of CAFTA, says Janet Labuda, director, Textile/Apparel Policy and Programs Division, Office of International Trade at U.S. Customs and Border Protection. Labuda says importers who file for CAFTA preferences are subject to reviews of their prior claims, and if Customs can determine that a pattern of continued non-compliance exists, further penalties can be assessed against them. No wonder many U.S. apparel importers find it easier to source products in faraway China and other

**SUBSCRIBE
NOW!
REPORTS &
RANKINGS**

**LATIN BUSINESS
CHRONICLE**

productive, low-wage Asian countries, and spare themselves the headaches, Fee says. He adds that import specialists at many companies are not well-informed about CAFTA origin rules, and they need to spend precious time and money responding to requests made by U.S. Customs to validate their claims for trade preferences. This process is "inefficient and time-consuming," Fee says.

"SPAGHETTI BOWL" OF AGREEMENTS

Still another factor that makes it difficult for many newcomers: CAFTA is only one of numerous regional trade agreements involving the Americas that have been enacted in recent years, and CAFTA's peculiar complexities differ from the complex provisions of those other pacts, including some pacts that involve the U.S. "The proliferation of RTAs has created a veritable 'spaghetti bowl' of multiple and often overlapping agreements," assert economists Antoni Esteveordal and Kati Suominen in a recent report from the Inter-American Development Bank, 'Bridging Regional Trade Agreements in the Americas.' "The various disciplines included in each RTA – such as tariff liberalization, rules of origin, standards, safeguards and investment rules – entangle the bowl further." On the other hand, at least those trade pacts that involve the U.S. have been getting a bit less complicated in recent years, the authors write. "The more recent Rules of Origin regimes based on the NAFTA model – namely, the U.S. Chile free trade agreement and CAFTA – incorporate simpler, more practical and less restrictive product-specific rules of origin than NAFTA," write Esteveordal and Suominen. "This evinces a trend toward market-friendly rules of origin in the hemisphere."

For all that, the recent recovery in the global economy should provide a significant boost for exports from CAFTA countries. Roy Zuñiga, dean of the INCAE Business School, which operates campuses in Costa Rica and Nicaragua, says there is no doubt that the recession in the U.S. has had an impact on demand for Costa Rican products but that recent economic figures reveal that the U.S. economy is improving, and that Costa Rican exports to the U.S. are beginning to take off again. Zuñiga says that the conditions provided by CAFTA are "necessary but not sufficient" for Costa Rica to achieve its economic goals. However, CAFTA makes it easier for Costa Rica "diversify its risks and find niches and markets that competitively value" what Costa Rica can offer. Without CAFTA, the Costa Rican economy would have lost opportunities to continue growing in the U.S. "But that didn't happen, and now Costa Rica is better prepared to compete in international markets than it would have been without CAFTA."

As for the prospects for a rebound in Spanish foreign investment in Costa Rica, Zuñiga says, "The Spanish economy will be one of the most affected by the recession, apparently with a longer recovery period than in other countries whose growth was not based so strongly on the housing construction sector." He adds, "No longer investing in well-positioned countries [like Costa Rica] will cost [Spanish companies] more over the long term than over the short term, and some people already realize that this is the best time to commit to economies such as that of Costa Rica."

More broadly, free trade advocates also argue that it's all too easy to overlook the enormous progress that has been made in Central America, in part because of CAFTA. "You have to call CAFTA a success," says Dan Griswold, director of the Center for Trade Policy Studies at the Cato Institute, a Washington think tank. "CAFTA was never going to have a big impact on the U.S. economy; we are so much bigger than they are. The goal was to

deepen and strengthen our ties to Central American democracy, and trade with the region has picked up. Let's not forget that 25 years ago, Central America was a region in turmoil; it was on the front pages. While there are still bumps in the road – Nicaragua is [once again] led by Daniel Ortega, and Honduras has had its constitutional drama – democracy continues in that country” with the restoration of a democratically elected president. Although CAFTA hasn't worked miracles, says Griswold, “it is nevertheless an important tool; a cultural Good Housekeeping seal of approval that will help the region attract Foreign Direct Investment over the long run.”

Republished with permission from <http://www.knowledge.wharton.upenn.edu> -- the online research and business analysis journal of the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania.

Related News:

- Costa Rica Boosts Exports to US

Post Your Comments

You can write a comment on this article by [clicking here](#).

There are no comments on this article. If you wish, you can write one.

 Write your comment

Other articles in : Perspectives

[Back to Perspectives](#)

- ▶ Argentina: Ten Reforms for Cristina
- ▶ Reduce Chavez Oil, Help Latin Neighbors
- ▶ Evaluating Nestor Kirchner
- ▶ Ecuador: Keeping Up With Hugo
- ▶ Brazil Tax: Uncertain Reform
- ▶ Venezuela: Still Negative Outlook
- ▶ Prosperity & Weak Property Rights
- ▶ LatAm Finance Lags Asia
- ▶ Haiti Makes Real Progress
- ▶ Colombia FTA Next?
- ▶ Dealing With Latin Populists
- ▶ Cristina's Short Honeymoon
- ▶ Flores: LatAm Must Fight For Freedom
- ▶ Uruguayan Farce
- ▶ New President, New Policies
- ▶ Cristina and the U.S: No Change
- ▶ Chile's Energy Crisis: No Magical Solution
- ▶ Latin America's Downside: Competitiveness
- ▶ Costa Rica's Free Trade Victory
- ▶ Bush: Free Trade Benefits US Workers
- ▶ Latin FTAs: What Will Congress Do?
- ▶ CAFTA's Impact On Costa Rica
- ▶ Costa Rica & CAFTA: What Next?

- ▶ Latin America: Good Outlook
- ▶ What's Driving Brazil M&A?
- ▶ Boosting Singapore-Latin Ties
- ▶ Costa Rica's CAFTA Choice
- ▶ Is Ecuador's Correa Following Chavez?
- ▶ Will Raul Reform Cuba's Economy?
- ▶ FTA Failure, Chavez' Gain
- ▶ Open Letter to Congressional Democrats
- ▶ Global Outlook: Implications for LatAm
- ▶ Exhausting Democracy in Ecuador
- ▶ Improved Logistics Key For LatAm
- ▶ China Safety and Latin America
- ▶ Singapore: Latin America's Asian Partner
- ▶ PDVSA's Grave Has Been Dug
- ▶ Canada's Exports to South America Booming
- ▶ Costa Rica's CAFTA Referendum
- ▶ Latin America's Inflation Success
- ▶ Dangerous Policies for Latin America
- ▶ Latin America's Energetic August
- ▶ Brazil & Mexico Prepared For Contagion
- ▶ U.S. Relations with Latin America
- ▶ Nicaragua and Esso: What Will Happen?
- ▶ Brazil's Crisis: Could It Happen Again?
- ▶ Brace for Snapback
- ▶ North American Summit: More or Less?
- ▶ Sean Penn and Hugo Chavez
- ▶ Argentina: Pack Your Bags
- ▶ Banco Azteca & Brazil: Good Outlook
- ▶ Closing the Gap in Latin Infrastructure
- ▶ Ecuador: Getting Ugly
- ▶ Latin America: Adios to Market Reforms?
- ▶ China, Taiwan and the Battle for Latin America
- ▶ Brazil Auto Boom: Can Supply Keep Pace?
- ▶ Brazil: Vivo Hurts Competition?
- ▶ Latin America's Educational Challenge
- ▶ After the IPO: Redcard's Outlook
- ▶ Drummond: Charges Were False
- ▶ Drummond Case: Effects on Latin Business?
- ▶ Ethanol Push: Pork Barrel Boondoggle
- ▶ Mexican Infrastructure: More Competitiveness?
- ▶ Gustavo Cisneros: No Deal With Chavez
- ▶ CFIUS and Latin American Companies
- ▶ Hutchison No Threat to Panama
- ▶ Canada's Renewed Commitment to Latin America
- ▶ Argentina: New Energy Policies?
- ▶ Cristina's Travels

- ▶ Venezuela: In the Hands of the State
- ▶ MiningWatch Response to Open Letters
- ▶ Ecuador: Mining Reduces Poverty
- ▶ Support Colombia With FTA
- ▶ Kirchner: Nothing Lasts Forever
- ▶ Venezuela Oil: Who Will Fill The Void?
- ▶ Argentina's Energy Tango
- ▶ Ecuador Hurts Its Potential
- ▶ Unfair Treatment of Colombia
- ▶ Open Letter To MiningWatch Canada
- ▶ After RCTV: End of Solidarity?
- ▶ Middle East and Latin America: Same Errors?
- ▶ Sizzling Brazil
- ▶ Bearish on China, Bullish on Latin America
- ▶ Mexico: More Media Competition?
- ▶ Argentina: Waiting for Cristina
- ▶ Venezuela's Trade Limbo
- ▶ Costa Rica: A Real Business Guide
- ▶ After Costa Rica: More China Success?
- ▶ Latin America: Strategy for Competitiveness
- ▶ Supporting Small Business in Latin America
- ▶ Chávez Conditionality
- ▶ China Undermines U.S. in Latin America
- ▶ Brazil Corruption Scandal: Impact On Lula?
- ▶ Argentina's Environmental Hypocrisy
- ▶ Colombia: Bad Policy Decisions
- ▶ Venezuelan Intrusion in Bolivia
- ▶ Brazil: Nuclear Energy?
- ▶ Central America: Common Ground
- ▶ Approve Colombia FTA Now
- ▶ Colombia Infrastructure Needs Attention
- ▶ Improving U.S.-Mexican Competitiveness
- ▶ Thinking Out of the Box
- ▶ Brazil Wireless: Competition Continues
- ▶ Democrats and Free Trade
- ▶ Argentina: Running the Clock
- ▶ Mexico Needs China Policy
- ▶ Ecuador Referendum and Business
- ▶ Mexican Acquisition of TIM?
- ▶ Neighbors Have Shared Responsibilities
- ▶ Colombia: Construction Drives Growth
- ▶ Argentina on Kirchner's Time
- ▶ Latin America At the Crossroads
- ▶ Two Cheers for U.S. Ethanol Initiative
- ▶ Fiscal Reform in Mexico
- ▶ Commentary: Price Controls Boost Inflation

- ▶ Brazil: Anti-American Foreign Policy?
- ▶ Latin Left: Authoritarian & Undemocratic
- ▶ Venezuela Oil: Wiped Out!
- ▶ Venezuela: Instability & Isolation
- ▶ Argentina: Lessons for Ecuador
- ▶ Colombia's Strong Business Record

[Home](#) | [About Us](#) | [Contact Us](#)

Developed by Merit Designs



Latin Business Chronicle,
1500 San Remo Avenue, Suite 249A
Coral Gables, Fl. 33146, USA
www.latinbusinesschronicle.com