



28 minutes ago
Tyler Clementi's Parents Plan To Sue Rutgers University Over His Suicide

KASHMIR HILL *The Not-So Private Parts*

15 minutes ago
Hot, New 'Word Lens' App Is Founder's First Project In Augmented Reality

PARMY OLSON *Tea & Tech*



Business in The Beltway

MONEY & POLITICS

PROFILE HEADLINE GRABS RSS FEED

The 112th Congress Should Tackle Antidumping Reform

Dec. 21 2010 - 11:39 am | 150 views | 0 recommendations | 0 comments

posted by **DAN IKENSON**

The U.S. [antidumping law](#) still enjoys broad bipartisan support in Congress and within pockets of the executive



Image via Wikipedia

branch. Although some of that support can be chalked up to politicians representing the narrow interests of influential constituencies that have mastered the use of antidumping as a bludgeon to cripple the competition, much more support stems from a fundamental misunderstanding of the purpose, history, mechanics, and consequences of the law.

Too many policymakers passively accept the anachronistic rationalizations proffered by the steel industry, labor unions, other big antidumping users, and their hired guns in Washington. Too many buy into the idealized imagery of a patriotic, upstanding American producer working tirelessly to ensure the preservation of well-paying jobs for hard-

Share

2

2

Share

ABOUT

Business in the Beltway
See our profile »

OUR CONTRIBUTORS



BRET JACOBSON



BRIAN WINGFIELD



BRUCE UPBIN



DAN BIGMAN

and 35 more ...

Followers: 17
Contributor Since: October 2007

PROFILE

RSS FEED

HEADLINE GRABS

EMAIL TIPS

working Americans, but is suffering the ravages of unscrupulous, predatory foreign traders intent on destroying U.S. firms and monopolizing the U.S. market. What politician could oppose a law presumed to protect that kind of a company against that kind of a scourge?

But when the curtain is peeled back, exposing the reality of the operation of the U.S. antidumping law, one discerns a very different reality. Antidumping measures always raise the costs of firms in downstream industries that rely on the affected imports. The law routinely claims domestic firms as victims. The law is often used as a tool by domestic firms waging battle for supremacy over other domestic firms. Sometimes foreign-owned firms are the petitioners and U.S.-owned firms are the respondents. Rarely does the law lead to job creation or job restoration in the domestic industry. And never is the allegation of "unfair trade" substantiated, or even investigated. Myth and misinformation explain the persistence of the U.S. antidumping regime.

Over the next few months, the Cato Institute's [Center for Trade Policy Studies](#) will shine the spotlight on U.S. antidumping policy and update its large [body of research](#) on the subject by publishing some new papers and hosting discussions about the prospects for meaningful antidumping reform. The new Congress should pay attention. After all, if renewed talk about completing the Doha Round in 2011 is to become action, so must [antidumping reform](#).

The [first of those studies](#) is now available on the Cato home page. That paper describes the evolution of U.S. antidumping policy from an obscure offshoot of competition law into the predominant instrument of contingent protection that it is today and provides an account of some of the crucial statutory and administrative changes that have occurred over the decades. Its purpose is to demonstrate that the increase in antidumping activity reflects several developments that have nothing to do with foreign behavior whatsoever, including a progressive expansion of the definition of dumping, relaxation of evidentiary standards, and a pro-domestic-industry bias in the law's administration at the U.S. Department of Commerce. The arcane mix of statutory rules and discretionary whimsy that emerged as contemporary antidumping policy is a far cry from the first antidumping law—in practice and intent. Today, antidumping is little more than an elaborate excuse for run-of-the-mill protectionism. And overwhelmingly, U.S. businesses and consumers are its victims.

Recommend Buzz Up! Reddit StumbleUpon Facebook Twitter Email this

Previous Post: [Printing Money, Literally](#)

Next Post: [Four Inexpensive Ways To Restore America's Future](#)

More on Forbes Right Now

Related Posts

[The U.S. Economy: How Much More Can It Take?](#)
[How Much More Can It Take?](#)

OUR ACTIVITY FEED

Show all activity

PARMY'S NEW POST 3 minutes ago

[Hot, New 'Word Lens' App Is Founder's First Project In Augmented Reality](#)

CALLED OUT 27 minutes ago

bonisteel

Commented on [WHY SOME OF THE WORLD'S BIGGEST BRANDS STILL DON'T GET FACEBOOK](#)
"Some assumptions there in what constitutes being Facebook "savvy." It might be that the 5 of the top 45..."

CALLED OUT 37 minutes ago

stevemurthey

Commented on [WHY SOME OF THE WORLD'S BIGGEST BRANDS STILL DON'T GET FACEBOOK](#)
"As a social media strategist, I see this all too often. Companies believe that if they throw a Facebook..."

BRUCE'S HEADLINE GRAB 1 hour ago

[Anatomy of a Scene: 'Somewhere'](#)

THE NEW YORK TIMES

BRUCE'S HEADLINE GRAB 1 hour ago

[LIVE STREAMS](#) ▶

Related streams

[Current Events](#)

[Economics](#)

[OpEd](#)

Comments

DISPLAY

[Called-Out Comments](#)

[All comments](#)

No Comments
[Post your comment »](#)

[Log in for notification options](#)

[Comments RSS](#)



MOST POPULAR

OUR POSTS

All Posts Last 24 Hours

- | | |
|--|-------------|
| 1. Obama Giving Rich Big Wet Kiss With Proposal On Bush Tax Cuts | 9,760 views |
| 2. Boeing's Defense Turnaround | 8,547 views |
| 3. Why The Defense Industry May Never Bounce Back | 8,166 views |
| 4. Can Airbus Survive Without Subsidies? | 5,650 views |
| 5. What Rattner Isn't Saying About GM's 'Turnaround' | 4,888 views |

