

Chase Instant Action Alerts
 Help avoid overdrafts at the speed of text

SIGN-UP TODAY 

The Miami Herald > Business

Business

Tuesday, 06.01.10 Welcome Guest Login Register

Current: 85°
 88° / 77°
 Weather Forecast

HOME DELIVERY **EXTRA**
Sunday's Miami Herald
 6 MONTHS FOR \$25

HOME | NEWS | SPORTS | ENTERTAINMENT | BUSINESS | LIVING | OPINION | JOBS | CARS | REAL ESTATE | DEALS | CLASSIFIEDS

Small Business | Personal Finance | Economy | Technology & Reviews | Workplace | Real Estate | Press Releases | Markets

Posted on Saturday, 05.29.10  Recommend (1) share email print comment reprint

FEDERAL WORKFORCE

Should feds' pay be cut?

As the private sector has faced layoffs, pay cuts and furloughs, the federal government, America's biggest employer, has kept humming along. Should civil servants sacrifice, too?

BY TONY PUGH
 MCCLATCHY NEWS SERVICE

WASHINGTON -- During Public Service Recognition Week earlier this month, hundreds of job seekers converged on the National Mall to learn about career opportunities in the federal government.

While economists don't expect the private-sector job market to reach its pre-recession hiring levels until 2015 or later, the federal government, America's largest employer, suffers no such recessionary hangover.

The full-time federal civilian work force -- excluding postal service employees -- is expected to top 2.1 million in fiscal year 2010, and more than 560,000 new workers will be hired in the next four years, said John Palguta, the vice president for policy at the Partnership for Public Service.

For a nation battered by layoffs, plant closings and double-digit unemployment, Uncle Sam's hiring largesse should be a source of hope and inspiration. However, 98 percent of working Americans aren't federal employees, and many are wondering aloud why federal civil servants haven't faced the wage freezes, layoffs, furloughs, pay cuts and hiring freezes that many in the general work force have endured.

Surely, the bulging federal deficit, diminished income tax revenue and massive war budget should warrant some sacrifice at the federal level.

Shouldn't it?

``To the extent that the American people are tightening their belt, Washington should tighten its belt too,`` said Brian Reidl, a research fellow in federal budget policy at the conservative Heritage Foundation. ``It's important that federal employees aren't exempted from the sacrifices that other people are making.``

That same logic prompted President Barack Obama, on his first full day in office, to freeze the pay of about 100 senior White House staffers who earn more than \$100,000 a year.

It was a nice symbolic gesture. However, Chris Edwards, the director of tax policy studies at the libertarian Cato Institute and the author of ``Downsizing the Government,`` said the freeze should be extended to all federal civilian employees for the next several years, or at least until the economy recovers and private-sector wages improve.

After all, average compensation for federal civilian workers increased nearly twice as much as it did for the private sector from 2000 to 2008, federal data show. In fact, the average annual compensation for federal civilian workers -- \$119,982, including earnings and benefits -- ranks seventh among 72 occupations, behind only high finance, energy and company management professions.

DECENT BENEFITS

Then there are the benefits.

``Federal workers get a 401k-style plan, but they also get an old-fashioned defined-benefit pension plan with inflation protection,`` Edwards said. ``They also get healthcare benefits when they retire above and beyond Medicare. You just don't see that kind of stuff in the private sector anymore, and I think the

Miami Herald Web Search powered by **YAHOO!SEARCH**

Top Searches: Obituaries | Horoscopes | Lottery | Calendar

Adrienne Arsht Center
 FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY

DEIN PERRY'S TAP DOGS
 WITH ANDREW WILKIE
 PRODUCED AND DIRECTED BY NIGEL TRIFFITT

June 30 - July 10 

TAKE THE NEXT STEP



EmployFlorida.com has talent development tools and resources to help your business compete -- all at no charge

Job Matching & Recruitment | Skills Assessment
 Training Incentive Grants | Tax Credit Assistance
 Labor Market Information | Layoff Aversion & Assistance


 EmployFlorida.com
 1-866-FLA-2345

MORE BUSINESS

- Golden Beach gets a makeover
- Hot dog restaurants on a roll in South Florida
- Some investors refuse to lose faith in domain names
- Q&A with Anne-Marie Idrac: French minister touts super-fast trains
- 10 ways to convert clicks into customers using email
- Selling shoes online and making a billion

More

VIDEOS

federal work force ought to reflect the private work force."

Palguta said some government compensation and staffing levels should be "readjusted," both up and down. "But the rational and logical thing to do is to go in with a scalpel, not with a sledgehammer," he said.

Paul C. Light, a professor of public service at New York University's Robert F. Wagner Graduate School of Public Service, agreed that a rigorous restructuring of the federal government is needed.

"But I think blunt instruments like pay cuts and hiring freezes have proven absolutely ineffective in the past," Light said.

That's because they can be circumvented through promotions and advances through the dense federal employee classification system.

'LOUSY IDEA'

Thomas E. Mann, senior fellow for governance studies at The Brookings Institution, a center-left Washington research group, said freezing federal wages wouldn't save much money anyway, since most of the federal deficit stems from transfer payments to states, social programs, defense spending and payment on the national debt.

As for federal salary and work force cuts, Mann said that's a "lousy idea."

"The public has a notion that in the face of an economic downturn, everybody needs to cut back and the government budget should be run like a family's budget. But what seems viscerally fair and the right thing to do can make just dreadful policy sense," Mann said. "Cutting efforts of any kind when the economy is still shaky is really a dumb thing to do."

Others say canceling promised raises for government workers would be like imposing a tax on one narrow segment of the work force -- one that had nothing to do with causing the economic crisis.

"How do you get from the bailout of Wall Street to cutting the pay of the janitor or food service worker in a VA hospital? We bailed out millionaires and to pay for it, we should cut the pay of civil servants?" said Jacqueline Simon, the public policy director for the American Federation of Government Employees, which represents more than 600,000 federal workers. "Whatever problems the federal budget is experiencing has literally nothing to do with the size or compensation of federal employees."

To keep the wheels of government churning, Uncle Sam requires a diverse work force. The mammoth federal civilian payroll includes brain surgeons, janitors, attorneys, accountants, police officers, lawyers, economists, food service workers, scientists, housekeepers, physical therapists, weapons analysts, linguists, pharmacists and scores of other positions.

A STABLE SIZE

While the mission of government changes with the times, the size has been fairly stable for decades. The federal payroll, at roughly 2.1 million civilian employees, is up from about 1.8 million during the Clinton administration's "reinvention of government." But the federal work force is roughly the same size as it was during Gerald Ford's administration and about 100,000 less than it was when President Ronald Reagan left office, Palguta said.

The executive branch is projected to add about 274,000 full-time civilian workers from 2007 to 2011. About 80 percent of these new employees will work in support of war and counterterrorism efforts at the departments of Veterans Affairs, Homeland Security, Defense, Justice and State.

Despite these additions, the federal civilian work force has been shrinking relative to the general population. In 1953, there was one civil servant for every 78 residents. That ratio fell to one per 155 residents in 2008.

CONTRACT WORK

A big part of that decline stems from the loss of lower-paid and lower-skilled federal workers whose jobs have been farmed out on a contract basis -- so they're not on the federal payroll, but they're still paid with U.S. tax dollars.

These contract workers cost the government more than \$500 million a year, more than twice the amount in 2001. Last year, the Office of Management and Budget directed federal agencies to cut their contracting budgets by 7 percent to save \$40 billion a year.

As more lower-paying positions leave the federal payroll, they've been replaced by higher-paying positions that require better-educated and better-skilled workers.

That's one reason why the average compensation for federal employees increased at nearly twice the rate of the private sector from 2000 to 2008, according to data from the Commerce Department.

Average earnings in the private sector, which includes minimum-wage workers, CEOs and everyone in between, increased 31 percent, from \$45,772 in 2000 to \$59,909 in 2008, federal data show. However,

VIDEO FROM OUR PARTNERS

NDN VIDEO



AP VIDEO

FACEBOOK

Find us on Facebook

The Miami Herald Business section Facebook page interface, including a 'Like' button, fan count (779 fans), and a grid of fan profile pictures with names: Rosy, Brenda, Nirvi, Regina, Stephanie, Aubrey, Fran, Richard, Heidi, Barry.

Recommendations

- Pasco man charged after 911 call about mother - Florida AP (29 people shared this)
- Al and Tipper Gore to separate after 40 years (8 people shared this)
- Students nurture dreams of being farmers in urban Miami-Dade (6 people shared this)
- Gulf oil spill threatens tribe's livelihood in Louisiana - Gulf Oil Spill (19 people shared this)

Facebook social plugin

QUICK JOB SEARCH

Enter Keyword(s)

Enter City

earnings for federal civilian workers rose about 54 percent in that period, from \$51,518 to \$79,197.

GROWTH IN BENEFITS

Federal workers also have seen more generous contributions and faster growth in benefits. From 2000 to 2008, the average annual value of private sector benefits -- mainly pension and health insurance contributions -- have risen 43 percent from \$6,910 to \$9,881. However, benefits for federal civilian workers jumped 65 percent from an average of \$24,669 in 2000 to \$40,785 in 2008.

In March, Rep. Ann Kirkpatrick, D-Ariz., introduced legislation to cut congressional salaries by 5 percent, from \$174,000 to \$165,300. If enacted, it would be the first pay cut for Congress since the Great Depression.

A similar measure for federal civilian employees would be difficult to do, especially for Democrats in an election year, but fiscally worth considering, said Pete Sepp, executive vice president of the National Taxpayers Union.



- Save 38% off regular home delivery subscription! [Click here.](#)

[recommend](#) [email](#) [print](#) [share](#) [Facebook](#) [Twitter](#) [buzz up!](#)

Join the discussion

The Miami Herald is pleased to provide this opportunity to share information, experiences and observations about what's in the news. Some of the comments may be reprinted elsewhere in the site or in the newspaper. We encourage lively, open debate on the issues of the day, and ask that you refrain from profanity, hate speech, personal comments and remarks that are off point. In order to post comments, you must be a registered user of MiamiHerald.com. Your username will show along with the comments you post. Thank you for taking the time to offer your thoughts.

Comments (8)

POWERED BY Pluck

You must be logged in to leave a comment. [Login](#) | [Register](#)

Comments: 8 Showing: Newest first



observer2121 wrote on 05/29/2010 09:23:35 PM:

Replying to BHarrison (05/29/2010 05:01:23 AM):
"Government workers purportedly account for approximately 40% of all of the the jobs. That, coupled with the fact that their salaries and benefits are dependent solely upon tax revenues, makes for an unsustainable situation. The pay and benefits for government employees, esp. Federal employees must...":

Where do you guys come up with this stuff? There are approximately 2.7 million federal workers, 19.8 million local and state government workers and 2.3 million in the armed services. That's a total of 24.8 million government workers. There are approximately 160 million workers in the USA. Government workers make up about 16% of the total workforce not your 40%. I agree that government salaries should be cut but we don't need to lie or make up numbers to justify it.

[Recommend \(0\)](#) [Report abuse](#)



Takenenough wrote on 05/29/2010 05:52:54 PM:

Replying to BHarrison (05/29/2010 05:01:23 AM):
"Government workers purportedly account for approximately 40% of all of the the jobs. That, coupled with the fact that their salaries and benefits are dependent solely upon tax revenues, makes for an unsustainable situation. The pay and benefits for government employees, esp. Federal employees must...":

I agree. In addition CEO compensation needs to be reevaluated too!!!!!!!

[Recommend \(0\)](#) [Report abuse](#)



Takenenough wrote on 05/29/2010 05:49:56 PM:

Replying to Taxpayer2010 (05/29/2010 03:33:54 PM):
"Need to dismantle the Government mafia exploiting taxpayers and wasting valuable resources that should rather be allocated in productive economic activities. Government salaries and benefits need to be slashed in proportion to tax collection's reductions and have most of its functions privatized in...":

Select a State - All United States -

Select a Category - All Job Categories -

Advanced Job Search
Search by Category

powered by careerbuilder.com

What about the Wall St., Banking, Mortgage Companies, Insurance Companies, and Ponzi Schemers Organize Criminals?

Recommend (0) Report abuse



Takenenough wrote on 05/29/2010 05:47:50 PM:

Government employees (Federal, State, and City) have to face the reality of todays economy. A realignment of benefits and pay is in order (specially of pensions). Some of the benefits, specially pensions, are simply unsustainable. And by the way, that same kind of thinking should be extended to the worst offenders, CEO's of companies. Many have done a bad job and continue to have astronomical, bloated pays and benefits. They need to be brought in line with todays economy too, by law if necessary. Examples: Wall St, Banks, Insurance Companies of all sorts, Mortgage Companies, Heads of Hospital and other Corporates CEO's. But that would not be brought out by these libertarians and tea baggers who think criticizing a Corporation is AntiAmerican.

Recommend (0) Report abuse



Takenenough wrote on 05/29/2010 05:45:28 PM:

Government employees (Federal, State, and City) have to face the reality of todays economy. A realignment of benefits and pay is in order (specially of pensions). Some of the benefits specially pensions are simply unsustainable. And by the why, that same kind of thinking should be extended to the worst offenders, CEO's of companies. Many have done a bad job and continue to have astronomical, bloated pays and benefits. They need to be brought in line with todays economy too, by law if necessary. Examples: Wall St, Banks, Insurance Companies of all sorts, Mortgage Companies, Heads of Hospital and other Corporates CEO's. But that would not be brought out by these libertarians and tea baggers who think criticizing a Corporation is AntiAmerican.

Recommend (0) Report abuse



ironmountain wrote on 05/29/2010 04:27:21 PM:

Cut their pay? Hell no. Lets give them a raise because they've been doing such a great job, just like we did with the banks.

Recommend (0) Report abuse



Taxpayer2010 wrote on 05/29/2010 03:33:54 PM:

Need to dismantle the Government mafia exploiting taxpayers and wasting valuable resources that should rather be allocated in productive economic activities. Government salaries and benefits need to be slashed in proportion to tax collection's reductions and have most of its functions privatized in open competitive bidding. Why should we work until we are 70 while these crooks retire early with outrageous pensions, benefits and health plans?

Recommend (1) Report abuse



BHarrison wrote on 05/29/2010 05:01:23 AM:

Government workers purportedly account for approximately 40% of all of the the jobs. That, coupled with the fact that their salaries and benefits are dependent solely upon tax revenues, makes for an unsustainable situation. The pay and benefits for government employees, esp. Federal employees must be reduced to be reasonably commensurate with tax revenues. Government workers pay taxes; but that only amounts to a small "recycling" of the tax revenue. The bulk of the tax revenues are paid for by the non-government workers. As noted in the article, the private secotorr adjustments are made "automatically" by economic conditions; but there is no such automatic adjustments for government employees. The salaries and benefits for government workers must be adjusted downward, minimally commensurate with the reduction in tax revenues.

Recommend (2) Report abuse

More comments on this story: 1

Government Auction Deals

Thousands of Auctions Added daily Low prices on all merchandise www.Liquidation.com

New Funding Applications

Start applying now with the top funding applications online today! USAFundingApplicatio

Employment Law Attorneys On Call 24/7

For Employment Law Advice, Consults, LegalHelpRightNow

ADS BY YAHOO!

NEWS

SPORTS

ENTERTAINMENT

BUSINESS

LIVING

OPINION

CLASSIFIEDS

SERVICES

Site Map

RSS | MY Y! | My Yahoo | Newsletters | Widgets | Mobile | Alerts | Twitter

Terms of Service | Privacy Policy | About our ads | Copyright | About The Miami Herald | About the McClatchy Company | Corrections | Contact Us | Advertise

Partners: El Nuevo Herald | Newspaper in Education | WLRN | Miami Herald News | CBS4 WFOR-TV | More

Copyright 2010 Miami Herald Media Co. All rights reserved

