



**FRUM IS "THE BALLS" OF THE
REPUBLICAN PARTY.**

~Stephen Colbert

FrumForum

search FF

stay connected



- [Home](#)
- [Blogger Index](#)
- [Video](#)
- [Podcasts](#)
- [Bookclub](#)
- [About Us](#)
- [Contact Us](#)
- [LOG IN](#)
- [RSS](#)

The Left's Billion Dollar Tea Party Lie

May 10th, 2010 at 11:28 pm by [Tim Mak](#) | [6 Comments](#) |

[Share](#)

The Koch brand is regularly assailed for funding all that liberals view as repugnant. Indeed, Charles and David Koch are the left's equivalent of George Soros – the figure that progressives love to hate. But digging deeper, one finds the Koch Foundations trying to distance themselves from Tea Partiers and modernizing to build a more governance-minded form of conservatism.

It's a name that evokes intense emotions, which, depending on your ideological placement, alternates between violent vituperation and hallowed awe. They're viewed as generous conservative benefactors on the one hand, and taciturn funders of hate and climate denialism on the other.

David and Charles Koch; their company, Koch Industries; and their non-profit organizations, known collectively as the Koch Foundations, together represent a polarizing brand. So which version is it? Are they gracious philanthropists or the evil founders of the tea party movement?

The first thing one is confronted with when looking at the Koch groups is the staggering magnitude of their holdings. Koch Industries had revenues of \$98 billion in 2009. Combined, the Koch brothers are worth close to \$40 billion. The Koch family's foundations have given millions upon millions to right-of-center organizations

like the Heritage Foundation, Americans for Prosperity, and the Mercatus Center.

However, despite the public nature of their funding activities, the Koch groups have traditionally been quite secretive. As a series of private organizations, they engage with the press only on exceptionally rare occasions. As such, Koch approached the idea of an interview with a good deal of corporate apprehension – so much so that one of the Koch brothers took time away from running their firm (which makes about \$186,329 a minute) to personally look over the quotes.

Koch interviews being such a rarity, [FrumForum](#) jumped at the chance to sit down for an unprecedented and exclusive interview with Dr. Richard Fink, who has the unique position of being both a Vice-President for Koch Industries and the President of the Charles G. Koch Foundation.

Most incredibly striking is Koch's efforts to distance itself from the Tea Party movement. "We've been labeled tea party founders or funders – in fact, masterminds – but that's not consistent with the facts," said Fink. "To my knowledge, we have not been approached for support by any of the newer 'tea party' or other grassroots groups that have sprung up around the country in the past year or so."

One organization that Fink help create and Koch has helped fund, Americans for Prosperity, regularly interfaces with tea party groups. Those who connect the Koch brand with the tea party movement view this as the link that allows liberal groups like the Center for American Progress to label the Koch brothers as the '[billionaires behind the hate](#)'.

Fink denied that Koch had anything to do with Americans for Prosperity's tea party activities. "I don't consider them a Tea Party institution," Fink added. "[The group] has been active for nearly thirty years. While they participate in events with tea party groups, our support of them has included no funds specifically for tea party-related efforts."

To press the point, Dr. Fink even had some mild criticism of the tea party movement. "Some of their worries are... more thoughtful, some of them are less thoughtful," he told [FrumForum](#).

While Koch has at times funded organizations that are activist, their focus remains overwhelmingly ideological, Fink argued:

Historically, we've spent the majority of our efforts funding research... we funded tens of thousands of students through their colleges, dozens of professors, we've been very heavy on the development of ideas and a deeper understanding of what makes societies prosper.

And with this vision, the Koch Foundations have enjoyed great success in creating the institutions which support the contemporary conservative movement. In fact, they have provided some of the most effective seed money in the conservative world – organizations like the Cato Institute and Mercatus Center might never have existed, absent Koch funding.

Koch spokeswoman Mary Beth Jarvis emphasizes that they focus primarily on getting organizations started, rather than continually funding organizations, using the metaphor of a ship-maker. "You help build the boat, you push it out to sea, and you hope it stays effective and in [the right] direction," said Jarvis.

True to his conservative mindset, Fink adds that while they "help them get started, give them seed money," they "then let [funded organizations] meet the market test of survival."

But Koch's efforts have hit a roadblock, even in the eyes of one of Koch's highest-ranking executives. "If you look at where we've gone from the year 2000 to now, with the expansion of government spending and a debt burden that threatens to bankrupt the country, it doesn't look very good at all... It looks like the infrastructure that was built and nurtured has not carried the day," said Fink.

Koch's efforts have been focused on developing conservative ideas, and Fink seems to indicate that Koch may

be changing to focus more on how conservatives should *govern*, rather than just theorize:

I think one of our big failings is that those of us coming from universities and think tanks are usually much better at theory than practice... Proposing solutions that aren't realistic or implementable or haven't been thought through fully can cause those proposals to do more harm than good.

The process is still in the works, it seems – in trying to explain the shortfalls of the Koch vision, Fink seemed to contradict himself, disavowing dogmatism while at the same time asserting the necessity of absolute adherence to conservative principles:

A dogmatic approach is very unproductive. But I've also seen, over the last thirty years, a lot of people taking compromise positions and incremental steps that actually take us down slippery slopes and end up creating results antithetical to what they were trying to achieve. We need a principled approach. We should not violate our principles. But we also have to be practical in our application.

But an eye towards governance is a step in the right direction. After all, as Fink says, "in terms of [the tangible] results [of our funding], it's really not all that clear to me... [we] need to get more into the practical, day to day issues of governing to be successful."

Of course, while practicality may be one of [FrumForum](#)'s primary concerns, the true detractors of the Koch brand say that their money is used to lay down the Astroturf sod that makes up the tea party movement; that it funds climate denialism; and that it goes towards deregulating industries in ways that personally benefit the Koch family.

Koch has funded groups that deny the existence of anthropogenic global warming, and does vigorously support deregulation in the industries which it operates (but also supports deregulation in industries where it doesn't). But much like Koch's reaction to the tea parties, Fink argues that their funding is one step removed – Koch doesn't direct research outcomes, just institutions that do research.

Fink struck back at critics generally, hitting back at those who accuse Koch of using 'dirty money' to fuel 'dirty projects':

If a critic is not really interested in problem solving or trying to make the world a better place, but just character assassination and attacking us... we're not going to react to that or spend any time on that at all.

Towards the end of the conversation, Fink struck a more somber tone when talking about the Koch brand's mistakes. Without getting into specifics, Fink admitted that Koch Industries has been, at times, guilty of wrongdoing:

Koch Industries has got over 70,000 employees operating in sixty countries over a fifty year period... We have an incredible record in terms of safety, the environment and compliance with the law. Have we made mistakes over the fifty year period, with all those people? Absolutely yes. Do we have regrets? Yes. We've owned up to all of them.

Even with its mistakes, the Koch brand has generously funded cancer research, provided money for a new wing in the Smithsonian, supported dance and the arts, and most relevantly to me, provided extraordinarily beneficial seed dollars to the conservative movement.

Indeed, even conservatives who disagree with, say, Cato's views would have to agree that they provide a meaningful contribution to the movement's intellectual vibrancy. But the question remains: given the challenges of 2010, what's next beyond policy papers?

Now approaching middle age, the Koch Foundations appear to be reconsidering their strategy. Governance

matters – and if Koch begins funding projects with an eye towards the necessary compromises that often accompanies government decisions, then conservatives have a world of benefit to gain.

Recent Posts by Tim Mak

- [A Sneak Peek at California's GOP Senate Debate](#)
- [Palin's Surprising Fiorina Endorsement](#)
- [Cal GOP Senate Candidates Ready for Final Showdown](#)
- [Captain Kirk as Canada's Head of State?](#)
- [The Bravest Man on Radio](#)

6 Comments so far ↓

- **balconesfault** // [May 10, 2010 at 11:44 pm](#)

I think one of our big failings is that those of us coming from universities and think tanks are usually much better at theory than practice... Proposing solutions that aren't realistic or implementable or haven't been thought through fully can cause those proposals to do more harm than good.

Thanks for coming to that conclusion AFTER the Bush Presidency.

- **sgath** // [May 11, 2010 at 1:00 am](#)

What about Michael Johns the health care executive and one of the main founders of the Tea Party movement? Give me a break.

- **COProgressive** // [May 11, 2010 at 1:18 am](#)

Tim wrote;

“Most incredibly striking is Koch’s efforts to distance itself from the Tea Party movement. “We’ve been labeled tea party founders or funders – in fact, masterminds – but that’s not consistent with the facts,” said Fink. “To my knowledge, we have not been approached for support by any of the newer ‘tea party’ or other grassroots groups that have sprung up around the country in the past year or so.””

“Not consistent with the facts”, “by any of the newer “tea party” or other groups”. Sounds like weasel words to me. The Koch’s funded Americans for Prosperity and they in turn funded the older “tea party” folks that showed up at last summer’s town hall meeting with their list of way to amplify their message regarding stopping Healthcare reform.

Now I know that the Koch’s would like to keep a firewall between themselves and what they have been doing to OUR body politic, but the fact of the matter is that the whole Tea Party movement was a astro-turf organization funded to carry out the agenda of the Koch’s.

- **ottovbvs** // [May 11, 2010 at 8:13 am](#)

.....The Koch’s had nothing whatever to do with funding Arney or the tea party movement.....yeah right.

- **fromks** // [May 11, 2010 at 8:26 am](#)

How incredibly one-sided. The Koch organization has also tried to distance itself from American’s For

Prosperity, an organization it founded to lobby against taxation in Kansas. The organization advocated cutting prison and school budgets even as the State's schools are taking hundreds of millions of dollars in cuts and prisons are having to cut back on their post-release supervision, meaning more and more parolees go without supervision.

The organization is also costs the states where it operates millions of dollars if not more in environmental clean-up. It works out huge tax incentives for itself, then fails to clean up the mess it causes in the states. When fined by the EPA, it spends years taking the cases through court, not because it has a legitimate claim, but only to cost the government as much money as possible in hopes that it will stop prosecuting valid claims. To act like this group is interested in responsible, limited government is disingenuous at best. It's fine with the government handling the costs of running its outputs and clean-up. It's also fine with taxpayer subsidies for itself and the organizations it uses to advance its political causes. But when it comes to the government enforcing its law, or educating its citizens (even on a state level), or protecting its citizens from crime, suddenly Koch thinks the government is overstepping its bounds.

And about giving money to kids for college. Yes it does, but it also gets a large amount of access to those schools to skim talent, and it is able to lobby in the schools in hopes that future legislatures don't come down on it. So, I'd hardly call it a philanthropic effort.

- **forgetn** // [May 11, 2010 at 9:27 am](#)

You've got to be kidding!

The Koch family is probably the best know funding source for what can be best described as the 'Far Right', too damn bad that they are now embarrassed by their progeny. That's what happens when you plant seeds, something a monster emerges, but to say that they bear no responsibility for the Tea Party movement is disingenuous.

Finally sending their family lawyer minion, and I use that term with intent, makes them look cheap and sleazy. You know that what is says is absolutely legally correct, they can state that they've never supported the Tea party movement — and yet you know that funding the guy that funds the Tea Party amounts more or less to the same thing!

You bought it, you wear it!

Leave a Comment

You must [log in](#) to post a comment.





[OP Case for “Cash for Caulkers” Human-Animal Hybrid Ban Goes Too Far](#)



- [1](#)
- [2](#)
- [3](#)
- [4](#)
- [5](#)



DAILYBLOG: THE TIMES'
DANIEL FINKELSTEIN
ON THE UK ELECTION

In The Forum



kennethsilber & hazy on Constitution re treaties. RT @daveweigel Read the new, awesome Maine GOP platform, written by tea partyers. <http://bit.ly/9idLEL> / 15 minutes ago



EliLake Dear 1980s You had some great moments. We miss you un-ironically <http://bit.ly/bgHf6Q> 19 minutes ago



davidfrum RT @tpmmedia: Biden: Kagan 'was right' to ban military recruiters from Harvard Law School <http://tpm.ly/ac8uUN> // In hole, still digging. 23 minutes ago



Join the conversation

@DavidFrum



davidfrum RT @tpmmedia:
Biden: Kagan 'was right' to ban
military recruiters from Harvard
Law School
<http://tpm.ly/ac8uUN> // In
hole, still digging.
23 minutes ago



davidfrum Tim Mak gets unique
access to the Koch Foundation
- and discovers a surprising self-
reinvention.
<http://bit.ly/2zfAwO>
2 hours ago



davidfrum Also - no veterans,
no corporate lawyers, nobody
w much private-sector
counseling experience at all.
Diversity!
11 hours ago



Join the conversation




IS HOLLYWOOD BAILING ON POLANSKI?



DID SARKO & BRUNI KEEP QUEEN WAITING WHILE THEY WERE IN BED?



TIGER & ELIN WILL SHARE CUSTODY OF KIDS IN DIVORCE



CONAN O'BRIEN TO FUNDRAISE FOR AL FRANKEN



ROGER EBERT & TEA PARTIERS IN TWEETFIGHT



SCHIEFFER: POL WOULD NOT SHARE WAITING ROOM WITH OPPOSING PARTY



BO BECOMES A BEANIE



BRIT JAILED FOR KISSING SLAMS DUBAI "HYPOCRISY"




SPOTTED: SANFORD IN FLORIDA KEYS WITH ARGENTINE MISTRESS



OBAMA DISSES THE IPAD

- **books by friends**



- **ff in the news**



- **ff shop**



Have ideas, will travel. More products available. [VISIT OUR SHOP.](#)



FF Press Releases

- [Home](#)
- [Blogger Index](#)
- [Video](#)
- [Podcasts](#)
- [Bookclub](#)
- [About](#)
- [Archives](#)

© 2009–2010 FrumForum.com | [Privacy Policy](#) | [User Agreement](#) | [Advertise](#) | [Comment Policy](#)

For questions or comments, please contact us at editor@frumforum.com

[Design, Hosting & Web Development](#) :: [ePublishing](#).