

The Denver Post

**December** 18, 2009 Friday  
FINAL EDITION

## All the president's mendacity

**BYLINE:** David Harsanyi Denver Post Columnist

**SECTION:** Pg. B-11

**LENGTH:** 610 words

President [Barack Obama](#) ▼ grimly warned America this week that if his health care plans fail, the nation will go "bankrupt."

Sure, adding another trillion-dollar entitlement program to our \$12 trillion debt may seem like a counterintuitive way to stave off economic ruin, but who are we to argue? The president's got smarts.

And like with so many issues, [Obama](#) ▼ adorned his rhetoric with sharp warnings of calamity should he fail, fabricated consensus to buttress his case and a promise of rapture should he succeed.

You'll remember it was [Obama](#) ▼ who cautioned that failure to pass the stimulus boondoggle would "turn a crisis into a catastrophe." He claimed that a failure to act on cap and trade will lead us to "irreversible catastrophe" and a failure to pass a government-run health care system will mean "more Americans dying every day."

It's like living the Old Testament. Scary.

Holy burning bushes! Did you know that everyone, and I mean everyone, agrees with the [president? Obama](#) ▼ stressed this week that you can "talk to every health care economist out there, and they will tell you that whatever ideas are - whatever ideas exist in terms of bending the cost curve and starting to reduce costs for families, businesses and government, those elements are in this bill."

Not "some" or "most" or "Peter Orszag on a two-day bender" but "every" health care economist in the entire world would tell you as much.

This sort of exaggeration reminds us of another whopper the president unloaded in January while promoting the stimulus plan, when he claimed that "there is no disagreement that we need action by our government, a recovery plan that will help jump start the economy."

No disagreement whatsoever ... until the **CATO Institute** found 200 economists from major universities around the country that did have a disagreement - and judging from the stimulus plan's impressive impotence, perhaps [Obama](#) ▼ should have lent them an ear.

So when [Obama](#) ▼ says that "whatever ideas exist" to help with cost are featured in the health care bills, let's chalk it up to his propensity to exaggerate, embellish, or worse.

What about re-importation of pharmaceuticals developed and manufactured in the United States - available now more cheaply abroad? Is that an idea that exists? (Drug companies, a group that [Obama](#) ▼ regularly condemned before cutting a sweetheart deal, made sure that idea was DOA.)

What about balancing tax codes so that those with employee-provided health insurance and those with individual health insurance can benefit from the same benefits? Does that idea exist? You don't even need a staff of researchers to find economists who say it does.

What about opening up health insurance markets beyond state lines to create competition and more access? What about tort reform to end frivolous lawsuits? What about expanding health savings and Flex accounts instead of killing them?

Let's concede that there might be a number of ideas - both on the left and right - that haven't been embraced. Still, the most misleading assertion of the president is that his focus is on bending the cost curve in the right direction - or that it's even a goal. The prevailing objective of health care "reform" has been to expand coverage to the uninsured and to throw federal control on everyone. Cost has proven to be largely irrelevant - other than a political consideration.

Of course, ignoring the substantive ideas of the ideological opposition is not in and of itself new for presidents or politicians. But [Obama](#) ▼'s fondness for creating imaginary consensus and offering false choices to the American people has been something to behold.

E-mail David Harsanyi at [dharsanyi@denverpost.com](mailto:dharsanyi@denverpost.com)

#### Find Documents with Similar Topics

[Help](#)

Below are concepts discussed in this document. Select terms of interest and either modify your search or search within the current results set

#### Industry

HEALTH INSURANCE

#### Subject

INSOLVENCY & BANKRUPTCY

US PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES 2008

US PRESIDENTS

US ECONOMIC STIMULUS PLAN 2008-2009

#### Geography

UNITED STATES

#### People

BARACK OBAMA

[Modify Search with Selections](#)

OR

[Narrow Search with Index Terms](#)

[Show Major and Minor Index Terms](#) | [Show Relevancy Scores](#) | [Clear Selections](#)

**SUBJECT:** US PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES 2008 (90%); US PRESIDENTS (90%); INSOLVENCY & BANKRUPTCY (90%); HEALTH INSURANCE (89%); US ECONOMIC STIMULUS PLAN 2008-2009 (89%); HEALTH CARE (78%); INSURANCE (74%); GOVERNMENT HEALTH INSURANCE (73%); RESEARCH INSTITUTES (67%); PHARMACEUTICALS INDUSTRY (66%); TAXES & TAXATION (50%); TAX LAW (50%)

**PERSON:** BARACK OBAMA (95%); PETER ORSZAG (54%); JOSEPH C PAPA (51%)

**GEOGRAPHIC:** UNITED STATES (94%)

**LOAD-DATE:** December 18, 2009

**LANGUAGE:** ENGLISH

**DOCUMENT-TYPE:** Column

**PUBLICATION-TYPE:** Newspaper

Copyright 2009 The Denver Post  
All Rights Reserved

---

Search Terms [{"cato institute"}](29) [View search details](#)

Source  [The Denver Post]

Show Full with Indexing

Sort Publication Date

Date/Time December 22 2009 13:38:23

 21 of 29

[Back to Top](#)



[About LexisNexis](#) | [Terms & Conditions](#) | [My ID](#)

Copyright © 2009 LexisNexis, a division of Reed Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.