



| [contact](#)

search

[home](#)

[about IWF](#)

[research areas](#)

[campus](#)

[inkwell blog](#)

[women for school choice](#)

[about our children](#)

[scholars](#)

[media](#)

[press room](#)

[video](#)

[radio](#)

[bookstore](#)

[policy papers](#)

[newsletters](#)

[events](#)

[RSS feeds](#)

[donate](#)

DONATE

Independent Women's Forum
4400 Jenifer St. Suite 240
Washington, DC 20015
ph 202.419.1820
fax 202.419.1821



Connect with IWF:

[IWF on facebook](#)

[IWF on Twitter](#)

[IWF on YouTube](#)

[IWF on myspace](#)

[IWF on iTunes](#)



IN THE MEDIA

IWF in the News: The Big Question: Should Liberals Scuttle Senate Health Deal?



with Michelle D. Bernard
December 16, 2009

[The Hill](#)

Some of the nation's top political commentators, legislators and intellectuals offer some insight into the biggest question burning up the blogosphere today. Today's question:

Howard Dean said yesterday that "the best thing to do right now is kill the Senate [healthcare] bill ..."

Will liberals in Congress take Dean's advice? Should they?

Hal Lewis, professor at UC Santa Barbara, said:

No one should ever take Howard Dean's advice, even when he accidentally happens to be right. As for Nancy Pelosi and Harry Reid taking anyone's advice, you must be kidding.

Brian Katulis, senior fellow at the Center for American Progress, said:

Liberals aren't likely to follow Howard Dean's advice as a whole - some will, some won't, and in the end something that is less than half of a loaf will pass. People will forget what the months of debate were all about, and the media will help the Obama administration trumpet its first year in office successes. If liberals in Congress wanted to stand for something, then they could at least stand by their own words - but I don't see that happening in any organized fashion anytime soon.

Michael Cannon, director of Health Policy Studies at the Cato Institute, said:

Now that the "public option" is dead, both the Left and the Right should be able to agree: the Senate bill is nothing but a \$450 billion bailout of the private insurance companies.

In fact, the bailout may be several multiples of that figure.

That \$450 billion just represents checks that the Treasury would write to private insurance companies. The Reid bill would also force nearly every U.S. citizen to fork over cash to the private insurance companies - no matter how lousy a deal they offer. A recent CBO memo reveals that Reid has been meticulously working behind closed doors to conceal the full cost of his private-insurer bailout.

The Left and the Right should insist that Reid produce a complete CBO score that reveals the full cost of his bill's private-insurer bailout - in particular, the cost of the individual and employer mandates.

Left-wing Democrats will follow their own consciences when deciding how to vote. But they should force Reid to be honest about what he's asking them to swallow.

Craig Newmark, founder of Craigslist, said:

There's a lot of suffering out here, including people who lack healthcare coverage, lots more every day.

People of good conscience are working together to get the best deal for reform, it's all hands on deck.

I'd like to remind all Senators, in particular, to vote their conscience, to relieve the suffering of many. Put the country first.

John Feehery, Pundits Blog contributor, said:

Yes....by all means yes. Liberals should kill this bill. If it becomes law, the democrats will lose their majorities. This is bad politics for the liberal majority, so they should kill it, and pass something less ambitious and move on to other things.

Justin Raimondo, editorial director for [Antiwar.com](#), said:

RELATED CONTENT

[IWF in the News: The Big Question: What Do Democratic Exits Mean for 2010 Races?](#)
January 6, 2010

ARTICLE TOOLS

print send to a friend

ADD THIS


FOLLOW IWF ON TWITTER



MEDIA INQUIRIES

IWF scholars are available for press interviews. Members of the media should contact [Christina Jamison](#) at (202) 349-5889 to schedule interviews or for general inquiries.

MEDIA HIGHLIGHTS 2006-2007



[Larger Size »](#)

RECENT POSTS

[Inkwell Blog](#)

[Really? Blaming Bush for the Haiti Disaster?](#)

[Campus Corner](#)

[IWF 2009-2010 ESSAY CONTEST](#)

The Independent Women's Forum's R. Gaull Silberman Center for Collegiate Studies is proud to announce its fifth annual college essay contest. Click h...

SIGN UP FOR EMAIL UPDATES

Enter your email address

GO



They won't take his advice, but they should. Once Americans find out that they have to buy health insurance, and will be fined for not doing so, they will expect some compensating benefit. When they find out there's none, they are going to be extremely pissed off. And then the 2010 backlash is going to be even bigger than it might already be.

John F. McManus, president of the John Birch Society, said:

The advice given the Senate by Howard Dean is precisely what should be done. The healthcare bill is a monstrous piece of legislation that is unconstitutional, hugely expensive, and remarkably threatening to the best healthcare system in the world. While the recommendation given by Dean is surprising coming from him, let's remember that a stopped clock registers the correct time twice each day. He's correct in this instance and his recommendation should be acted upon favorably.

Michelle D. Bernard, president & CEO of the Independent Women's Forum, said:

Liberals Will Ignore Dean and Trust that Government Will Expand on its Own

A growing number of liberals seem to have accepted the idea that passing a health care reform bill - no matter what's in it and what's not - is their top, indeed their only, priority. Let's face it, no one knows what's in the latest iteration of the Senate legislation, and even if all is revealed soon, there is hardly enough time for Senators to consider seriously the many consequences, intended and unintended, of the provisions in this two thousand plus page legislation.

Liberals who previously had suggested that they might not support a bill that didn't contain a "public option" or an equivalent seem to have decided that it's best to pass this monstrosity and trust that its regulations and mandates will further cripple private insurance and increase public support for a single payer system. And that may be a sound strategy for them, even if it's disastrous for the American public.

Liberals should listen to Howard Dean. This hastily crafted bill (which is increasingly a patchwork of political favors cobbled together with the one goal of buying 60 votes) should be recognized as a mistake regardless of your ideology.

1 COMMENT

[Gloria](#) | January 11, 2010, 8:30pm | <#>

Ms. Bernard, I admire you and am very proud when I see you on the air. However, People still use Colored, Negro, & Black. If you grew up in a particular era, you have tendency to fall back on the familiar without even thinking sometimes. I use African American, but to be honest, I'm a Negro. I like the ties that bind me to my history. My father says colored, he is 90 years old. People in there 80 and 90's depending on their education in the south, I found, determine what name they use. (Not scientific of course). So you sound a little strange on chris Matthews show tonight.

Comment on this appearance:

Name:

Email:

URL:

Remember Me

Comments: