


- [Skip to content](#)
  - [Skip to menu](#)
- 

## [Peoples Press Collective](#)

Bloggage and Original News Coverage From Colorado and Around the Country

Search  

[RSS](#)

- [Home](#)
  - [Caucus Training 2010](#)
  - [Citizen Journalists](#)
  - [Grassroots Hub](#)
  - [PPC Manifesto](#)
  - [Videos and Photos](#)
- 

Jan 20 2010

### [Cato's David Boaz on Scott Brown's victory](#)

Category: [PPC](#) — [Brian Schwartz](#) @ 1:30 am

[David Boaz](#), Executive VP of the Cato Institute, has a well-linked post with some good insights. Some excerpts:

Scott Brown takes over a seat in the United States Senate that has been held by one family (including its seat-fillers) for just over 57 years, since John F. Kennedy was elected to it in 1952, before Brown was born. [Massachusetts](#) hadn't elected a Republican senator since 1972. ...

... [T]he Brown victory is a flashing red light with a siren warning Democrats not to proceed with a health care bill that voters don't like and a big-government agenda that Americans weren't voting for in 2008.

Brown is [no libertarian](#). But he campaigned against the Obama-Reid-Pelosi health care plan and against tax increases, so he will be part of the opposition to the current governing agenda. ...

How does an entrenched political party respond to a successful rebellion? Well, one way is for both the local and national officials to [refuse to certify the results of the election](#) and try to ram unpopular programs with the votes of rejected legislators. Would Democrats try to do that with more elections looming in just 10 months? Harry Reid and Barney Frank say

[absolutely not](#). Expect a lot of scrambling this week.

Read the whole post: [The Brown Revolution](#).

For more conjectures on Brown's victory will affect pending health care bills see [James Capretta's post at National Review](#).



Tags: [PPC](#), [Syndicated](#)

[Original Post on Source Blog](#)



## Leave a Reply

### NOTICE:

— Comments do not necessarily reflect the views of People's Press Collective or its content contributors.

— Posting comments at People's Press Collective is a privilege, not a right.

— Obscene, abusive, or stalking comments may be deleted, but the fact that particular comments remain on the site

in no way constitutes an endorsement of their views by People's Press Collective or its content contributors.

— Spam, gibberish, or excessively off-topic comments may likewise be deleted.

— Abuse of the commenting privilege may be considered cause for banning at the sole discretion of Peoples Press Collective.

— While contributing bloggers are free to endorse candidates in the State's primary races, People's Press Collective

does not endorse specific State primary candidates. Therefore, the appropriate location to debate a contributor's

endorsement of specific a State primary candidate is not here on People's Press Collective, but on that

contributor's original source blog.

Name (required)

Mail (will not be published) (required)

Website

Security Code:

