

Townhall

Country Overboard! Women and Children Last!

Ann Coulter

June 27, 2018

I'm still ticked off at him for not building the wall, but THANK YOU, PRESIDENT TRUMP, FOR POINTING OUT THAT MAXINE WATERS HAS A LOW I.Q.!

And there's more great news! Contrary to every single New York Times editorial and opinion piece on the president's "Muslim ban," this week, the Supreme Court upheld the ban.

Or, as a Times op-ed put it back on Jan. 27, 2017: "(T)he order is illegal. More than 50 years ago, Congress outlawed such discrimination against immigrants based on national origin. ..." -- "Trump's Immigration Ban Is Illegal," by David J. Bier, immigration policy analyst at the Cato Institute.

For your immigration news, New York Times, maybe stop thinking you're getting "both sides" by going to open borders activists at the International Refugee Assistance Project and, for the opposing view, open borders activists at the Cato Institute.

Last week, in a column that does *not* misstate the facts and the law about immigration, I covered some typical asylum and refugee admissions to our country, including Beatrice Munyenyezi. She was the Rwandan who got into our country by claiming to be a victim of the genocide that killed nearly a million people, even though she had helped orchestrate it.

Munyenzezi wasn't the only participant in the Rwandan genocide who's gotten in as a victim and then been unmasked as a perpetrator. So far, nearly 400 Rwandans granted special refugee status have been convicted of lying on visa applications about their role in the genocide. Great job, U.S. refugee admissions officials!

Courts are dealing with so many genocidal Rwandans who came to America as "refugees" that just last Friday, a federal appeals court upheld the conviction of another one, Gervais "Ken" Ngombwa, who not only lied about his participation in the genocide, but also about his family relationships. (You can't get *anything* past our State Department!)

Aside from our immigration authorities missing little things like the Rwandan genocide, what is the argument for taking in millions of people from backward cultures, hotbeds of *real* racism, pederasty, misogyny -- as opposed to the "microaggressions" that are the bane of our culture?

It's one thing to use quotas as a response to slavery and Jim Crow in our own country, but why do we have to have an immigration quota for "people who don't live here, have never seen an indoor toilet, and rape little girls for sport"?

Liberals act as if they are striking a blow for feminism by importing desperate women from misogynistic cultures to America. But, even to the extent they're telling the truth, the women aren't always victims only. They're often co-conspirators.

Remember the Baby Hope case? In 1991, a little girl's unidentified body was found in an Igloo cooler alongside the Henry Hudson Parkway. Twenty-two years later, the New York City police finally solved the case: The perpetrator turned out to be Baby Hope's illegal alien cousin from Mexico, who had raped and killed her when she was 4 years old.

And how had he escaped justice for 22 years? The girl's mother and aunt, also illegals, helped orchestrate the cover-up. The aunt helped dispose of the body and the girl's mother never said a peep, despite admitting that she suspected all along that the corpse in the cooler was her unreported, missing daughter.

Hmong girls in Minnesota are regularly gang raped by Hmong men, but the Hmong community - even the girls' mothers -- blame the rape victims, and the attacks go unreported. These aren't cultures of strong women and criminal men. It's more like criminal men and complicit women.

(One of the major articles reporting on the Hmong rape culture, helping diversify America, was Pam Louwagie and Dan Browning's "Shamed Into Silence," published in the Minneapolis Star Tribune in 2005. It used to be here: startribune.com/local/11594631.html. The detailed story won first place for In Depth Reporting from the Minnesota Society of Professional Journalists, but it seems to have disappeared from the Tribune's website. Welcome to the Soviet Union!)

In San Francisco, we had the young Indian sex slaves of pederast Lakireddy Bali Reddy *testifying on his behalf*. Once he was finally busted -- not by our fantastic "democracy dies in darkness" mainstream media, but by a local high school newspaper -- we found out his child rape victims thought they deserved it. They could not be coaxed to testify against him. Some took the stand on his behalf. They were all given asylum. We didn't change them; they just moved here, without altering their belief in human slavery or the caste system one iota.

Americans are told we have to understand that it's part of their native cultures.

Exactly! It's *their* culture. We're not rescuing anybody; we're bringing in diseased cultures. The alleged refugees don't float above and apart from their societies. Feminists may see the world as the Boy team versus the Girl team, but in reality, it's the Civilized team versus the Primitive team. Virtually every woman outside of the First World lives in an abusive society. We can't take them all in.

How did violent, backward, misogynistic cultures become our problem? Did we take a vote and agree to be the world's charity ward?

Democrats who claim to be defenders of the weak, the marginal and vulnerable are happy to toss our safe, functioning country aside -- as long as they can wreck America (and get their housework done at the same time!). The left's central political philosophy is based on resentment toward historical America.

They're just like the feminists willing to forgive Bill Clinton for rape. *Well, you know, taking in the totality of his contributions ...* Today, it's: Who cares what kind of society we become -- provided America is no more.

Primitive people will not stop trying to come here until America is no different from Calcutta. Then, liberals' work will be done. And there will be no charity ward left for anyone to flee to.

That's how much liberals care about women and children.