



Non-Sequiturs: 01.23.13

By Elie Mystal - January 23, 2013

* If you've been waiting for the definitive, Kashmir Hill, what in the hell is Catfishing article, here you go. [Not-So Private Parts / Forbes]

* Let me just say that societies that fully utilize the talents of women have an inherent advantage over the ones that don't. With one rules change, we now have twice as many potential combat soldiers. Glory. [Daily Beast]

* A "Good Samaritan" gun owner defended a little boy from pit bulls by shooting at the dogs who were mauling the little boy. Look, as a dad, can I just say that if you see some pit bulls attacking my son, please help... by running at the pit bulls and saying, "Git, git away from that boy," not by shooting a freaking hand cannon towards my child! [Cato @ Liberty / Cato Institute]

* Okay, who has standing to sue for a violation of the 27th Amendment? Who? I want this to happen. Come on, constitutional scholars. Make it happen. Let's see who really cares about "all" the amendments, not just the ones that allow people to shoot each other. [The Note / ABC News]

* I mean we're suing over sandwiches, aren't we? [Legal Blog Watch]

* Slow your roll, NAACP. I'm pretty sure that the 14th Amendment doesn't protect the rights of black people to become diabetic with oversized sugary drinks. [Gawker]