

Politico reporter apparently doesn't know the definition of "federalism"

Fri, 01/18/2013 - 3:04pm | posted by Jeremy Kolassa

Like 9 people like this. Sign Up to see what your friends like.

POLITICO

Twitter is full of morons. But today, the Moron of the Day is Politico senior writer Steve Freiss, who tweeted:



No, Steve, they're not.

This is why we're up crap creek without a paddle these days—because people just do not understand what terms used in politics actually mean. It is true that in the very beginning, back when we were still under the Articles of Confederation, that federalists wanted a stronger national, federal government. But they did not want "one size fits all" policies for the states, and were very adamant about having power distributed across the states, and between the states and the federal government.

Read up on Madison, Adams, and Jefferson, man. It's not that hard. Or [take a look at the Cato Institute](#). Or hell, [Cornell University Law School](#). Or any number of other places. It's pretty obvious what federalism means, and Steve Freiss is an ignorant fool to completely miss it.

Yet, as I've noted, he's a senior writer at Politico. And these are the guys writing stories and feeding information to the masses—people who have absolutely no idea about American politics and what words actually mean. These are likely also the same reporters and writers crafting stories that are subtly pro-gun control.