

## Obama Proposes New Department of Corporate Welfare

## By Tad DeHaven

Contrary to what various news outlets are reporting, President Obama is NOT proposing to cut government. The administration is proposing to take four independent federal agencies that spe in corporate welfare – along with the Office of the U.S. Trade Representative – and combine th with corporate welfare programs at the Department of Commerce to form what would I would ar should be called the Department of Corporate Welfare.

According to reports, this rearranging of the deck chairs would save \$300 million a year. That's peanuts. Worse, those alleged savings will be of no consequence to taxpayers as there is not suggest that the president intends to cut overall spending for the agencies comprising the new bureaucracy. That portends *bigger* government, not smaller. The president is trying to sell the American taxpayer a false bill of goods.

The president's proposal is also an attempt to counter the perception – an accurate one – that administration's policies are detrimental to commerce. But corporate welfare is detrimental to commerce because the market distortions it creates hinder economic output. Making it easier f select businesses to help themselves to taxpayer-financed subsidies would only perpetrate the sort of crony capitalist schemes that gave us Solyndra and the Chevy Volt.

Of course, no transparent attempt to appear "business friendly" would be complete without a bc toss to the Small Business Administration. The "bone" this time is the president's intention to el the head of the SBA to the Cabinet. As I discuss in a <u>Cato essay on the SBA</u>, rather than helpin small businesses compete against big businesses, the SBA's loan guarantees mainly help a tin share of small businesses compete against other small businesses. In reality, the biggest bene of the SBA is the banks, which reap the profits from the loans guaranteed by the agency.

Finally, Republican policymakers talk a good game about cutting government, but they often hid behind calls for making the federal government "more efficient." Now that the president has seiz political opportunity to sing from the GOP's hymnal, it'll be interesting – if not entertaining – to s how Republican policymakers respond. To avoid embarrassment, I recommend offering specific

spending cuts.

## **Tad DeHaven**

<u>Tad DeHaven</u> is a budget analyst at the <u>Cato Institute</u>. Previously he was a deputy director of I Indiana Office of Management and Budget. DeHaven also worked as a budget policy advisor to Senators Jeff Sessions (R-AL) and Tom Coburn (R-OK).