READER SERVICES

News

**OUR NETWORK OF SITES** 

Sports

Business

Sunny 48°F | Forecast

Entertainment Life & Arts



**Obituaries** 

Sign in L.Join

Star-Telegram.com Search Web Search powered by YAHOO! SEARCH

Cars Homes Classifieds Jobs FindNSave

**Editorials** Columnists Letters to the Editor Cheers and Jeers Linda Campbell Mike Norman J.R. Labbe Bob Ray Sanders More



# Still the **BEST OPPORTUNITY** in the Metroplex!!

Opinion

10am-7pm | 817-284-2390





Home > Editorials & Opinions > Linda Campbell

## LINDA CAMPBELL

RSS

Mobile

Online

Texas brief: tinyurl.com/7zhfycz

Supreme Court: www.supremecourt.gov.

Find briefs and analysis at txredistricting.org.

Cases are Perry v. Perez

and Perry v. Davis, Nos.

11-713, 11-714, 11-715

Have more to add?

News tip? Tell us

Newsletters MY YAHOO!

Texas redistricting brief argues for demise of Voting Rights Act

Posted Wednesday, Jan. 04, 2012 1 Comment Print Reprints Share

Topics: Government Policies, Voting Rights Act, Redistricting

Quick links: Submit a letter Submit a Cheer or Jeer

ARTICLE

COMMENTS

BY LINDA P. CAMPBELL Icampbell@star-telegram.com



Texas' Republican leaders dislike the Voting Rights

No revelation there.

Republicans also supposedly despise having unelected "activist" judges supersede the will of

elected representatives

Heard that broken record.

But if the state's lawyers could entice the Supreme Court to use Texas redistricting as a tool for smacking down Section 5 of the act before the 2012 elections?

Well, woo-hoo! Ambitious and calculating Texas Attorney General Greg Abbott no doubt wouldn't complain.

And the will of Congress in reauthorizing the act in 2006? Too bad, so sad.

The Voting Rights Act of 1965 was historic legislation designed to protect racial and ethnic minorities who had been subject to intimidation, poll taxes, arbitrary tests and other practices that impeded their political participation. Congress has renewed the law multiple times, the last for 25 years. The vote was 390-33 in the House, 98-0 in the Senate (1.usa.gov/wMO43U). It would be political suicide to vote against an iconic civil rights law.

A July 27, 2006, White House news release about the reauthorization said: "In signing this bill, President [George W.] Bush honored the memory of three women who devoted their lives to the struggle for civil rights -- Fannie Lou Hamer, Rosa Parks and Coretta Scott King." (bit.ly/we0Ded)

But the act's Section 5 has long been a lightning rod. It's not hard to see why. It requires jurisdictions with a history of illegal discrimination to get voting changes approved by the Justice Department or a federal court. The point is to prevent changes that reduce the ability of racial and language minority groups to elect candidates of their choice. That in itself is a somewhat amorphous standard that causes plenty of argument.

And preclearance applies only to Texas and 16 other states, though some of those are only partly covered. The group includes Alaska, New York and South Dakota as well as former members of the Confederacy, and inclusion is based on 1972 conditions. Jurisdictions can get a court declaration that they no longer need to be subject to the requirement, and dozens have, mostly in Virginia.

In a 2009 case involving the Northwest Austin Municipal Utility District, the Supreme Court wrestled with Section 5's constitutionality but ultimately left it intact.

The Texas redistricting question that the justices will take up during arguments Monday isn't directly







#### Other favorites:

Cowboys Rangers Mays Stars TCU UT A&M Tech OU UTA UNT SMU

MOST POPULAR

Video shows gruesome slaying at Fort Worth store

In an about-face, Rick Perry keeps presidential bid

With no good QB options, Cowboys can get defensive in draft

Cowboys started, ended 2011 season with questions

'Time cloak' hid event in experiment, physicists say



#### **H W Construction** Creating a better place to come

home to! All work done right! 817-461-6530

### Sanchez Firewood

College Fund firewood 100% split pecan \$200 cord del 817-559-5798

1/5/2012 12:39 PM 1 of 2

about Section 5.

The high court has been asked to decide which voting districts the state is supposed to use this year in races for the state House and Senate and Congress: maps drawn by the Legislature, a different set devised by a three-judge federal court in San Antonio or some variation on one or the other. The ongoing confusion over the maps stems from delays in getting the Legislature's plans pre-cleared. A federal panel in Washington, D.C., has said some of those maps are problematic, and a trial set for later this month is needed to sort things out.

Ilya Shapiro, a senior fellow at the Cato Institute, argues that the justices should tackle Section 5 head-on in Texas' case because the law "now facilitates the very discrimination it was designed to prevent." (bit.ly/zhOQdp)

But the justices don't have to mess with pre-clearance to decide which maps should be used until the D.C. panel decides the legitimacy of the legislative plans.

It's clear, though, that Abbott would like them to -- or at least insert some language in their ruling that would help undo Section 5 in cases from Alabama and North Carolina that are winding through lower courts

Texas' brief laying out its argument for the Supreme Court refers repeatedly to Section 5's "intrusion into state sovereignty," cites the Northwest Austin case and reminds the justices about their fears, doubts and serious questions about the provision's continuing validity.

The state proposes holding 2012 elections under the Legislature's maps even though they haven't yet received the approval the law requires. But doing that basically would gut the preclearance requirement -- without the justices actually having to strike down an iconic civil rights law or waiting for Congress to amend it.

Linda P. Campbell is a Star-Telegram editorial writer.

817-390-7867

Twitter: @LindaPCampbell

Looking for comments?

51 Yr Old Woman Looks 25

Mom publishes free facelift secret that has angered doctors... ConsumerLifestyles

Breaking Stock
News: RAYS
Raystream Inc.
(RAYS) Announces

(RAYS) Announce Contract with Grencomm TheStockDetective with bad credit

Rebuild Credit Right Now With A Second Chance Credit Card! BadCreditx.com

Ada bir Vabar



Marty Wood Yard Cleanup Yard Cleanup & Odd Jobs Cheap! Call 817-412-8664



Specializing in Smile Perfection Imagine Yourself with a New Smile! Click Here to Visit our Website!



Tinoco's Tile and Marble Insured, Shower/Tubs Repaired 817-999-7765

TERMS OF SERVICE PRIVACY POLICY ABOUT OUR ADS COPYRIGHT CONTACT US REPORT A PROBLEM ADVERTISE WITH US ABOUT THE MCCLATCHY COMPANY ARLINGTON CITIZEN-JOURNAL COLLEYVILLE COURIER GRAPEVINE COURIER KELLER CITIZEN MANSFIELD NEWS-MIRROR SOUTHLAKE JOURNAL TIMES-REGISTER WEATHERFORD TELEGRAM

Star-Telegram

2 of 2