

Single-payer health care: What is it?

Kaitlyn Schallhorn

August 30, 2017

Does Nancy Pelosi support single-payer health care?

As the debate over ObamaCare in the U.S. continues, a controversial European-style health care model is gaining more traction among liberal Democrats – the idea of a single-payer system.

Some states have already tried – and failed – to implement such a system, in which the government serves as the sole payer for health care costs.

But like the current legislation and recent GOP proposals, a single-payer plan is quite controversial.

Here is a look at what single-payer health care is, and how it compares to other models.

What is single-payer health care?

The very term "single-payer health care" denotes that only one entity bears the financial responsibility of health care – the government. Under this system, the government would solely be responsible for covering health care costs.

"The basic idea of single-payer is to cover everybody with a single government program, and that program would basically cover all the doctors and hospitals," Dr. Adam Gaffney told Fox News.

Gaffney is an instructor of medicine at Harvard Medical School and practices pulmonary and critical care medicine at Cambridge Health Alliance.

What's the argument for single-payer?

Gaffney, who works with the progressive advocacy group Physicians for a National Health Program (PNHP), contended that single-payer systems give the consumer more choices when it comes to choosing a doctor or hospital.

"It gives people real choice when it comes to health care. People don't really care about that choice of an insurance plan," Gaffney said. "They want to be able to go to the doctor they know or trust, and they want to be able to go to the hospital that's convenient or has the services they need."

"It gives people real choice when it comes to health care."

- Dr. Adam Gaffney

"Under our current system of insurance networks, of out-of-network billing, of high copayments, people can often not go and receive the care they need," he added.

A slight majority of Americans <u>currently support a single-payer system</u>, according to a June poll from the Kaiser Family Foundation. The 53 percent in support of single-payer is slightly higher than in previous years, the non-profit found.

Vermont Sen. Bernie Sanders, a Democratic presidential candidate at the time, delivers remarks at a National Nurses United event to honor Medicare and Medicaid's 50th anniversary. (Reuters/Gary Cameron)

"There's a rise in energy and passion around this," Gaffney said, attributing the increased support - in part - to the 2016 presidential campaign of Sen. Bernie Sanders, I-Vt., who was widely popular among younger voters. Sanders heavily campaigned on a <u>Medicare for All platform</u>.

However, Michael Tanner, a senior fellow at the libertarian Cato Institute, attributed the increase in support to the Affordable Care Act "not working out quite the way" voters had hoped.

"I think the letdown after ObamaCare has led a lot of Democrats to say, okay, we need to go further," Tanner told Fox News.

What's the argument against it?

Joel White, president of the Council for Affordable Health Coverage, expressed opposition to single payer because of the "devil in the details."

"In other countries, we have seen single-payer shows us that putting more control of health care into the hands of politicians is bad medicine," White told Fox News. "Costs and taxes will rise, or patient access will be severely diminished – turning America's medical system into a third-world product."

And Tanner called the idea of single-payer a "utopia" – meaning, "there is no such thing as a health care system anywhere in the world that provides unlimited care to everybody."

"I want people to understand that there is a trade-off involved," Tanner said. "You can have widespread care that provides benefits that are well beyond the current insurance system, but that doesn't have co-payments or deductibles. Or you can have low cost. But you can't have both."

"I want people to understand that there is a trade-off involved."

- Michael Tanner, Cato Institute

The idea of the government fully taking over health care also doesn't sit well with some people, Tanner said.

"The government hasn't done a very good job with the health care system it already runs," he said. "Medicare is <u>nearly \$50 trillion in debt</u>, there [are] still all sorts of problems with the VA system, Medicaid provides coverage that is barely better than being uninsured. So the government's track record is not very successful."

What about ObamaCare?

A common misconception is that ObamaCare provides universal coverage. Unlike a single-payer program, the Affordable Care Act does not provide universal health insurance coverage because <u>approximately 28 million people</u> are still uninsured.

Belly dancers outside of the Supreme Court in 2012 call for a single-payer national health insurance program as the nation's highest court was set to rule on the Obama administration's health care overhaul. (Reuters/Jason Reed)

For example, a government could require all citizens to purchase health insurance – including through a marketplace like ObamaCare – but it's not single-payer unless the government is also the sole provider of health care payments.

In fact, according to a <u>chart released by</u> PNHP, ObamaCare has more similarities to the failed Republican American Health Care Act (AHCA) than to a single-payer program.

Both the Affordable Care Act and the AHCA allow for insurance companies to have some control over a person's choice of health care provider, and both allow insurers to control premiums and deductible costs.

Has the U.S. tried to implement single-payer?

A bill introduced by Rep. John Conyers, D-Mich., would establish a Medicare for All program to provide Americans with health care, including medically-necessary care, emergency care, primary care and prevention, dietary therapies, prescription drugs, mental health services, dental services and vision care.

The legislation <u>currently has 117 cosponsors</u> – the largest number of House Democrats to sponsor a single-payer bill to date, Gaffney said. No Republicans have signed on to the bill.

"Single-payer isn't just the moral thing to do or a good government issue, it's what Americans want," Convers said in a statement regarding the bill. "Many leading health care practitioners and experts share my belief and that of most Americans that establishing a non-profit universal health care system would be the best way to effectively contain health care costs and provide quality care for all Americans."

Regardless of support among congressional Democrats and liberal progressives, single-payer systems haven't been successful at the state-level so far in terms of implementation.

California Assembly Speaker Anthony Rendon, a Democrat, <u>was forced to shelve</u> a single-payer plan after the \$400 billion price tag was revealed. Vermont, too, <u>decided to forego</u> a single-player plan in 2014 because of cost.

Dr. Harold Pollack, a University of Chicago professor who has written extensively on health care policy, said he does not believe that single-payer can be achieved at the state level without the federal government as "an eager partner."

"The impact [of single-payer] will be very different on different people," Pollack said, adding that the "big failure of the American health care system" is that the government doesn't currently leverage low prices.

In California, the bill is not technically dead as legislators work in sessions. And with Sanders expected to unveil his much-anticipated Medicare for All plan <u>next month</u>, a push for single-payer could be revived yet again.