

[PrawfsBlawg](#) described the day as “federal procedure day at the Supreme Court,” and it covers both [Bond v. United States](#) and [Smith v. Bayer](#). Lyle Denniston of this [blog](#) had an extensive discussion and analysis of the decision in *Bond*, including its implications for federalism; at [Cato @ Liberty](#), Ilya Shapiro also analyzes what he describes as Justice Kennedy’s “[tight opinion](#)” in *Bond*. Jonathan Adler, at the [Volokh Conspiracy](#), has more. As [CNN](#) notes, Justice Kagan’s announcement of the decision in *Smith v. Bayer* – in which the Court held that a federal court cannot bar a state court class action from going forward – contained “a surprising jolt of levity.” Debra Cassens Weiss at the [ABA Journal](#), the [Workplace Class Action Blog](#), [Bloomberg](#), [CNN](#), the [Associated Press](#), [Forbes](#), and the [Automated Trader](#) all have coverage of the case as well.

Analysis of yesterday’s decision in [Davis v. United States](#) came from Orin Kerr – who argued the case on behalf of the petitioner. At the [Volokh Conspiracy](#), Kerr examines the case’s implications for the exclusionary rule and explains that while “the Court has adopted an exception to the exclusionary rule,” it “has left open a possible exception to its exception ‘if necessary.’”