## - SCOTUSblog - http://www.scotusblog.com -

## **Tuesday round-up**

Posted By Nabiha Syed On November 30, 2010 @ 10:09 am In Round-up | Comments Disabled

Today, the Court will hear oral argument in <u>Schwarzenegger v. Plata</u> <sup>[1]</sup>, presenting the question whether a federal court's order requiring California to release prisoners to reduce prison overcrowding was consistent with the 1996 Prison Litigation Reform Act. Nina Totenberg of <u>NPR</u> <sup>[2]</sup> provides a detailed account of prison overcrowding in California, while the <u>Washington Post</u> <sup>[3]</sup>, <u>Fresno Bee</u> <sup>[4]</sup>, <u>Contra Costa Times</u> <sup>[5]</sup>, <u>Agence-France Presse</u> <sup>[6]</sup>, <u>Forbes</u> <sup>[7]</sup>, <u>Politics Daily</u> <sup>[8]</sup>, and ABC (<u>video</u> <sup>[9]</sup>) all offer more coverage. Sherrilyn Ifill of <u>The Root</u> <sup>[10]</sup> argues the case goes beyond the "the age-old state sovereignty versus federal courts story"; rather, she contends, "the condition of our prison system is a reflection of irresponsible state and federal policies that have often been expedient, but fiscally and morally unsound." The editorial board of the the Riverside (Calif.) <u>Press-Enterprise</u> <sup>[11]</sup> reasons that "even a victory" for the state "will not excuse California legislators from fixing a state corrections system that is too crowded and too flawed." The editorial board of the <u>Los Angeles Times</u> <sup>[12]</sup>, however, maintains that expecting politicians to fix the system is "unrealistic," and it urges the Court to uphold the lower court's order.

Yesterday's oral arguments in  $\underline{Wall\ v.\ Kholi}^{[13]}$  and  $\underline{Walker\ v.\ Martin}^{[14]}$  also drew coverage.  $\underline{JURIST}^{[15]}$  briefly summarizes both federal habeas cases. The  $\underline{Providence\ Journal}^{[16]}$  focuses on  $\underline{Kholi}$ , while Crime and Consequences  $\underline{I}^{[17]}$  discusses  $\underline{Martin}$ .

Perhaps earning the most attention, however, was yesterday's order list. Virtually every news outlet – including The National Law Journal [18], the Los Angeles Times [19], Reuters [20], Washington Post [21], Huffington Post [22], Courthouse News Service [23], New York Times [24], and this blog [25], among others – covered the Court's grant of certiorari in two cases involving Arizona's campaign finance laws: Arizona Free Enterprise Club's Freedom PAC v. Bennett [26] and McComish v. Bennett [27]. At Cato@Liberty [28], Ilya Shapiro applauds the move, arguing that Arizona's public financing system permits a privately funded candidate to be "penalized for working too hard and speaking too much." But supporters of public funding, reports the Christian Science Monitor [29], say that the law has "helped move the state beyond egregious corruption and recurrent scandal." Jess Bravin of the Wall Street Journal [30] and Joan Biskupic of USA Today [31] have additional coverage of the issues at stake in the case.

The Court also granted review in  $\underline{\textit{Microsoft v. 14i Limited Partnership}}^{[32]}$ , in which it will consider the proper standard for determining validity of a patent. The  $\underline{\textit{Wall Street Journal}}^{[33]}$  and Reuters (via the  $\underline{\textit{New York Times}}^{[34]}$ ) have coverage, while Tony Mauro at the  $\underline{\textit{National Law Journal}}^{[35]}$  examines other issues in the case. Greg Stohr at  $\underline{\textit{Bloomberg}}^{[36]}$  reports on a third case,  $\underline{\textit{CSX Transportation v.}}^{[37]}$ , in which cert. was also granted yesterday.

Headlines focused on denials of petitions for certiorari as well. As <u>Bloomberg</u> [38], <u>Law & Disorder</u> [39], and the <u>Wall Street Journal</u> [40] all report, the Court denied cert. in <u>Tiffany (NJ) Inc. v eBay</u> [41], a trademark infringement suit. Over a dissent from Justice Alito, the Court also denied cert. in <u>Harper v. Maverick Recording Company</u> [42], a case involving the issue of liability for "innocent infringement." The <u>Christian Science Monitor</u> [43] has coverage, as does the <u>ABA Law Journal</u> [44], <u>CNN</u> [45], and <u>Wired</u> [46] have more on <u>Harper</u>. And finally, the Court also denied cert. in <u>Friends of the Everglades v. South Florida Water Management District</u> [47], a case involving whether the Clean Water Act requires a permit in order to transfer polluted water between bodies of water. Greenwire (via the <u>New York Times</u> [48]) and <u>Courthouse News Service</u> [49] have coverage of that case.

## Briefly:

• <u>Sentencing Law and Policy</u> <sup>[50]</sup> has a post on yesterday's statement respecting the denial of certiorari in *Gamache v. California*, in which Justices Ginsburg, Breyer, and Kagan joined

1 of 3 12/1/2010 11:58 AM

Justice Sotomayor in emphasizing that "in future cases the California courts should take care to ensure that their burden allocation [to prove harmlessness] conforms to the" Court's precedent. Doug Berman observes that the statement provides "still more evidence of the extra special attention given to capital cases by at least some Justices."

- And at the <u>Volokh Conspiracy</u> [51], John Elwood focuses on "a couple of apparent relists" from yesterday.
- Election Law Blog <sup>[52]</sup> and Appellate Daily <sup>[53]</sup> highlight snippets of Justice Stevens' interview with 60 Minutes (which <u>James</u> <sup>[54]</sup> mentioned yesterday).

Article printed from SCOTUSblog: http://www.scotusblog.com

URL to article: http://www.scotusblog.com/2010/11/tuesday-round-up-50/

URLs in this post:

- [1] Schwarzenegger v. Plata: http://www.scotusblog.com/case-files/cases/schwarzenegger-v-plata
- [2] NPR: http://www.npr.org/2010/11/29/131679857/supreme-court-to-hear-california-prisons-case
- [3] Washington Post: http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/11 /29/AR2010112903047.html
- [4] Fresno Bee: http://www.fresnobee.com/2010/11/29/2177877/supreme-court-to-hear-prison-overcrowding.html
- [5] Contra Costa Times: http://www.contracostatimes.com/california/ci\_16737140
- [6] Agence-France Presse: http://www.google.com/hostednews/afp/article/ALeqM5h\_QI3SwCvYIj9EQr-

TrjIWU5BLGg?docId=CNG.62e2ef48ba21cd681bc33ad3486cb2bf.01

- [7] Forbes: http://www.forbes.com/feeds/ap/2010/11/29/general-us-california-prisons\_8168676.html?boxes=Homepagebusinessnews
- [8] Politics Daily: http://www.politicsdaily.com/2010/11/28/the-supreme-court-tackles-californias-prisons-crisis/
- [9] video: http://abclocal.go.com/kabc/story?section=news/state&id=7815678
- [10] The Root: http://www.theroot.com/views/keeping-americas-prisons-overcrowded
- [11] Press-Enterprise: http://www.pe.com/localnews/opinion/editorials/stories/PE\_OpEd\_Opinion\_D\_op\_30\_ed\_prisoncase.3929e83.html
- [12] Los Angeles Times: http://www.latimes.com/news/opinion/editorials/la-ed-prisons-20101130,0,3867868.story
- [13] Wall v. Kholi: http://www.scotusblog.com/case-files/cases/wall-v-kholi
- [14] Walker v. Martin: http://www.scotusblog.com/case-files/cases/walker-v-martin
- $[15] \ \ \ JURIST: \ \textbf{http://jurist.org/paper chase/2010/11/supreme-court-considers-federal-habeas-corpus-cases.php}$
- [16] Providence Journal: http://www.projo.com/news/courts/content
- /ACI\_US\_SUPREME\_11-30-10\_8IL76CI\_v29.37b27f6.html
- [17] Crime and Consequences: http://www.crimeandconsequences.com/crimblog/2010/11/procedural-default.html
- [18] National Law Journal: http://www.law.com/jsp/nlj/PubArticleNLJ.jsp?id=1202475425349
- [19] Los Angeles Times: http://www.latimes.com/news/nationworld/nation/sc-dc-

1130-court-arizona-web-20101129,0,7059355.story

- [20] Reuters: http://www.reuters.com/article/idUSTRE6AS45920101129
- [21] Washington Post: http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/11 /29/AR2010112906019.html
- [22] Huffington Post: http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2010/11/29/supreme-court-clean-elections-law-mccomish-bennett\_n\_789353.html
- [23] Courthouse News Service: http://www.courthousenews.com/2010/11/29/32154.htm
- [24] New York Times: http://www.nytimes.com/2010/11/30/us/30scotus.html
- [25] this blog: http://www.scotusblog.com../2010/11/court-returns-to-campaign-finance/
- [26] Arizona Free Enterprise Club's Freedom PAC v. Bennett: http://www.scotusblog.com/case-files/cases/arizona-free-enterprise-clubs-freedom-club-pac-v-bennett/
- [27] McComish v. Bennett: http://www.scotusblog.com/case-files/cases/mccomish-v-bennett/

2 of 3