

deal can wait for the new Congress to ratify it, the odds that the new Congress would approve it appear even slimmer. Christopher A. Preble, foreign policy studies director at the CATO Institute, tells Newsmax that opposition to the treaty appears "purely political."

- The signature triumph expected from Obama's trip to the G-20, a trade agreement with South Korea, fell through. It was a reminder that nations tend to act on their perceived self interest, rather than strong personal appeals.
- European leaders and the Chinese have openly castigated the Obama administration for its loose fiscal and monetary policies, which make their goods less competitive.
- The president probably will win an Israeli agreement to a 90-day moratorium on East Bank settlements. But the price tag is a \$3 billion in F-35 fighter jets. That the United States must resort to an inducement to win a mere three month's of cooperation from Israel is provoking "chuckles" in Washington, according to de Borchgrave.
- Iran's mullahs continue to thumb their noses at the West over that nation's nuclear ambitions. Losing the START treaty would render Russia even less likely to cooperate in reining in Iran.

The irony, of course, is that President Obama hoped he could use his overseas visits to shift the political conservation away from the historic drubbing his party received in the midterms. Instead, pundits are pondering whether the midterms have undermined his credibility on the world stage.

Historically, presidents have relied on foreign policy accomplishments to offset the setbacks they sustain domestically. But for now Obama appears to be struggling in both arenas.

Preble attributes President Obama's difficulties to the "unrealistic expectations" that thencandidate Obama encouraged regarding America's ability to act as the world's policeman in Afghanistan and elsewhere.

Preble tells Newsmax that the tripling of the U.S. troop presence since Obama took office amounts to a "gross misallocation of resources." Only a few hundred al-Qaida members thought to be still operating in Afghanistan, he says.

Preble, a retired Navy officer and author of three books, calls nation-building in Afghanistan a "fool's errand" — a mistake that precedes Obama, he points out. American credibility in international affairs is suffering as a result, he says.

"We've spent a trillion dollars in two fairly medium-sized cases," Preble tells Newsmax. "If a superpower isn't capable of winning a conflict decisively in either Afghanistan or Iraq, then I think it really calls into question the concept that the United States can be responsible for the security of the whole world.

"I think that's a misconception that many have bought into for a long time," he adds.

Dale believes there's still time for Obama to make a foreign-policy comeback. But she says he'll have to reverse his penchant for pressing forward on a multitude of fronts simultaneously.

"Choose a couple of priorities and stick with those," she says. "One of his weaknesses has been that he has prioritized everything. They have gotten involved in so many issues, and put so much at stake in so many areas, that they have not been able to carry the ball across the goal line in any of them.

"In order to achieve credibility," says Dale, "you have to show achievement and you have to focus. You cannot do everything. So I would think they have to rethink their foreign policy priorities, and stick with them."

However, Dale says she remains unconvinced President Obama has the ideological flexibility to make that adjustment.

© Newsmax. All rights reserved.

Print Page | Forward Page | E-mail Us

Special Links:

Doctor: Olive Oil Can Actually Heal Your Heart, Brain

Low Thyroid Corrected Easily by This Group of Doctors

Tired of Being Forgetful? Improve Your Memory in 8 Weeks.

Sarah Palin Set to Rock Establishment With New Book

Home Jokes Links Advertise Cartoons Contact Shop Archives RSS Blogs Terms & conditions Privacy Policy

Newsmax.com America's News Page © 2010 Newsmax.com All Rights Reserved