



## *Apple class actions*

By **Ted Frank** on February 21, 2012

[Atlantic reporter Rebecca Greenfield complains](#) about meaningless relief in Apple class actions, but fails to understand that these particular class actions are brought for the benefit of the attorneys rather than the clients. [via [Overlawyered](#)]

MI's own Marie Gryphon, represented by me and Dan Greenberg, has [filed an objection to the Magsafe settlement](#). (This objection is not done on behalf of the Manhattan Institute.) In its [opposition to the objections](#) lawyers for defendant Apple falsely accuses me of being opposed to all class actions, and argues that the court should discount Marie's objection because she works for the Cato Institute [sic]. General counsels take note: add Morrison & Foerster to the list of law firms who make arguments (and pretty stupid arguments at that) against their clients' long-term interests in the hopes of momentary advantage.