

# The Tribune

## Expanding use of TIF is wrong for Colorado

Randal O'Toole and Mike Krause

May 11, 2016

Colorado municipalities have been abusing tax-increment financing (TIF) for decades, diverting tax dollars from schools, public safety, and other programs to subsidize economic development. So it was gratifying that a bill to expand the use of TIF was killed in the last days of the Colorado General Assembly.

Senate Bill 16-194 would have allowed a city, county or combination of local governments to declare an area to be commercially “undeveloped or underdeveloped,” establish an “authority” and use TIF to subsidize construction or repair of road or transit projects. After passing the Senate, the bill was effectively killed by a House committee.

TIF takes place when a local government designates a neighborhood or other land area for redevelopment. All the current property and sales taxes paid in that area continue to go to agencies that normally collect such taxes, but any new taxes collected — that is, the incremental taxes — go to subsidize the new development. This is true even if the increase in taxes is due to inflation or developments that would have taken place without the subsidy.

Research has shown that TIF doesn't lead to new development, but rather subsidizes development that would have taken place anyway. All the TIF does is influence the location and perhaps the form of that development. Research by economists Richard Dye and David Merriman found evidence not only that TIF areas grow at the expense of non-TIF areas, but municipalities that adopt TIF often grow more slowly than those do not.

California invented TIF in 1952 to help rebuild blighted neighborhoods. No one thinks there are many neighborhoods today that are so blighted they can't recover on their own, but cities still use TIF because it is an easy way to increase their budgets at the expense of other agencies.

TIF became such a huge burden on schools and other programs in California that the state repealed its TIF law in 2010.

Colorado cities can only use TIF if they can show that an area is so blighted that, “but for” the TIF subsidies, redevelopment could not take place. Yet cities have become adept at getting around this requirement so they could use TIF money to subsidize areas that are far from truly blighted or that developers are already redeveloping without subsidies.

More than 7 percent of Broomfield and nearly 7 percent of Denver property taxes go to TIF subsidies to developers. A 2005 study of TIF-funded urban renewal areas in Denver found that, among other things, “TIF-projects create service needs they don’t pay for.” So the shortfall must be covered by other taxpayers. TIF costs state taxpayers more than \$40 million per year, money that contributes nothing to economic growth because the development it subsidizes almost certainly would have happened anyway, just not in the time and place preferred by planners and politicians.

Voters never approved this spending, but they sometimes have to approve tax increases to make up for the losses to TIF. For example, a few years ago the North Metro Fire Protection District lost so much of its revenue to TIF districts that it had to ask voters for a tax increase to make up the difference. Voters approved the increase because they wanted fire protection, but effectively they were supporting subsidies to developers.

It was odd to see an effort to expand TIF at the same time there is a growing wave of push-back by Coloradans against abuse of existing TIF authority.

In March 2015, Littleton voters overwhelmingly passed Measure 300, which requires any urban renewal plan that utilizes TIF be approved at the ballot.

In November 2015, Wheat Ridge voters passed their own Measure 300, which says any TIF of more than \$2.5 million must be approved by voters.

Early in 2015, Steamboat Springs declared its tourist-destination downtown as “blighted,” and moved forward with a TIF plan for downtown re-development projects. Steamboat Springs Board of Education member Scott Bideau pointed out that Steamboat’s existing, TIF-funded mountain URA “currently diverts over \$400,000 a year in local property tax revenue away from the school district while also increasing property taxes outside the URA to cover the school mill levy overrides and bond payments that are not paid for by new development within the URA or backfilled by the state.”

The Steamboat Springs City Council later reversed itself, voting to kill the TIF scheme they had only months earlier overwhelmingly approved.

TIF is really just a form of corporate welfare that allows planners and politicians to give a public subsidy to private interests for their preferred economic development projects. Far from expanding the use of TIF, the legislature should be scaling back existing TIF authority, or as California has done, simply repeal it altogether.

*Randal O’Toole is director of the Independence Institute’s Transportation Center and senior fellow with the Cato Institute. He can be reached at [rot@i2i.org](mailto:rot@i2i.org). Mike Krause contributed to this column. Mike Krause directs the Independence Institute’s Local Colorado Project. He can be reached at [atmike@i2i.org](mailto:atmike@i2i.org).*