Powered by PolitiFact.com and JSOnline.com

PolitiFact National

PolitiFact States

Articles

Truth-O-Meter®

People

Pundits

Search PolitiFact

Wisconsin Edition The Wisconsin Truth-O-Meter

Promises

Articles

Subjects

The Truth-O-Meter Says:



"Just 400 Americans -- 400 -- have more wealth than half of all Americans combined."

Michael Moore on Saturday, March 5th, 2011 in a speech to protesters in Madison,

Michael Moore says 400 Americans have more wealth than half of all Americans combined

Documentary filmmaker Michael Moore so admired the daily demonstrations against Wisconsin Gov. Scott Walker that he traveled from New York to Madison for one on March 5, 2011.



342

The liberal firebrand opened his speech by

heaping praise on those fighting the Republican governor's efforts to take collective bargaining powers from state and local government employees.

But he put more firepower into bashing the nation's rich.

"Right now, this afternoon, just 400 Americans -- 400 -- have more wealth than half of all Americans combined," Moore avowed to tens of thousands of protesters.

"Let me say that again. And please, someone in the mainstream media, just repeat this fact once; we're not greedy, we'll be happy to hear it just once.

"Four hundred obscenely wealthy individuals, 400 little Mubaraks -- most of whom benefited in some way from the multi-trillion-dollar taxpayer bailout of 2008 -- now have more cash, stock and property than the assets of 155 million Americans combined."

OK, we've repeated Moore's declaration (including the reference to Hosni Mubarak, the former Egyptian president).

Now let's see if what he asserts -- that 400 Americans "have more wealth than half of all Americans combined" -- is true.

Moore has made other staggering claims about the gap between the nation's rich and poor. In Capitalism: A Love Story, his 2009 documentary, Moore said "the richest 1 percent have more financial wealth than the bottom 95 percent combined."

He was awarded a Mostly True by our colleagues at PolitiFact National for that claim.

For his Madison speech, Moore posted a version of the text on his website. It included a link to back up his statement about the 400 wealthiest Americans. The link was to a blog post by Dave Johnson, a fellow at the Commonweal Institute, a California organization that says it promotes a progressive agenda.

Johnson wrote that in 2007, the combined net worth of the 400 wealthiest Americans, as measured by Forbes magazine, was \$1.5 trillion; and the combined net worth of the poorer 50 percent of American households was \$1.6 trillion.

Aside from using slightly different terminology than Moore did, Johnson's numbers present two problems:

They're four years old. And they indicate that the poorer 50 percent of American households had a higher net worth than the 400 richest Americans.

That's the opposite of what Moore said in Madison.

We were referred to another item on Moore's website that was posted two days after the Madison speech. It cites more recent figures, for 2009.

So, let's start again.

In that item, Moore correctly quoted Forbes, which said in a September 2009 article that the net worth of the nation's 400 wealthiest Americans was \$1.27 trillion.



About this statement:

Published: Thursday, March 10th, 2011 at 4:16

Subjects: Economy, Poverty, State Budget

Sources:

Associated Press, "Michael Moore rallies Wis. pro-union protesters," March 5, 2011

YouTube.com, video of Michael Moore speech, March 5, 2011

AlterNet.org, Dave Johnson blog post, Feb. 14,

Interview and e-mail interview, Dave Johnson, March 7, 2011

Interview and e-mail interview, Michael Moore spokesman Jonathan Schwartz, March 8 and 9, 2011

Interview and e-mail interview, Brookings Institution economic studies senior fellow, Ron Haskins, March 9, 2011

Interview and e-mail interview, New York University and Bard College economics professor Edward Wolff, March 9, 2011

PolitiFact.com, "Michael Moore movie says that top 1 percent owns more financial wealth than bottom 95 percent," Oct. 1, 2009

MichaelMoore.com, "The Forbes 400 vs everybody else," March 7, 2011

Forbes, "The Forbes 400," Sept. 30, 2009

Bard College, "Recent trends in household wealth in the United States" by Edward Wolff, March 2010

CNNMoney.com, "Forbes 400: The super-rich get richer,"Sept. 22, 2010

Interview, Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis economic analyst Joe Mahon, March 9, 2011

E-mail interview, University of California, Berkeley economics professor Emmanuel Saez, March 9, 2011

Forbes generates its list annually, <u>using</u> interviews, financial documents and other methods to tally their figures. Microsoft co-founder Bill Gates, with an estimated net worth of \$50 billion, <u>topped the 2009 list</u> for the 16th consecutive year

The second part of Moore's claim -- that the net worth of half of all Americans is less than that of the Forbes 400 -- is more complicated.

Moore cited a December 2010 Federal Reserve Board <u>report</u> that said the net worth for all U.S. households was \$53.1 trillion in September 2009. That was the same month Forbes released its top 400 list.

That's a starting point -- \$53.1 trillion is the net worth for everybody.

Moore also cited a March 2010 "working paper" by Edward Wolff, an economist at New York University and Bard College. Wolff was a key source in Moore's claim that was rated Mostly True by PolitiFact National.

Wolff's paper said that as of July 2009, the three lowest quintiles of U.S. households -- in other words, the poorest 60 percent of U.S. households -- possessed 2.3 percent of the nation's total net worth.

Moore then multiplied that 2.3 percent by the nation's total net worth of \$53.1 trillion and got \$1.22 trillion.

In other words, he was saying the poorest 60 percent of U.S. households had \$1.22 trillion in net worth, which is less than the \$1.27 trillion in net worth for the Forbes' 400 wealthiest Americans

Of course, if the net worth of 60 percent of households is less than that of Forbes' 400 wealthiest, the net worth of 50 percent of the households -- which is what Moore claimed -- would also be less.

We contacted Wolff, who said he had reviewed Moore's calculations.

"As far as I can tell, they're fine," he said.

Three economists -- <u>Thomas Piketty</u> of the Paris School of Economics, <u>Emmanuel Saez</u> of the University of California, Berkeley, and <u>Daniel Mitchell</u> of the libertarian Cato Institute -- agreed.

We made one more check.

Since Moore's statistics were for 2009, we sought figures for 2010.

The 2010 net worth of the Forbes 400 was \$1.37 trillion, Forbes <u>reported</u> in September 2010. That same month, the total U.S. net worth was \$54.9 trillion, according to the Federal Reserve Board report cited by Moore.

Wolff hasn't updated his 2009 figures. So we used his 2.3 percent figure again, multiplied by the 2010 total net worth of \$54.9 trillion, and found that the net worth of the poorest 60 percent of U.S. households was \$1.26 trillion in 2010.

That's less than the 2010 net worth for the Forbes 400.

How could it be that 400 people have more wealth than half of the more than <u>100 million U.S.</u> households?

Think of it this way. Many Americans make a good income, have some savings and investments, and own a nice home; they also have debt, for a mortgage, credit cards and other bills. Some people would still have a pretty healthy bottom line. But many -- including those who lost a job and their home in the recession -- have a negative net worth. So that drags down the total net worth for the poorer half of U.S. households that Moore cited.

We also want to add one cautionary note, from Mitchell of the Cato Institute, about Moore's methodology: The Federal Reserve uses hard numbers to calculate the net worth of all households, but Forbes uses assumptions and interviews along with hard numbers in estimating the net worth of the Forbes 400.

There's no way to know how the differences between the two affect the net worth numbers, but Moore used the data that are available and there's no indication he "cherry-picked" figures for a desired result, Mitchell said.

With that caveat, our assessment indicates that as of 2009, the net worth of the nation's 400 wealthiest individuals exceeds the net worth of half of all American households.

We rate Moore's statement True.

E-mail interview, Paris School of Economics professor Thomas Piketty, March 10, 2011

Interview, Cato Institute senior fellow <u>Daniel Mitchell</u>, March 10, 2011

U.S. Census, number of U.S. households, 2000

Written by: <u>Tom Kertscher</u> Researched by: <u>Tom Kertscher</u> Edited by: <u>Greg Borowski</u>

How to contact us:

We want to hear your suggestions and comments. Email the <u>Wisconsin Truth-O-Meter</u> with feedback and with claims you'd like to see checked. If you send us a comment, we'll assume you don't mind us publishing it unless you tell us otherwise.

Browse the The Wisconsin Truth-O-Meter:

- See all rulings in the campaign for governor
- See all rulings in the campaign for U.S. Senate

Subscribe:

Keep up to date with Politifact Wisconsin:

- Via RSS
- On Twitter
- On Facebook
- To the Milwaukee Journal Sentinel



PolitiFactorm
© 2011 • All Rights Reserved • St. Petersburg Times
490 First Avenue South • St. Petersburg, FL 33701 • 727-893-8111 About PolitiFact | Contact Us | Advertise Privacy Policy | Terms, Conditions & Copyright