THE DENVER POST

Are recreational pot's low tax numbers worrisome? No

Legalization was still the right thing to do in Colo.

By Jeffrey Miron

03/21/2014

The Colorado Department of Revenue earlier this month released its first data on the tax, fee and license revenue from legalized marijuana sales in the state. For January, the figure is \$3.5 million when you combine revenue from medical (\$1.5 million) and recreational marijuana (\$2 million). This implies annual revenue of \$42 million for Colorado.

The amount collected so far is below other projections. In a 2010 white paper published by the Cato Institute, I predicted that if the federal government and all states legalized, Colorado would collect roughly \$55 million to \$60 million per year. And as recently as mid-February, Gov. John Hickenlooper predicted that the taxes, licenses and fees on medical-plus-recreational marijuana would generate \$134 million for the fiscal year starting in July.

This gap between projections and the initial data may overstate the shortfall, since the legal marijuana industry could still grow over time as more retail shops open. But just as plausibly, the gap may grow as other states adopt Colorado's model, diminishing revenue from marijuana tourism.

If the lower revenue numbers in Colorado are accurate, does that weaken the case for legalization?

Not in the least.

The possibility of increased tax revenue was never the main reason for legalizing marijuana. Any added revenue is desirable, since that means taxes on all other activities can be lower. And legalization shifts profits from illegal traffickers to the population generally, a kind of redistribution that most people would endorse.

But the most important benefit is that legalization enhances the welfare of those who use marijuana, or would like to do so. When pot criminalization is in place, users often fear arrest and fines, even when enforcement is modest. Also, users in a black market face difficulty determining the quality and safety of the marijuana they purchase, as well as paying elevated prices.

Legalization, moreover, helps society generally by eliminating the unintended consequences of prohibition.

The worst impact of prohibition is the increased violence and corruption generated by black markets. In legal markets, consumers and firms resolve their disputes with law enforcement, lawyers, arbitration, and the like. In black markets, participants cannot use these mechanisms, so they resort to violence. History has shown that prohibitions of many goods (prostitution, gambling and alcohol) have consistently been associated with elevated rates of violence, such as during Prohibition in the 1920s and '30s.

The increased violence and corruption caused by criminalizing marijuana is not limited to the United States. America's desire to enforce prohibition has led us to push prohibition on Colombia, Peru, Mexico, Afghanistan and other countries, with similar consequences. The profits generated by illegal production and trade in these countries fuel terrorism, since the traffickers use their profits to pay terrorists for protection from the criminal justice system.

A different cost of prohibition is diminished civil liberties. Standard crimes like theft and violence generate complainants, who report such crimes to the police and provide evidence of the crime. Victimless crimes like drug transactions do not generate complaints; neither a drug buyer nor a drug seller wants to report this activity to the police. Law enforcement can therefore only enforce prohibition with intrusive tactics like undercover busts, warrantless searches, and stop-and-frisk arrests.

Prohibition also has significant directs costs for police, prosecutors and prisons. In 2010, I estimated these at roughly \$75 million per year for Colorado. And even if state and city government do not reduce their expenditure on criminal justice under prohibition, these resources can be applied against crime with true victims, and not against those buying or selling marijuana.

But perhaps the greatest casualty of prohibition is general reduction of respect for the law. Under prohibition, many people continue to buy and sell drugs, mostly without legal consequences. Thus, everyone learns that laws are for suckers, and voluntary compliance with society's other rules diminishes. Legalizing marijuana is, therefore, the right policy, even if it generates no tax revenue. Anything that Colorado collects is just icing on the cake.

Jeffrey Miron is senior lecturer and director of undergraduate studies at Harvard University and senior fellow and director of economic policy studies at the Cato Institute.