

New tests show US education lags foreign competitors

Neal McCluskey

December 6, 2016

Last week and this morning the results of two major international exams came out: the Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study and the Program in International Student Assessment. Together, they offer a mixed bag of overall mediocre news for the United States.

On <u>TIMSS</u> — an exam that tends to use "traditional" questions such as directly multiplying two numbers — American students saw fourth grade math scores dip a bit between 2011 and 2015, eighth grade math scores rise a statistically significant amount, and fourth and eighth grade science scores rise slightly. We also placed pretty high compared to other countries, though we finished behind Kazakhstan on all tests. On the whole, that's decent news (Kazakhstan notwithstanding).

<u>PISA</u> would probably be best characterized the opposite way: Bad news. Scores on the exam — which is more focused on solving "real world" problems, akin to multi-step word problems, and is only for 15-year-olds — were all down. Science, math and reading all dropped. And our placement among other participating countries? Well below average for advanced countries in math, slightly above in science and reading.

Taking PISA and TIMSS together, the news isn't great, especially considering that <u>we spend</u> more on K-12 education than almost any other country in the world.

That said, these scores only tell us so much, and it is impossible to definitively place blame or credit for them on any particular policy: School choice, Common Core, bilingual education, etc.

It will be interesting to see, though, how groups like the Collaborative for Student Success will handle PISA. The <u>collaborative recently argued</u>that adopting "high standards" (read: Common Core) is clearly working because state test scores have gone up in many Common Core states. But it's quite possible scores in those states have risen largely because those states have adjusted to the core, not because students are better educated.

The target may have moved to the left, or even down, but scores will lag until sights are adjusted. Tests like PISA can serve as something of a check against using one exam to proclaim policy success.

What does all this tell us? First, nothing clearly dramatic has happened in American education over the last few years, at least as reflected in scores on two international tests. That makes it especially hard to declare any particular policy proven good or bad, though the temptation to seize on test scores and make sweeping declarations is powerful.

The scores also furnish a highly cautionary tale about picking the top-placing, country du jour — looking at you now, Kazakhstan — and obsessing over what it has done and how we can do the same thing. It <u>may not be so magical</u> after all.

These tests tell us something. But what it is, and is not, can be tough to figure out. All we can say with some certainty is that the latest international exams, taken together, suggest mediocre American performance, especially for the money we spend.

Neal McCluskey is a contributor to the Washington Examiner's Beltway Confidential blog. He is the director of the Cato Institute's Center for Educational Freedom and maintains Cato's Public Schooling Battle Map.