

Student Loan Forgiveness Is a Regressive Policy that Hurts Working-Class Americans

Lindsey Burke

November 17, 2020

Senate minority leader Chuck Schumer, D-N.Y., along with Sen. Elizabeth Warren, D-Mass., are calling on the next administration to <u>forgive \$50,000 in student loan debt</u> for every borrower through executive order, doing so, in Schumer's words, "with the pen as opposed to legislation."

As higher education scholar <u>Preston Cooper writes</u>, "At a cost of roughly \$1 trillion, that might be the most expensive policy ever enacted by executive order."

Not only does such a proposal come with a breathtaking price tag for taxpayers, it's simply unfair. <u>Blanket loan forgiveness</u> is regressive, rewards higher-earning graduate students, and sets a bad precedent for the future.

It's Regressive

Student loan forgiveness is a quintessential example of regressive policy. As Cooper points out, "the top fifth of households holds \$3 in student loans for every \$1 held by the bottom fifth." At the same time, those with the higher levels of debt (exceeding \$50,000) "almost exclusively have bachelor's degrees."

The left is actively working to undermine the integrity of our elections. Read the plan to stop them now. Learn more now >>

Moreover, as Cooper explains, 210 million adult Americans do not have federal student loan debt, compared to the 45 million who do. Student loan forgiveness demands that those 210 million Americans take on the debt of those 45 million borrowers.

For those individuals who avoided debt—either by working their way through college, going to community college for two years before attending a four-year college to reduce costs, living at home, serving in the armed forces to later benefit from the GI Bill, working hard in high school to receive merit-based aid, or by eschewing college altogether—blanket student loan forgiveness is simply unfair.

It's particularly <u>unfair</u> to demand of the nearly three-quarters of Americans who do not hold bachelor's degrees to pay off someone else's debt. Such proposals mean those Americans without bachelor's degrees are being forced to foot the bill for individuals who, statistically, are likely to out-earn them in the future.

Individuals with a bachelor's degree earn on average \$1 million more over the course of their careers than those with only a high school diploma.

It Rewards Graduate Students

Graduate students, many of whom pursue professional degrees by attending law school or medical school, make up a large portion of federal student loan borrowers. These borrowers often take out PLUS Loans—federal loans for graduate students that enable students to borrow up to the cost of school attendance. According to the Congressional Budget Office, PLUS Loans accounted for 24% of total federal student loan volume in 2017.

The amount of annual federal borrowing on the part of graduate students grew by 47% from 1995 to 2017, increasing from \$17,400 to \$25,700 in real terms. Those increases significantly outpaced increases in borrowing among undergraduate students, which grew by 10% over the same time. Parents, however, increased their borrowing substantially. The Parent PLUS Loan, which provides loans to parents to pay for their undergraduate student, increased 79% in real terms from 1995 to 2017.

As a new Congressional Budget Office report finds, overall, "between 1995 and 2017, the balance of outstanding federal student loan debt increased more than sevenfold, from \$187 billion to \$1.4 trillion (in 2017 dollars)." The reason? It was in large part because the federal government more than doubled the number of new loans issued annually.

It Sets a Bad Precedent

Students would, understandably, expect their loans to be forgiven in the future, and that expectation could lead to increases in borrowing. If the student loan debt of existing borrowers is forgiven by taxpayers, new borrowers would certainly expect their loans to be forgiven in the future. It's a cycle that would throw gasoline on the bonfire of college tuition, the price of which increased by 120% in real terms from 1995 to 2017.

It would also fuel further borrowing for degrees of questionable value in the marketplace. As the Cato Institute's Neal McCluskey explained in "<u>The Not-To-Great Society</u>," "rather than fueling increases in human capital, federal 'help' has powered a credential treadmill that has forced everyone to run faster just to stay in place."

For most borrowers, student loan payments are a manageable portion of their income. The median monthly student loan <u>payment for borrowers is \$222</u>, and income-based repayment plans already exist for borrowers who need help making their payments.

Indeed, as the Urban Institute has found, just 17% of borrowers (representing borrowers with more than \$50,000 in student loan debt) account for more than half of all outstanding federal student loan debt.

Student loan forgiveness is regressive, inequitable, and ill-conceived. Rather than increasing taxes on working Americans to assume someone else's college debt, Washington should begin winding down its involvement in student loans, making space for private lending and alternative innovative financing models to emerge. Such options would better meet the needs of students while protecting taxpayers from continued exposure to outstanding student loan debt.