

## Integrate Feds into the Nation: Term Limits for Bureaucrats

William L. Kovacs

October 3, 2022

Labor Day has passed, vacation season is over, and it's time to return to work. But there are a few wrinkles in this thinking.

The first order of business for the House of Representatives was to pass another law making it harder to fire federal employees—who already literally have job protection for life. Next, the two-million-person federal government workforce wants to be paid, but it does not want to return to the office after the two years of "pandemic pajama living." The pandemic allowed many in the bureaucracy to work remotely. These bureaucrats—with literal jobs for life—now want the right to work at home as a permanent legal right. Congress should recognize that the workplace has changed and that work can be performed from anywhere.

There is another part of this reality, however—no one person, not even federal workers, should have a guaranteed job for life. It is time for Congress to reorganize the entire civil service. It's time for bureaucrats to be accountable. Since the federal workforce wants to reorganize the government so they can work in pajamas, Congress should reorganize the Civil Service by imposing "Term Limits for Bureaucrats."

The bureaucrats argue working from home reduces traffic congestion and allows GSA to <u>downsize office space</u> between 20% to 50% of post-pandemic levels while reducing greenhouse gases. Moreover, workers argue it saves energy, increases worker productivity by having fewer distractions, and opens up the nationwide talent pool to government recruitment. All resulting in greater diversity, inclusion, and equity. <u>One study</u> found that "remote work is now the status quo for much of the federal government." The same study noted "... 6 in 10 [workers] would take a pay cut" if allowed to work from home.

The studies on remote work open the door for Congress to reorganize the bureaucracy for the next century. Since the existing system is based on the <u>Pendleton Act</u> of 1883 and the <u>Civil Service Reform Act of 1978</u>, it is in serious need of reform if it is to function efficiently in a more complex society. Today's civil service has literal lifetime appointments. Federal employees are fired at the rate of 0.55%—a rate so low that deaths outnumber firings. Two significant agencies had <u>zero firings</u>.

In addition to job security, the <u>Congressional Budget Office</u> found that pay and benefits for bureaucrats are 47% more than the combined pay and benefits in the private sector. A Cato

Institute study put the number at <u>80%</u> more than the private sector. The <u>average federal worker</u> receives \$123,160 in pay and benefits compared to \$69,901 in the private sector.

The fact that bureaucrats and their unions want to change where and how government employees work opens the door for Congress to rid itself of a fossilized civil service system. Achieving a successful transition of the federal workplace will require opening the civil service to the new talent spread around the nation.

## How does Congress achieve this reorganization?

Unfortunately, when it comes to bureaucrats, the US Supreme Court ruled that they can only be dismissed from federal employment after a <u>trial-type hearing</u>. This ruling makes discharging a bureaucrat almost impossible. It leaves two million civil servants to control the spin in the information given to elected officials. In foreign affairs, these bureaucrats replace the President's policy with policies adopted by <u>interagency</u> working groups. They write the hundreds of thousands of regulations few of us read but control our lives. Bureaucrats determine who of us acts lawfully or unlawfully.

These "lifers" fundamentally change the role of the President. Article II requires the President to execute the laws of the U.S., yet the President controls only 4000 appointments out of 2 million positions. 99.8% percent of the bureaucracy beats to its own drummer, and the Supreme Court protects its music. Many of these highly paid elites believe "the public knows little or nothing" about issues facing the nation.

Over time, the public lost trust in the government. In <u>1958</u>, almost 75% of Americans trusted the government. In <u>2022</u>, <u>only 20%</u> of Americans trust the government.

The worst part of the bureaucracy is that it hides behind statistically flawed, faux evaluations which give 99.5% of the 2 million federal employees a "fully successful" rating or above. Of the 2 million bureaucrats, only 0.1% received unacceptable ratings. The cost of these federal bureaucrats is a massive \$66 million per hour. It is truly amazing how many "geniuses" can be hired to run a government that has a \$30 trillion national debt.

## The Solution: Term Limits for bureaucrats

If Congress is to reorganize the bureaucracy, it must place term limits on bureaucrats; perhaps eight years of service. Term limits would have three major benefits for our country. First, it would break bureaucratic control of government by eliminating the power imbalance between elected officials whose service can regularly be limited by citizens and an unaccountable bureaucracy that can hold jobs for life. Second, with term limits, a greater number of Americans will be able to serve their country and reap the rewards of a federal job. Finally, term limits would reduce the number of bureaucrats qualifying for massive federal pension and health benefits, which Moody's estimates, for now, represents a \$3.5 trillion unfunded liability.

## The social and economic benefits of term limits on bureaucrats

With open positions, the federal government can hire talent on basis of ability, knowledge, and skills from every part of the country. These new employees could even be located in rural and

low-income areas that presently have few federal workers. <u>One study</u> estimated that 20% of federal positions (approximately 400,000 jobs) could be done effectively anywhere in the U.S. The study also finds that a more distributed workforce can combat "widening geographic inequality."

As work is created in economically depressed areas, it will generate economic opportunities for these areas by attracting other professionals wanting to provide services to the federal operations located there. By working in lower-cost areas, the federal government can reduce its <u>cost-of-living adjustments</u> from 30.5% in high-cost areas like Washington, DC to 16% in most of the US. While the federal government saves money, so does the employee who lives in low-cost areas.

More importantly, with federal employees spread throughout the United States, the federal government will be closer to the governed. Citizens in every part of the country will be able to interact with federal employees and understand them better. Moreover, by being distributed over 50 states, the federal employees will learn about the needs of Americans in fly-over country and state and local government. Over time, this should help restore trust in government.

The lifers in the federal bureaucracy certainly have taken "advantage of the pandemic. Unfortunately, the only thing those managing the federal workforce know about its workers is where to send the paycheck. To integrate the federal government into the nation, Congress needs to reorganize the federal workforce.

The starting point to reorganizing the massive federal workforce is term limits on bureaucrats.