WaPo Misses Real Foreclosure Scandal

The Washington Post's Jia Lynn Yang and Ariana Eunjung Cha wrote a story October 7th on President Obama vetoing a bill that addresses the flaws in foreclosure proceedings. The authors write:

The vetoed bill, which is two pages, would have required local courts to accept notarizations, including those made electronically, from across state lines. Its sponsors said it was intended to promote interstate commerce. Lawmakers saw no problems when the House approved it in April by a voice vote, which leaves no record of votes. The Senate passed the bill unanimously last week.

But as the lack of a proper paper trail in mortgage documents came to light, the idea of relying on electronic notaries triggered protests from real estate lawyers and consumer advocates. Relying more on electronic notaries, they warned, could allow more fraud into the system.

The bill was also meant to reduce the litigation that occurs when fraudulent documents surface in foreclosure cases. Lawyers are questioning whether or not third party companies have the right to foreclose on homes because they don't own the mortgage. But this isn't the real problem writes economist and Cato scholar Arnold Kling. Kling correctly points out that the *Washington Post* story misses the real scandal when it comes to foreclosure proceedings:

"The real scandal is that the process of recording property title is so antiquated, and there are so many interest groups that resist modernizing it. The MERS mortgage database shows what a modern system could look like. But all of the counties that charge fees for title recording, the title "insurance" companies that shake down home buyers to buy "protection" from getting sued to prove that they own their property—these interest groups want to keep the title recording system as expensive and unreliable as possible.

The real foreclosure scandal is that it is taking so long to get people who have stopped making payments out of their homes. Those costs are shifted to the rest of us. Moreover, from now on, any mortgage lender has to raise the interest rate on a mortgage loan in order to take into account the fact that the lender's losses in the event of a default are going to be much higher."

Nick Loris October 19, 2010

Tags: Ariana Eunjung Cha, foreclosures, Jia Lynn Yang, MERS, The Washington Post

You can follow any responses to this entry through the RSS 2.0 feed. You can leave a response, or trackback from your own site.

Leave a Reply

Name (required)
Mail (not published) (required)
Website
Your Comment
Submit Comment Reset Form

WaPo Leaves Out Facts in Fed Wroker Poll »

Submit Your Nominee

If you would like to nominate a news article with misreporting, please send it to media@nrinstitute.org

rss entries rss comments

1 of 2 10/20/2010 10:47 AM

Recent Posts

- o WaPo Misses Real Foreclosure Scandal
- o WaPo Leaves Out Facts in Fed Wroker Poll
- o WaPo Overstates Michigan Ruling on Individual Mandate
- o CBS Overstates Citizens United Impact on Law
- o Philly Inquirer Wrong on Regional Cap and Trade Program

Archives

- o October 2010
- o September 2010
- o August 2010
- o July 2010
- o June 2010
- o May 2010
- o April 2010
- o March 2010
- o February 2010
- o January 2010
- o December 2009
- o November 2009
- o October 2009
- o September 2009
- o August 2009
- o July 2009
- o June 2009
- o May 2009
- o April 2009
- o March 2009
- o February 2009

Links

- o Competitive Enterprise Institute
- Heritage Foundation
- o Cato Institute
- Tax Foundation
- o Media Research Center

National Review Institute Blog | Web Development by ArtDriver.com

2 of 2 10/20/2010 10:47 AM