

US-China Trade Dispute Is Already Hurting US Farmers and Ranchers

Daren Bakst

March 13, 2019

The ongoing U.S.-China trade dispute is threatening the U.S. economy as a whole, and is <u>hurting</u> American farmers and ranchers.

In recent years, China has been among the <u>top two largest markets</u> for U.S. agricultural exports. And much more potential for increased exports remains. The U.S. Department of Agriculture has <u>said</u> that "China offers our best opportunity for major export growth in the future."

However, in 2018, the U.S. imposed major tariffs on Chinese goods. These U.S. tariffs are a self-inflicted wound on the entire economy, including the agricultural sector, and could limit opportunities in China. The tariffs have created a tit-for-tat situation in which China responded with retaliatory tariffs, making it more difficult for U.S farmers and ranchers to export their products to China.

The tariffs serve as a tax on a wide range of imported goods. It is not Chinese businesses, but American businesses that pay for the tariffs when importing Chinese goods, and then they likely pass the costs on to their customers.

The liberal Left continue to push their radical agenda against American values. The good news is there is a solution. Find out more >>

The Congressional Research Service has <u>explained</u> that China "has levied retaliatory tariffs on about 800 U.S. food and agricultural products that were worth about \$20.6 billion in exports to that country in 2017."

As shown in the table below, China is imposing significant tariffs on important U.S. agricultural exports, such as soybeans (25 percent), many pork products (50 percent for many of these products), and sorghum (25 percent).

Products Subject to China's Retaliatory Tariffs	Retaliatory Tariff Imposed by China	U.S. Tariffs that China Is Responding To***
Cotton	50%	301
Pork*	25% and 25%	232 and 301
Apples	40%	232
Cherries	40%	232
Cheese	25%	301
Ginseng	25%	232
Seafood**	25%	301
Sorghum	25%	301
Soybeans	25%	301
Whiskey	25%	301
xports to China are subje Includes more than 150 .S. seafood exports. "232" refers to tariffs und "301" refers to Section	product exports to China, a ct to both retaliatory tariffs varieties of seafood and sea nder Section 232 of the Trac 301 of the Trade Act of 197 Research Service, America	afood products, but not a de Expansion Act of 1962 4.

The retaliatory tariffs could cause long-term damage to U.S. agricultural exports to China. This is in part due to other countries stepping in to meet Chinese demand and a breakdown in some trading relationships that American farmers and ranchers have already forged in China.

The American Soybean Association has <u>asserted</u> that when and if the U.S. rescinds the Section 301 tariffs on China, and China then lifts its 25 percent retaliatory tariffs, "the process of rebuilding the U.S. [soybean] market in China could take years."

One of the most telling statistics showing the harms of this trade dispute is the USDA's current <u>forecast</u> that in 2019, China will drop from the second-largest to the fifth-largest agricultural export market for the United States.

To prevent this harm, the United States should act now to eliminate the tariffs. Admittedly, this wouldn't help the U.S. to address China's alleged unfair trade practices. But the U.S. can do that by making greater use of the World Trade Organization dispute settlement system. In fact, one of the best features of the World Trade Organization is that it provides a legal venue to challenge foreign trade barriers.

The U.S. has had major success using the World Trade Organization dispute settlement process in the past. For example:

- In 2017, Cato Institute trade scholar Dan Ikenson <u>explained</u> that the United States has been the complaining party in 114 of 522 World Trade Organization disputes over 22 years and has succeeded in 91 percent of the adjudicated 114 cases (success <u>defined</u> as the complaining party winning the major issue in question in the case).
- The United States has had significant success in the agricultural context as well. The Heritage Foundation's 2016 book "Farms and Free Enterprise" notes:

The United States government has initiated 29 dispute settlement proceedings over other [World Trade Organization] members' barriers to U.S. farm exports. The United States achieved a 'victory'—an affirmative ruling and/or the elimination or modification of the measure at issue—in every single [World

Trade Organization] case that moved beyond the first government-to-government 'consultations' stage (and even in many of the consultations-only disputes).

In fact, just a few weeks ago, the United States won a major World Trade Organization case against China over improper agricultural subsidies.

In 1988, President Ronald Reagan <u>delivered</u> a radio address to the nation in which he espoused the benefits of free trade:

[O]ne of the key factors behind our nation's great prosperity is the open trade policy that allows the American people to freely exchange goods and services with free people around the world. ... Over the past 200 years, not only has the argument against tariffs and trade barriers won nearly universal agreement among economists, but it has also proven itself in the real world, where we have seen free-trading nations prosper while protectionist countries fall behind.

Reagan's words were true then, and they remain true today. A commitment to free trade will help the United States as a whole to prosper, and will expand opportunities for the nation's farmers and ranchers to sell even more of their products around the world.