

Steve Clemons

Publisher of "The Washington Note"

Posted: September 15, 2009 03:05 PM

## Realists Warn on Iraq Afghanistan War

Read More: Afghanistan, Letter On Afghanistan, Obama Afghanistan, Realists, War In Afghanistan, digg stumble reddit del.ico.us ShareThis

Search HuffPost

Search First to Submit This Story to Digg

Get Breaking News Alerts

SIGN UP

never spam







Comments

Politico's Ben Smith got the scoop on a letter today drafted and signed by a number of realist-tilting scholars and commentators raising many of the key questions and concerns about growing US presence in Afghanistan that were Obama's concerns about Iraq.

I have signed this letter along with a number of others I respect including Robert Jervis, Christopher Preble, Rajan Menon, Andrew Bacevich, Jack Snyder, David Rieff, Gordon Adams, Jonathan Clarke, Michael Desch, Stephen Walt, Eugene Gholz, Sean Kay, Scott McConnell, Barry Posen, and many others. The list will be added to in coming days.



The letter is important as I think it captures well the views of an important wing of the foreign policy establishment that is arguing that it is unconvinced by the White House's depiction of objectives and the rationale for deployed resources in the Afghanistan conflict.

A key line of the letter reads:

Today, we are concerned that the war in Afghanistan is growing increasingly detached from considerations of length, cost, and consequences. Its rationale is becoming murkier and both domestic and international support for it is waning. Respectfully, we urge you to focus U.S. strategy more clearly on al Qaeda instead of expanding the mission into an ambitious experiment in state building.

The letter is signed by individuals and not institutions -- and I happen to work with some of the nation's leading authorities on AfPak issues such as <u>Steve Coll</u> and <u>Peter Bergen</u> who each have compelling perspectives on the Afghanistan situation that deserve to be scrutinized closely as well. The New America Foundation also comanages and co-hosts the <u>AfPak Channel</u> with <u>Foreign Policy</u> magazine that has

become a must-read for anyone following the evolving challenges in South Asia.

On the New America Foundation front, there is an AfPak Channel focused event titled "Covering Afghanistan" this Thursday featuring Steve Coll and Peter Bergen of New America, Rajiv Chandrasekaran and Karen DeYoung of the Washington Post, and Susan Glasser of Foreign Policy.

And soon, I will be working with my colleagues to organize a very large conference on America's engagement in the region that assembles advocates, semi-

skeptics, and foes of the President's current Afghanistan course.

The letter reads:

The Honorable Barack Obama

President of the United States The White House Washington, DC

Dear Mr. President:

During your campaign for the Presidency, Americans around the country appreciated your skepticism of the rationales for the Iraq war. In 2002, you had warned that such an endeavor would yield "a U.S. occupation of undetermined length, at undetermined cost, and with unintended consequences." You pointed out the dangers of fighting such a war "without a clear rationale and without strong international support." As scholars of international relations and U.S. foreign policy, many of us issued similar warnings before the war, unfortunately to little avail.

Today, we are concerned that the war in Afghanistan is growing increasingly detached from considerations of length, cost, and consequences. Its rationale is becoming murkier and both domestic and international support for it is waning. Respectfully, we urge you to focus U.S. strategy more clearly on al Qaeda instead of expanding the mission into an ambitious experiment in state building.

First, our objectives in that country have grown overly ambitious. The current strategy centers on assembling a viable, compliant, modern state in Afghanistan—something that has never before existed. The history of U.S. state-building endeavors is not encouraging, and Afghanistan poses particular challenges. Engaging in competitive governance with the Taliban is a counterproductive strategy, pushing the Taliban and al Qaeda together instead of driving them apart. If we cannot leave Afghanistan until we have created an effective central government, we are likely to be there for decades, with no guarantee of success.

Second, the rationale of expanding the mission in order to prevent "safe havens" for al Qaeda from emerging is appealing but flawed. Afghanistan, even excluding the non-Pashto areas, is a large, geographically imposing country where it is probably impossible to ensure that no safe havens could exist. Searching for certainty that there are not and will not be safe havens in Afghanistan is quixotic and likely to be extremely costly. Even if some massive effort in that country were somehow able to prevent a safe haven there, dozens of other countries could easily serve the same purpose. Even well-governed modern democracies like Germany have inadvertently provided staging grounds for terrorists. A better strategy would focus on negotiations with moderate Taliban elements, regional diplomacy, and disrupting any large-scale al Qaeda operations that may emerge. Those are achievable goals.

Third, an expanded mission fails a simple cost/benefit test. In order to markedly improve our chances of victory--which Ambassador Richard Holbrooke can only promise "we'll know it when we see it"--we would need to make a decades-long commitment to creating a state in Afghanistan, and even in that case, success would be far from certain. As with all foreign policies, this enormous effort must be weighed against the opportunity costs. Money, troops, and other resources would be poured into Afghanistan at the expense of other national priorities, both foreign and domestic.

Mr. President, there is serious disagreement among scholars and policy experts on the way forward in Afghanistan. Many of those urging you to deepen U.S. involvement in that country are the same people who promised we would encounter few difficulties in Iraq and that that war would solve our problems in the Middle East, neither of which proved to be the case. We urge your administration to refocus on al Qaeda and avoid an open-ended state-building mission in Afghanistan.

Sincerely,

Gordon Adams American University

Andrew Bacevich Boston University

Doug Bandow American Conservative Defense Alliance

Ted Galen Carpenter Cato Institute

Jasen Castillo Texas A&M

Jonathan Clarke Carnegie Council

Steven Clemons New America Foundation

Michael Cohen New America Foundation

Michael Desch University of Notre Dame

Carolyn Eisenberg Hofstra University

Ivan Eland

Independent Institute

Bernard Finel American Security Project

Eugene Gholz University of Texas - Austin

David Henderson U.S. Naval Postgraduate School

David Hendrickson Colorado College

Patrick Thaddeus Jackson American University

Robert Jervis Columbia University

Sean Kay Ohio Wesleyan University

Peter Krogh Georgetown University

Christopher Layne Texas A&M

Justin Logan Cato Institute

Douglas Macgregor Colonel, U.S. Army (Ret.)

Scott McConnell The American Conservative

John Mearsheimer University of Chicago

Rajan Menon Lehigh University

Robert Paarlberg Wellesley College

Charles Pena Independent Institute

William Pfaff Author and syndicated columnist

Barry Posen Massachusetts Institute of Technology

John Prados Author

Christopher Preble Cato Institute

Daryl Press Dartmouth College

David Rieff Author

Paul Schroeder University of Illinois

Tony Smith Tufts University

Jack Snyder Columbia University

Robert W. Tucker

John Hopkins University - SAIS

Stephen Walt Harvard University

Follow Steve Clemons on Twitter: www.twitter.com/SCClemons

## **Related News On Huffington Post:**



Mike Mullen: More Troops Needed For Afghan War

WASHINGTON — More American troops are likely to be needed to win the war in Afghanistan, the top U.S. military officer told skeptical Democrats on...



Afghanistan: Recount Ordered At 10 Percent Of Polling Stations

KABUL — Ballots from about 10 percent of Afghanistan's polling stations need recounting because of suspicions of fraud, the chief election watchdog said Tuesday, increasing...



UN Official Temporarily Leaves Afghanistan Over Election Dispute

KABUL, Afghanistan -- A top American official at the United Nations mission in Afghanistan has temporarily left the country following a disagreement with his boss.



Poll: Support For Afghan War At All-Time Low

WASHINGTON (CNN) -- Support for the war in Afghanistan is at an all-time low, according to a new national poll. A CNN/Opinion Research Corp. survey...

More News Posts: « First Prev 1 2 Next Last »

## **Related Blogs On Huffington Post:**



Craig Crawford: Bribe Afghan War Lords To Stop Terrorists and the Taliban

Why not just bribe the Afghan war lords to keep the terrorists out? They could even be our proxies against the Taliban.



Robert Naiman: Withdraw from Afghanistan with a Public, Negotiated Timetable

A timetable for withdrawal should be a core provision of an agreement negotiated by the United States with the Afghan government.

More in World...

Jimmy Carter: 'Hamid Karzai Has Stolen the...

■FBI Terrorist Probe: Alleged Al-Qaeda Cell Leader...
■3 U.S. Troops Killed In Afghanistan
■Prince Harry Turns 25, Photos Of The...

Ads by Google

Masters in Diplomacy

Earn a Masters in Diplomacy Online at Norwich University.

www.Norwich.Edu/Diplomacy

Comments

**Pending Comments** 

FAQ: Comments and ModerationFAQ: HuffPost Accounts Post Comment Get the HuffPost iPhone App.

Want to reply to a comment? Hint: Click "Reply" at the bottom of the comment; after being approved your comment will appear directly underneath the comment you replied to

n

View Comments: Newest First ▼ Expand All

10



- Jeffery Loman I'm a Fan of Jeffery Loman permalink

This letter can be shortened significantly. I recommend the following:

<sup>\*\*</sup>This letter reflects the opinions of the individual signatories. Institutions are listed for identification purposes only.\*\*