



Columns and OpEds

[Print] [Email]



Gene Healy: Terrorism isn't an 'existential threat'

By: Gene Healy Examiner Columnist April 6, 2010

My father, a public high school teacher in New Jersey for three decades, used to get really annoyed by Earth Day, a religious holiday that somehow gets an exemption from the First Amendment's Establishment Clause.

A born contrarian, Dad always used the occasion to give his students hard data showing that the sky isn't falling and that we're not all going to die. One Earth Day a couple of years before he retired, he was spreading the good news when a high school junior raised her hand to ask, "Mr. Healy, why are you so negative?"

Sometimes when I argue with conservatives about terrorism, I get a sense of how my father felt. Because when I tell my right-wing friends that al Qaeda isn't an "existential threat" to the United States -- that we don't need to suspend our Constitution to keep ourselves safe -- they tend to look at me like I'm some sort of communist.

Well, at the risk of sounding "negative," it's worth remembering that terrorism has always been a weapon of the weak -- and it usually fails.

As the analysts at the Human Security Report Project explain, "the overwhelming majority of terrorist campaigns fail to achieve their strategic objectives." And, despite media sensationalism, fatalities from terrorism have actually declined by some 40 percent in recent years.

Terrorists bank on overreaction. As Osama bin Laden put it in 2004, "All that we have to do is to send two mujahedeen to the furthest point east to raise a piece of cloth on which is written al Qaeda, in order to make the generals race there to cause America to suffer human, economic, and political losses."

Adam Gadahn, the U.S.-born al Qaeda spokesman, recently called for more "lone-wolf" strikes, because "even apparently unsuccessful attacks on Western mass transportation systems can bring major cities to a halt [and] cost the enemy billions."

In the television show "24," Jack Bauer repeatedly battles terrorist masterminds with nuclear weapons. In real life, though, we're not facing TV-thriller supervillains.

http://www.printthis.clickability.com/pt/cpt?action=cpt&title=Gene+Healy%3A+Terrorism... 4/6/2010

When the enemy's best recent shot involves lighting his pants on fire, we shouldn't torture ourselves with nightmarish visions of weapons of mass destruction. Such weapons are exceedingly hard to come by.

As political scientist John Mueller notes in his recent book "Atomic Obsession," "no state has ever given another state -- even a close ally, much less a terrorist group -- a nuclear weapon (or chemical, biological, or radiological one either)." And home-grown WMD tend to be ineffective.

The Japanese cult Aum Shinrikyo had roughly a billion dollars devoted to developing chemical and biological weapons, the most sophisticated such program in the history of terrorism. But when it released sarin gas on the Tokyo subway in 1995, it only managed to kill 12 people.

Building a nuclear weapon is even harder. Any group trying to do so faces "Herculean challenges," according to the Gilmore Commission, the advisory panel President Bush set up to assess terror threats in the wake of 9/11. There has been no known case, Mueller points out, of any appreciable amount of weapons-grade uranium disappearing.

None of this should be taken as a counsel of complacency. The low risk of terrorist WMD doesn't make guarding against it a waste of time. It makes sense, for example, to boost funding for international efforts to prevent nuclear smuggling, as the Obama administration has done. But when we overreact, we're doing terrorists' job for them.

Conservatives understand that exaggerated fears of environmental threats make government grow and liberty shrink. They'd do well to recognize that the same dynamic applies to homeland security.

Examiner Columnist Gene Healy is a vice president at the Cato Institute and the author of "The Cult of the Presidency."

More from Gene Healy

- Terrorism isn't an 'existential threat'
- Demography determining GOP's destiny
- Leave no parent to decide
- Obama's (and Bush's) enemy combatant policy
- Line-item veto is no quick-fix

Topics

<u>Washington Examiner</u>, <u>Gene Healy</u>, <u>Conservatives</u>, <u>Liberals</u>, <u>Democrats</u>, <u>Politics</u>, <u>ZRepublicans</u>, <u>Talk Radio</u>, <u>Blogosphere</u>



Panic at the DNC: Dems could lose two congressional seats next month

Politico has the skinny on the two special congressional elections next month. It seems that Democrats

are worried: The prospect of losing two House seats in back-to-back...

—Mark Hemingway

Chris Matthews: Use of the word 'regime' is 'just not the right word'

Chris Matthews acknowledged last night that he used the word 'regime' to describe the Bush administration, after denouncing Rush Limbaugh for using it to describe the Obama...

—Charlie Spiering

IRS will just take ObamaCare penalties out of your tax refund

That interest-free loan you gave the government all year long will now be used against you, the IRS Commissioner says. From Reuters: The Internal Revenue Service could tap...

—David Freddoso

Morning Must Reads -- Go nuclear in the Senate, but not in combat

New York Times -- Obama Limits When U.S. Would Use Nuclear Arms President Obama says he would only use nuclear force against other nuclear states. It's the start of his...

—<u>Chris Stirewalt</u> More Beltway Confidential posts...



Washington Examiner 2010 Election Map

The 2010 Election Calendar

Today's Featured Writers



<u>Cal Thomas</u> Republicans need a plan B



Thomas Sowell
"Change" is not new



<u>Gene Healy</u> Terrorism isn't an 'existential threat'



Barbara Hollingsworth Mayor Fenty's April Fools Day budget



Byron York Pinning Test



David Limbaugh
Sick thinking from 'mainstream' leftists

To view this site, you need to have Flash Player 8.0 or later installed. Click <u>here</u> to get the latest Flash player.

MOST POPULAR STORIES

Rush Limbaugh, Chris Matthews and the 'regime' question

Too dumb to mock: Dem. Rep. wonders if additional Navy personnel will make Guam 'tip over and capsize'

Campbell wasn't told of trade

Glenn Harlan Reynolds: Progressives can't get past the Knowledge Problem

Hugh Hewitt: The president's popularity plunge

Dems dread Supreme Court fight after elections

Gotcha on stimulus spending?

Limbaugh responds to Obama: 'Never in my life have I seen a regime like this'

The takers weigh down the makers

Barney Frank jams the revolving door

WeeklyStandard.com

The Daily Grind

Democrats and the Tea Party

California Screamin'

Left-Right Convergence on Financial Reform?

Happy Hour Links

.submission { display: none; } .submission { display: none; }

Reader Comments

All comments on this page are subject to our Terms of Use and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Examiner or its staff. Comment box is limited to 200 words. Warning: If you comment, the Disqus platform default is set to email you when other reply to it. If you do not want to receive these replies to your comment, please uncheck the box.

blog comments powered by Disgus

Scoop



Scoop: Angelina is 'psycho,' sleeps apart from Brad

With all the recent revelations about the state of... Full story

Local

Metrobus operator arrested for soliciting sex

A Metrobus operator has been arrested for soliciting... Full story

Sports

2010 NCAA men's basketball championship game preview

Where » Lucas Oil Stadium • When »... Full story



RSS | Twitter | Facebook | Intern | Video | Maps | Mobile | Contact Us | Terms of Use | Privacy Policy | Rack Locations | Advertise

Find this article at:

http://www.washingtonexaminer.com/opinion/columns/Terrorism-isn t-an- existential-threat -89944242.html

Gene Healy: Terrorism isn't an 'existential threat' Washington Examiner	Page 7 of 7
Check the box to include the list of links referenced in the article.	
Check the box to include the list of links referenced in the article.	