



[Return to the Article](#)

April 16, 2011

## The Weak Dollar Problem

By [Steve Hanke](#)

The Chairman of the Federal Reserve Ben S. Bernanke has embraced a weak dollar policy. And he is not alone. The weak dollar mantra is very much in evidence on most of the boulevards and in the back alleys of Washington, D.C. The idea even has a certain appeal to the common man on the street. After all, a cheap dollar is advertised as an export stimulant and the fuel for an economic boom. But, the common man is often wrong, and so is Chairman Bernanke.

About the only thing that has boomed during the last two years are prices, particularly commodity prices. The accompanying chart traces the producer price index for both crude materials (primarily food and energy) and for finished goods. Measured by both of these sensitive metrics, prices are booming, with the PPI for crude materials up by 14% since the Fed announced its second quantitative easing program in November 2010.

But, those prices are not the ones that Chairman Bernanke and his inflation-targeting colleagues at the Fed are looking at. Rather, they focus on the consumer price index, absent food and energy. By doing so, they exclude those items that are experiencing price surges. Never mind. The Chairman and his colleagues continue to play down the inflation threat. But, the public isn't buying their story. Most people are going to the gasoline station and grocery store several times a week and know what's happening to gasoline and food prices. Not surprisingly, the credibility of the Fed has all but disappeared, with even the taxman commanding more favorable ratings.

If the Fed is in denial about the inflation threat, it's blind to the possibility that the weak dollar is causing energy and food prices to surge. Oil and most other food and industrial commodities are invoiced in dollars. Accordingly, when the dollar goes "down" the price of primary commodities tend to automatically go "up," and vice versa.

The accompanying chart, which traces the course of the U.S. dollar-euro exchange rate and the price of crude oil since January 2011, tells the story. During that period, the dollar has lost value against the euro and the price of oil has increased. For each 1% decline in the dollar against the euro, there was on average a 0.5% increase in the price of oil. The biggest single contributor to oil price increases in recent months is not located in Libya, but at the headquarters of the Federal Reserve in Washington, D.C.

This is not the end of the dollar story, however. The U.S., working through various international forums, such as the Group of Twenty (G-20), advocates "enhancing exchange rate flexibility to better reflect underlying economic fundamentals and structural reforms." This language is broadly understood as code for advocating floating exchangerate regimes, particularly in the case of China. Accordingly, it implies an anti-currency bloc stance.



facing most countries with pegged rates. Rather, "excessive" capital inflows represent today's problem. These inflows often result in currency appreciation pressures, very large sterilization activities, the accumulation of foreign-exchange reserves and even the imposition of exchange controls and new regulatory mandates in the domestic banking system.

To protect themselves, the currency bloc countries should explain what exchange-rate flexibility means to the them: full currency convertibility, no exchange controls and no sterilization. In that context, either a floating- or a fixed-rate regime qualify as free-market mechanisms that work to automatically avoid balance-of-payments crises and so-called global imbalance problems.

For the countries — like the oil producers in the Persian Gulf — the U.S. dollar bloc and fixed exchange rates are a necessity. These countries are mono-product economies, and their "product," oil, is invoiced in dollars. Accordingly, if a floating exchange-rate regime were adopted, their nominal exchange rates would fluctuate erratically as oil prices fluctuate. When the price of oil rises (falls), the local currencies would appreciate (depreciate). Without a currency link to the dollar and a nominal anchor for its price level, the oil producing countries would experience a wild roller-coaster ride — one distinguished by deflationary lows and inflationary highs.

Thanks to the Fed's weak dollar policy, the U.S. faces an inflation problem and so does the rest of the world. The weak dollar and the lack of "flexibility" — properly understood — also threaten the free flow of capital and the stability of the international monetary system. It's time for the Fed to start focusing on the value and stability of the U.S. dollar.

Steve H. Hanke is a Professor of Applied Economics at The Johns Hopkins University in Baltimore and a Senior Fellow at the Cato Institute in Washington, D.C.

This piece first appeared [here](#) and is reprinted with permission.

**Page Printed from: [http://www.realclearpolitics.com/articles/2011/04/16/the\\_weak\\_dollar\\_problem\\_109579.html](http://www.realclearpolitics.com/articles/2011/04/16/the_weak_dollar_problem_109579.html) at April 18, 2011 - 06:56:31 AM PDT**