



Get **FREE** NRO Newsletters
Your E-Mail Here!

Subscribe to NR
And **Save 70%!**



Log In | Register
Follow Us Everywhere

NATIONAL REVIEW ONLINE

September 20 Issue
Subscribe to NR
Renew

Home The Corner The Agenda Bench Memos The Feed Campaign Spot Battle '10 **NEW** Planet Gore On the News Critical Condition

Kudlow's Money Politic\$ Phi Beta Cons Pryce-Jones Media Blog Exchequer Uncommon Knowledge Between the Covers Radio Derby

NR Digital Login Subscribe: NR Print Edition Subscribe: NR Digital Edition Shop! Donate Media Kit Contact Search NRO Tweet Tracker



MONA CHAREN

ARCHIVE | LATEST | E-MAIL | [LOG IN](#)

SEPTEMBER 14, 2010 12:00 A.M.

Obama's Delusions of Competence

The president overestimates the government's ability to solve problems.

Washington: We Have a Problem” proclaims *Vanity Fair* magazine. In an eerie echo of the verdicts passed during the presidency of Jimmy Carter, namely that the presidency was “too big for one man,” *Vanity Fair* now declares “The evidence that Washington cannot function — that it’s ‘broken,’ as Vice President Joe Biden has said — is all around.”

The *Vanity Fair* piece is a long apologia for President Obama’s perceived ineffectiveness, and reflects — no surprise here — the Obama interpretation of events. “The G.O.P.,” writes Todd Purdum, “has spent most of the period since the inauguration in near lockstep refusal to give the president votes for any of his major initiatives, from the economic-stimulus bill to health-care reform.” This is President Obama’s constant plaint — though it rings hollow coming from someone who took office with comfortable majorities in both houses of Congress.

[> NRO Author Directory](#)



PAGE TOOLS
TEXT RESIZE **+** **-**
RSS FEED
PRINT VERSION
SHARE
Like **147**

NRO LINKS
SUBSCRIBE TO NR
[Subscribe to NATIONAL REVIEW magazine today and save 70 percent off the newsstand price!](#)
CRUISE WITH NRO
Join Karl Rove, Victor Davis Hanson, Andrew Breitbart, Jonah Goldberg, and more conservative all-stars on the NATIONAL REVIEW 2010 Post-Election Cruise.

GET NRO NEWSLETTERS
Sign up for free NRO Newsletters, including Morning Jolt by Jim Geraghty and The Goldberg File by Jonah Goldberg.

MOST-READ CONTENT
Kathryn Jean Lopez: O Vanity, O's Vanity
Mona Charen: Obama's Delusions of Competence
Andrew C. McCarthy: Imagining Islam

ADVERTISEMENT



www.naar.org Arts by Google

But in the course of documenting the difficulty of governing, *Vanity Fair* does make a conservative point. Government is too big. Purdum quotes from just one day's *Federal Register*:

The edition for this ordinary Wednesday comes in at 350 pages of dense, dark type. It is unimaginably

UNDERSTAND

LEGAL

YOUR

OPTIONS

Ibn Warraq: One Imam, Multiple Messages

Michael Barone: Gangster Government Goes After Obamacare Critics

Victoria Toensing: The Road to Wahhabism

The Editors: Obamacare: Low Politics, High Costs

Rich Lowry: Obama v. Boehner?

Tevi Troy: *Freedom Frenzy: A Look at Presidential Reading Lists*

Ibn Warraq: The Two Faces of Feisal Rauf

Andrew Stiles: The End of the Clean Campaigner

Stephen Spruiell: Left Bank

Dennis Prager: Islam and Moral Nuance

Larry Kudlow: Bashing Bush and Boehner Won't Work

Jack Dunphy: Remembering Patrick Sullivan

Michelle Malkin: The Eternal Flame of Muslim Outrage

Andrew C. McCarthy: How Obama Gets Our First Principles Wrong

Thomas Sowell: The Money of Fools

Mytheos Holt: Losing the Class War

Rich Lowry: The Wages of Primitivism in the Islamic World

varied: you'll find rules for the importation of Chinese honey; proposed conservation standards for home furnaces; permitting procedures for the experimental use of pesticides; announcements concerning the awarding of new radio and TV licenses; and hundreds of other items.

The president himself doesn't at all concede that government is attempting to do too much (and failing at most of it). On the contrary, his vanity (and it is a common one for left-wingers) is that he believes his particular ideas on business investment, medical procedures, housing, and thousands of other matters are the solutions to our woes, but "politics" keeps getting in the way.

We've seen President Obama's delusions of expertise on display before. Without any trial period, demonstration project, or peer-reviewed study, the federal government dictated that medical records be digitalized and extracted \$19 billion from taxpayers to fund the transition. The new systems, the president insisted, would prevent errors, reduce costs, and improve patient care. But as the *Wall Street Journal* reported, "a 2009 study in the *American Journal of Medicine* found that hospitals with more-advanced electronic systems fared no better than other hospitals on measures of administrative costs. . . . Meanwhile, many doctors and nurses say they're frustrated with the technology. While some say electronic records have improved the way they practice medicine, many others say the systems are time-consuming distractions that take away from patient care."

Digitalized medical records would certainly have evolved with time — just as paper books and newspapers are rapidly losing ground to their electronic competitors. But without government intrusion, the programs would have developed organically, adjusting to user feedback and actual experience — and costing the taxpayers nothing.

At his September 10 press conference, the president announced another "common sense" idea: We must stop "giving tax breaks to companies that are shipping jobs overseas." A familiar trope from the 2008 campaign, this "idea" is really another tax increase.

The president's refrain notwithstanding, there is no section of the U.S. tax code that rewards U.S. companies for outsourcing American jobs. American firms pay taxes on their worldwide income. Our effective corporate tax rate, the highest in the OECD according to a Cato [Institute](#) study, puts our companies at a competitive disadvantage abroad. The tax code accordingly does permit U.S. multinationals to "defer" taxes on income earned abroad that is reinvested abroad. They pay taxes on that income only when they repatriate the earnings to the United States.

But eliminating the "deferral" would simply increase corporate rates still further, undercutting the profitability of American companies with overseas operations. As Cato's Daniel Griswold explains, "There is no evidence that expanding employment at U.S.- owned [affiliates](#) comes at the expense of overall employment by parent companies back [home in](#) the United States. In fact, the evidence and



experience of U.S. multinational companies points in the opposite direction: foreign and domestic operations tend to complement each other and expand together. . . . More activity and sales abroad often require the hiring of more managers, accountants, lawyers, engineers, and production workers at the parent company.”

Reducing the rate of corporate taxation would make U.S. companies more competitive overseas while also attracting more foreign investment here.

But reducing taxes, like reducing regulation, or permitting [the market](#) to shape digital medical records, offends President Obama's preference for top-down decision-making. He isn't deciding, Carter-like, who should use the White House tennis courts, but he is attempting to do pretty much everything else, with similar results.

— *Mona Charen is a nationally syndicated columnist.* © 2010
Creators Syndicate.



Not a subscriber to NATIONAL REVIEW? Sign up today!
[PRINT EDITION](#) | [DIGITAL EDITION](#)

© National Review Online 2010. All Rights Reserved.

[Home](#) | [Search](#) | [NR / Digital](#) | [Donate](#) | [Media Kit](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Log In](#)