

SCOTUSblog

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES BLOG





Kiera Flynn Deputy Manager Posted Friday, August 26th, 2011 10:04 am

RSS Email Kiera Bio & Post Archive »

Friday round-up

The Chief Justice issues an in-chambers opinion, SCOTUSblog's same-sex marriage symposium continues, and looking at next steps on health care.

Yesterday, Chief Justice Roberts issued an in-chambers opinion rejecting an application by a Virginia death-row inmate, who had asked the Court to stay a district court order setting the briefing schedule for his federal habeas proceedings until the Court acts on his petition for certiorari seeking review of a judgment of the Virginia Supreme Court. The case is *Gray v. Kelly* (No. 11A210).

SCOTUSblog's symposium on same-sex marriage added three posts yesterday from <u>Robert A. Levy</u> of the Cato Institute, <u>Brian Raum</u> of the Alliance Defense Fund, and <u>Charles Fried</u> of Harvard Law School. The entire symposium can be found <u>here</u>.

Briefly:

- In a post at Cato@Liberty, Ilya Shapiro outlines the possible next steps for health-care litigation at the Court. He predicts that a challenge to the constitutionality of the Affordable Care Act will indeed reach the Court this Term "one way or another, with argument in the spring and a decision the last week of June."
- In a post at Concurring Opinions, Gerard Magliocca discusses a recent NPR story about recusal practices. Positing that a proposal by Senator Patrick Leahy of Vermont to allow a retired Supreme Court Justice to replace a recused, active Justice in a case would be "problematic," "because the pool of senior Justices capable of hearing cases is usually so small and the ideological views within that pool will be well-known to litigants who want to game the system," he suggests instead that "when a Justice is recused then any federal circuit judge can be tapped to 'sit by designation' on the Supreme Court."
- The <u>Boston Globe</u> reports on the effect that the Court's 2009 decision in <u>Carcieri v. Salazar</u> has had on efforts to legalize casinos in Massachusetts.

SHARE THIS	<u>Del.icio.us</u> <u>Di</u>	gg <u>Email</u>	<u>Facebook</u>	<u>LinkedIn</u>	Reddit	Twitter
CATEGORIES	Round-up					
TAGS	Untagged					
MORE	Print This Post	Report a	n Error			

SPONSORED BY:

GOLDSTEIN & RUSSELL, P.C.

SUBSCRIBE OR FOLLOW

RSS Email » Twitter » Facebook

SEARCH ARCHIVES

Case Files

Cases OT10

Cases OT11

Term Archives

By Word [?]

Go

SCOTUSblog S. Ct. Docket

Posts

By Month or

By Category

EMAIL US

links open new windows

BLOGROLL

Supreme Court »

Solicitor General »

 ${\tt Resources} \; {\tt >} \;$

Commentary and Links »

EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES

Biographies of the Justices

Supreme Court Glossary

Supreme Court Procedure

ADMINISTRATION

Navigating SCOTUSblog

About SCOTUSblog 4.0

Our Policies

Corrections

Disclaimer

THE MASTHEAD

Editorial Staff

Tom Goldstein Publisher Amy Howe Editor Kali Borkoski Manager Kiera Flynn Deputy Manager Reporting & Analysis Lyle Denniston Lisa McElroy Plain English Amanda Frost Academic Round-Up

Features

Mary A. Fischer Feature Stories

Contributors

Kevin Russell

Orin Kerr

John Elwood

Ronald Mann

Matthew Scarola

The Round-Up Team

Kiran Bhat

James Bickford

Conor McEvily

Nabiha Syed

Blog Sections

Kedar Bhatia Statistics

Christa Culver Petitions to Watch

Lina Khan $\operatorname{Graphics}$

Joshua Matz Petition of the Day

Marissa Miller

 $\frac{\text{Roy Seitz-McLeese}}{\text{Calendar}}$

© 2011 SCOTUSblog (click for license)

Switch to our mobile site