

## Cato Guy Thinks You Guys Are Lazy And Stupid

By: [TBogg](#) Friday June 22, 2012 11:38 am

The Washington Post has an article this morning pointing out that Mitt Romney just likes to [fire Americans every chance he gets](#) and ship their jobs to overseas where people make like four cents a day and then Mitt pockets the difference so he can buy expensive dancing horses for Ann his bored wife. The Romney campaign then rolled out hapless Andrea Saul, who is [this years Nancy Pfothenauer](#), to explain that ‘off-shoring’ is not ‘outsourcing’ because, *HAH!*, *they have different letters .. so SUCK IT lamestream media.*

Over at Politico, where being uppity with the white folk [will get you a time-out](#), they [turned to a bunch of outside people](#) and asked the question:

Should we even be talking about how Mitt Romney likes to skullfuck American workers ? And watch your ass with your answer because we’re in a suspending mood today. Okay. Discuss.

Roger Pilon, the Vice President for Legal Affairs for the Cato Institute (auditioning for continued employment for when [the Koch Bros take over](#)) calmly explained that [Americans are just a bunch of stupid lazy fucks](#) who don’t deserve more than four cents a day:

David Axelrod, like Obama himself, is playing to the economic ignorance that afflicts a good part of the American public. Firms don’t “specialize” in outsourcing jobs. They try, rather, to produce the best products at the lowest costs – for the benefit of their owners and their customers. If that means going abroad to find the best labor at the lowest cost, so be it.

What would you have them do, seek inferior labor at higher costs? How would that benefit owners, customers, or the nation as a whole? Firms are not welfare agencies.

Also off-shoring these jobs is actually *a good thing for American workers* because it will provide them with more opportunities in the fields of building temporary shelters out of refrigerator boxes or the wonders of dumpster-spelunking for food.

When firms are run efficiently, everyone benefits – including those higher-wage domestic workers who would otherwise have been employed but now must seek other opportunities that will arise only under conditions of efficiency. Or, of course, we could *restrict* firms from going abroad, *force* them (by law) to be less efficient, and thus lower the standard of living for everyone.

And by “everyone” he means shareholders and people like Mitt Romney who pocket

enormous fees for slashing labor costs and firing Americans.

As for Axelrod's charge about Romney's "breathtaking hypocrisy" – his pledging on the campaign trail to protect American jobs from outsourcing – there's a perfect explanation for that, but to understand it it takes a better grasp of economics than Axelrod or Obama, by his actions, seem to have. You remove so many of the irrational, rent-seeking regulations we have today, which Romney has promised to do, and you'll create a climate in which firms won't have to look abroad for labor. If there's any "breathtaking hypocrisy" at play here, it's with Axelrod and Obama, who purport to speak for the "middle class" but whose policies for three and one half years have driven the middle class into ever greater depths of despair. Obama's record, as we say, speaks for itself.

And by "rent seeking regulations " he means the minimum wage and worker safety laws and workers protections.

Romney America: A Workers Paradise On Four Cents A Day!