Foreign Policy Blogs Network:

- Afghanistan
- Africa
- America in Transition
- Bangladesh
- Caucasus
- Central Asia
- Children
- China
- Climate Change
- Cuba
- Energy
- European Union
- Foreign Policy Blogs
- Global Currents
- Global Engagement
- Global Film Review
- Global Food Security
- Global Markets
- Global Posts
- Human Rights
- India
- Iran
- <u>Iraq</u>
- Israel
- Latin America
- Law and Security Strategy
- Lebanon
- Media and Foreign Policy
- Mexico
- Middle East
- Middle East Media
- Migration
- Pakistan
- Philanthropy
- Public Diplomacy
- Religion and Politics
- Rising Powers
- Russia
- Southeast Asia
- Terrorism
- The Arctic
- Transatlantic Media
- Transitional States
- U.S. Defense
- U.S. Diplomacy
- U.S. Role in the World
- Venezuela
- War Crimes
- Women and Foreign Policy



U.S. Role in the World

The World Affairs Blog Network

FPB Home About Bloggers Resources

Search

Sharing The Security Burden

By <u>Joel Davis</u> Thursday, December 10 3:46 pm EST



President Obama received his Nobel Prize today and in his <u>acceptance speech</u> he acknowledged the irony of a wartime president receiving the peace prize while offering no apology for escalating the war in Afghanistan. Instead, he made a rousing <u>defense of the just war doctrine</u> and again placed the conflict in Afghanistan in the context of the history of U.S. efforts to maintain and preserve world security:

But the world must remember that it was not simply international institutions — not just treaties and declarations — that brought stability to a post-World War II world. Whatever mistakes we have made, the plain fact is this: The United States of America has helped underwrite global security for more than six decades with the blood of our citizens and the strength of our arms. The service and sacrifice of our men and women in uniform has promoted peace and prosperity from Germany to Korea, and enabled democracy to take hold in places like the Balkans. We have borne this burden not because we seek to impose our will. We have done so out of

enlightened self-interest — because we seek a better future for our children and grandchildren, and we believe that their lives will be better if others' children and grandchildren can live in freedom and prosperity.

Yet at a time when the U.S. is fighting two wars, negotiating two nuclear crises, and dealing with a climate crisis along with a major economic recession all at the same time, is there a danger that the U.S. is becoming overextended? In "Restraining Order: For Strategic Modesty" Harvey Sapolsky, Benjamin Friedman, Eugene Gholz, and Daryl Press argue for a new strategic response to all of this, a shift away from global hyperengagement towards a policy of restraint in the use of American power:

Restraint would offer the opportunity to reinvigorate the foundations of America's strength. Foreign distractions, among other causes, have led the United States to neglect its transportation infrastructure, its educational system, its finances, and its technology base. If we were to restrain the global interventionism that has become our second nature since the end of World War II, we could ensure our safety while preserving our power to deal more precisely with threats that may materialize in an uncertain future. The first virtue of a restraint strategy is that it husbands American power. It acknowledges both America's great strengths—a combination of human and physical resources unmatched in the world—and the limitations of our power, which is easily dissipated in wasteful attempts to manage global security.

They go on to argue that it's time to call on our allies and partners to take on a greater share of the burden of our common security interests while denying that this approach amounts to a call for isolationism or pacifism. It's certainly wise to carefully husband our resources, we should not be careless with what has taken generations to build, so I do feel this is a debate worth having. On the other hand, I can't help but wonder if the old axiom is still true with respect to power: use it or lose it?

Photo Credit: AFP

Leave Comments Below»

Username: *required	
Email Address: *required	
Homepage:	
Comments: Comment Rss Trackback URI	

Post my comment

Anti-spam word: (Required)*

To prove you're a person (not a spam script), type the security word shown in the picture.



« The U.S. Agenda in Copenhagen

Recent Articles

- Sharing The Security Burden
- The U.S. Agenda in Copenhagen
- Assessing the Timeline
- Reaction to Obama's West Point Speech
- President Obama to Announce Troop Surge

Pages

- 2008 Year In Review
- About U.S. Role

Categories

- Uncategorized
- Allies
- Democracy
- Iran
- Russia
- State Dept.
- Afghanistan
- History
- Nuclear Proliferation
- China

Links

- Arms Control Wonk
- Atlantic Community
- Belmont Club
- Counterterrorism Blog
- Danger Room
- Daniel Drezner
- Democracy Arsenal
- DipNote
- Foreign Policy Digest
- FP Passport
- James Fallows
- Madam Secretary
- Mountain Runner
- Nukes & Spooks
- Post Global
- Rodger A. Payne

- Subjective Evaluation
- The Cable
- The Duck of Minerva
- The Progressive Realist



Global Jobs

- [427] DATA ENTRY HOME BASED JOB
- Part Time Job
- Spring 2010 Research Fellowship
- Program Intern Central Asia
- Corporate Affairs Internship
- Policy Internship
- Publications Coordinator
- Project Assistant
- National Director USA Programs
- Program Assistant Vietnam and Laos Programs
- Program Officer, Latin America -- Washington, D.C.

■ Subscribe to the FPA Jobs Feed for instant updates

Archives

- December 2009
- November 2009
- October 2009
- September 2009
- August 2009
- July 2009
- June 2009
- May 2009
- April 2009
- March 2009
- February 2009
- January 2009
- December 2008
- November 2008
- October 2008
- September 2008
- August 2008
- July 2008

• <u>June 2008</u>

©2009 U.S. Role in the World

<u>Log in</u> Other FPA services: <u>FPA Home</u> | <u>Bookstore</u> | <u>Global Jobs</u> | <u>Events</u> | <u>Great Decisions</u>

Contact us | Become a Blogger | Advertise