

'Newt'worthy or Not?

[Scott Rohter](#)

Eugene Conservative Issues Examiner
November 8, 2011

[Is Newt Gingrich the Best Candidate for President in the Republican Primary?](#)

"You can fool all of the people some of the time, and you can fool some of the people all of the time, but you can't fool all of the people all of the time!" –Abraham Lincoln

The Contract with America didn't change much because it didn't pull up the 'un-constitutional weeds' by their roots. It just mowed them back a little bit! And we all know what happens after you mow the weeds. They just grow back again!

The Record of Newt Gingrich

- **1979** Elected to Congress from Georgia's 6th District
- **1979** Voted to create the Department of Education, under President Jimmy Carter
- **1990** Becomes member of Council on Foreign Relations (CFR)
- **1993** Voted for NAFTA, the North American Free Trade Agreement
- **1994** Supported the WTO, the World Trade Organization. Voted for GATT, the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade that subjected Americans to the international authority of the WTO
- **1995** Becomes Speaker of the House of Representatives and recommends reading *The Third Wave* by Alvin Toffler as required reading for all of his Congressional colleagues. (See accompanying article.)
- **1995** Delivers speech to the Center for Strategic and International Affairs in which he blames the US Constitution for making America's role in leading the world more difficult! Read his full quote in accompanying article, "The American challenge in leading the world is compounded by our Constitution...".
- **1995** Wrote the foreword to another one of Toffler's books, *The Politics of the Third Wave, Creating a New Civilization*
- **1995** The liberal establishment *Time Magazine* names Newt Gingrich their "Man of the Year"
- **1995** Appeals to the US House of Representatives to increase the power of the Presidency by repealing the War Powers Act of 1972, and urged President Clinton to expand the US military presence in Bosnia.
- **1996** Under his leadership, Congress passed the largest single spending increase on education in US history, a whopping \$3.5 billion dollars!

- **1999** Newt resigns over failed midterm elections in which Republicans lost 5 seats, the worst loss in history for a Party who did not control the White House. At the same time, he was also involved in a flap over an extra marital affair and a controversial book deal.

The Reincarnation of Newt

- **2008** Records the 'We Can Solve It' global warming TV commercial for Al Gore along with Nancy Pelosi
- **2009-2010** Travels around the country with Al Sharpton and Arne Duncan to promote President Obama's new educational policies: i.e. increased local control of schools with increased Federal subsidies and regulations from Washington. (Sounds like quite a contradiction to me.)
- **2010** Supported ultra-liberal, pro-abortion, pro-union, establishment candidate Dede Scozzafava in New York's 23rd Congressional District in a special election, over conservative candidate Doug Hoffman.

The Battle Before Us: First Skirmish

True conservatives, libertarians, and constitutionalists are not just fighting Barack Obama and the Democratic Party. We are also up against the entire Progressive Establishment, that seeks to maintain control over both the National Democratic and the Republican Parties. This so-called forward looking, liberal, elite, establishment supports such programs as:

- Continued deficit spending.
- Increasing our national debt, or at least maintaining the current high debt levels for well into the foreseeable future.
- Monetizing the debt, or quantitative easing.
- Devaluing the Dollar.
- Replacing the Dollar as the world's reserve currency with a basket of currencies.
- The eventual establishment of a one-world currency.
- The creation of a World Central Bank.
- Bailouts for billionaires and other taxpayer financed corporate welfare programs.
- The suppression of States-Rights.
- The dissolution of our national sovereignty.
- The creation of an International World Government that is controlled by inter-national world socialists.
- The subjection of the United States to the increasing jurisdiction of the United Nations, the World Court, and the WTO, etc.
- The virtual de-constructing of our Constitution and our Bill Of Rights.

The Progressive Movement is well-financed. It has been around since the early 1900's. The Progressive Establishment attempts to control both National Political Parties, which is why both candidates in a particularly important race can both be Progressives.

They also control most of the national media, both print and electronic, which are the marketing tools that they use to get their candidates elected to high public office. That is why they will never willingly allow real campaign finance reform, because it will take money away from the national media which they own.

The only thing that conservative patriots have on our side is the TEA Party Movement, a few good men and women on Capitol Hill (probably not more than 100), a few good pundits on

Talk Radio, the writings of our Founding Fathers, some good contemporary conservative writers and bloggers, and as the proverbial saying goes, Truth, Justice, and the American Way! We don't have the luxury of just being able to battle Democrats. We also have to come to ideological blows with forces inside our own political party.

The strategy of The Progressive Establishment has always been to get the two most liberal candidates, one from either political party nominated in their respective primaries, and then to promote the most progressive of those two candidates in the general election. Either way The Establishment wins, because they target and dispose of the most conservative candidates in the primaries, and so whichever of the two 'libs' win in the general election, it is still a victory for the The Establishment and much better for them than one of the more conservative candidates from either political party.

Newt Gingrich has been a member of the progressive Council on Foreign Relations since 1990. This NGO, founded in 1921, and bankrolled with BIG MONEY from the Rockefeller Foundation and J. P. Morgan among other internationalists, has been dedicated since its inception to dismantling American sovereignty, de-constructing our Constitution and our Bill of Rights, and promoting the idea of One World Government.

During his long tenure in Congress which began in 1979, and ended in disgrace in 1999, Newt Gingrich supported and even pushed through Congress, as the Minority Whip or as the Speaker of the House, Federally-funded loan guarantees to China. This is one of the reasons that China is beating the pants off us today in world trade!

In 1993 New Gingrich not only voted for the job destroying North American Free Trade Agreement, (NAFTA) but he was also instrumental in getting enough Republican support to pass the bill. In 1994, as the House Minority Whip, Newt voted to support the creation of the World Trade Organization (WTO) with his vote on the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT). In his position as Minority Whip, he had the power to postpone the vote on GATT until it could have been modified or defeated in the House, but he chose instead to let the bill pass in a Lame Duck session of Congress. Newt voted in favor of GATT, which subjects Americans to the international authority and the regulation of the World Trade Organization.

The United States Constitution states in Article 1 Section 8 that "Congress shall have the power to regulate commerce with foreign nations." (not the WTO) Note: It doesn't say that Congress has the right to delegate that authority away to anyone else. But Congress has abdicated their role and subrogated their authority to the World Trade Organization with the passage of GATT, and with the instrumental help of Newt Gingrich.

Prior to orchestrating the vote in the House, in which Newt himself voted in a favor of surrendering American sovereignty on matters having to do with foreign trade to the WTO, Newt Gingrich testified in front of the House Ways and Means Committee. In his testimony in 1994 he said , "We need to be honest about the fact that we are transferring from the United States at a practical level, significant authority to a new organization...This is not just another trade agreement. This is doing something which twice, once in the 1940's and once in the 1950's, the US Congress rejected...It is a very big transfer of power."

It sounds to me like he knew exactly what he was proposing, and in spite of his warning, he still arranged for the vote on GATT, he still voted in favor of it, and as much as anyone else, Newt Gingrich bears the responsibility for transferring American sovereignty on matters

having to do with foreign trade to an international authority. This betrayal was a direct assault on our Constitution.

A staunch fighter against progressive ideas, former Ron Paul Congressional staffer and freelance writer, Rebecca Terrell called Newt Gingrich's Contract with America a "Congressional con-job" and a "public relations smoke screen." Her entire article can be read in the Dec. 7, 2009 issue of The New American.

Outside of a brief mention of the words "Promote the general welfare" in The Preamble to the Constitution which is repeated in Article 1 Section 8 Clause 1, the Constitution says nothing at all about creating government entitlements or public welfare programs! Some of the taxes which The Contract with America only purposed to reduce, that go to support government funded welfare programs have no basis at all in the US Constitution. Instead of just being reduced, they should have been eliminated altogether!

More than ten years after Newt Gingrich left office in 1999, and almost twenty years after The Contract with America in 1994, the spending levels for all of these social welfare programs have increased dramatically. That's why The Contract with America didn't change much, because it didn't pull up the 'unconstitutional weeds' by their roots. It just mowed them back a little bit. And we all know what happens after you mow the weeds. They just grow back again!

If Newt Gingrich was a true Constitutional conservative, then he would have voted against, and worked to eliminate, unconstitutional programs when he had both the power and the opportunity to do so, instead of just reducing them. Six years after the Contract with America, the President of the CATO Institute, Ed Crane wrote in the November 2000 issue of Forbes Magazine that "The combined budgets of the 95 major programs that the Contract with America promised to eliminate have increased by 13%...Over the years [since then] the Republican controlled Congress has approved discretionary spending that exceeded Bill Clinton's requests." That's why the people were disappointed with the Contract with America. It wasn't nearly enough, and what little it did accomplish didn't last!

President Clinton thanked Newt Gingrich for his support of The Violent Crime and Law Enforcement Act of 1994. That law placed additional restrictions on our 2nd Amendment Right to possess a firearm. It also federalized certain crimes involving a firearm which has increased both the size and the scope of the Federal Government.

In 1995 Newt Gingrich made a dispassionate appeal in the well of the US House of Representatives to increase the power of the Presidency by repealing the War Powers Act. After voting for \$1.2 billion dollars in 1994 to fund increased NATO peace keeping missions, the very next year he urged President Clinton to expand the US military presence in Bosnia!

Newt has been pro abortion, pro amnesty for illegal aliens, in support of higher taxes at one time or another, and in favor of expanding the role of the Federal government. He is viewed as being anti-family by many, not only because of his pro choice stance on abortion, but also for his support of gay marriage, and because he has twice divorced and been married three different times. Actions speak louder than words!

In 1994, Newt Gingrich described himself as a fan of the ideas espoused in the book, The Third Wave by Alvin Toffler, in which homosexuality, promiscuity, adultery, divorce, and abortion are all viewed as perfectly normal and even desirable. He said that in order to understand him, you should read the book. This book is written from the perspective of

someone who is writing a letter to America's Founding Fathers, in which he describes the Constitution and the principles of limited government that guided our Founding Fathers as becoming increasingly irrelevant, and obsolete, and hence in need of being radically redesigned and replaced!

In fact the book goes on to state that our current system of government must be overhauled and a new system of democracy for the 21st century must be developed. This is the New World Order that Toffler speaks of, and Newt Gingrich is a BIG FAN of it!

And not just Newt, but this has been the special pet project of the Progressive Establishment in this country for over 100 years. When Newt Gingrich became the Speaker of the House in 1994, he recommended *The Third Wave* as required reading for all of his Congressional colleagues. He also wrote the forward to another one of Toffler's books, *The Politics of the Third Wave, Creating a New Civilization*. That doesn't sound much different to me than a New World Order. So the former Speaker's ideas about Toffler's book, *The Third Wave* or his ideas about a new civilization sound remarkably similar to the New World Order or the One World Government embraced by progressives. Is this just a coincidence or is there a connection?

One of the main bulwarks of the Progressive Establishment is the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR). For over twenty years since 1990, Newt Gingrich has been a member of the powerful Council on Foreign Relations. Since 1921 the CFR has been single mindedly dedicated to the goal of undermining our National Sovereignty in order to promote a One World Government.

In a speech given to the Center For Strategic and International Affairs in 1995, Newt Gingrich said, "The American challenge in leading the world is compounded by our Constitution... Either we are going to have to re-think our Constitution or we are going to have to re-think our process of making decisions."

These are Newt Gingrich's own words! And this is his track record. It is not complete by any stretch of the imagination but it is 100% accurate. He has a record of twenty years in public office that we can judge him by. Now, he's running for public office again, and no mean office mind you, but the highest office in the land, and you would like to know if Newt Gingrich is worthy of your trust and your consideration.

Well first of all, I must tell you that I am suspicious of anyone who wants to win high public office as bad as he does. The ideal model for a good public servant is George Washington, who didn't really want the job, only reluctantly agreed to accept the job, and who only aspired to leave public office and get out of the limelight and back home to Mt. Vernon as soon as he could. In contrast, Newt Gingrich has already been in the limelight and in public office for twenty years, and he has still not had enough!

Newt represents the past and part of the reason that we are in the trouble we are in. Why don't we give someone else a chance instead of bringing back this tired, but still hungry old warhorse from out of the past?

Secondly, while it is possible that his new positions on smaller government, and lower taxes, and his recent overtures to the TEA Party are real, it is more likely that he is just posturing, like any experienced politician, and saying what he needs to say in order to get elected. In other words, he is positioning himself for 2012. But he has always claimed to be a fiscal conservative even though he hasn't always followed through on his claims. It's just that this

was as far as his claims to conservative values ever went. They never extended to limited government, adhering to the Constitution, or to any social issues!

Thirdly, they say that politics makes for strange bedfellows, but Newt's are even stranger than most! And by this, I am not referring to his past three wives. He recently partnered up with Nancy Pelosi to make a TV commercial for Al Gore's \$300 million dollar global warming ad campaign. In this 2008 commercial Newt Gingrich said, "Our country must take action to address climate change!"

Really?

At the same time, on his own website, www.newt.org he says, "I don't think that we have conclusive proof of global warming." It sounds to me like he is taking both sides of the same issue. We either have global warming/climate change, or we don't. And if we don't have it, which he implies on his website, then why is he making commercials for Al Gore claiming that we do, and that we need to do something about it?

Pardon me if I seem just a little bit confused by his vacillating back and forth on the issue, but which is it? Either we have global warming, or we don't! Either we need to take action to correct it, or we don't! Trying to be all things to all people means that you can't be anything to anyone.

In addition to Nancy Pelosi and Al Gore for strange bedfellows, apparently Newt was also partnering up with the likes of Al Sharpton, the notorious, race baiting, left-wing agitator, and with President Obama's Secretary of Education Arne Duncan, and he has been traveling around the country promoting President Obama's so-called 'education reforms,' i.e. increased local control of public schools with increased subsidies and regulations from Washington. (Isn't that a contradiction?)

But contradictions and increased federal control is nothing new for Newt. Newt Gingrich has always been a strong proponent of increased federal funding of public education from his earliest years in Congress. He helped to get the support needed to pass the legislation that created the Department of Education in 1979, under President Jimmy Carter. Since then, federal spending on education has skyrocketed with no corresponding improvement in academic achievement. Under Newt Gingrich's leadership, the Congress increased federal spending on education in 1996 by a whopping \$3.5 billion dollars, the largest single increase in history!

Finally, in the 2009 special election for New York's 23rd Congressional District, Newt Gingrich endorsed the ultra liberal Republican candidate Dede Scozzafava, over a real Conservative Third Party Candidate, Doug Hoffman who had the support of the TEA Party, the New York Post, conservative Republican radio talk show host and Constitutional lawyer Mark Levin, and other conservatives within the New York Republican Party.

Dede Scozzafava supported same-sex marriage, big unions, and abortion. She was endorsed by Planned Parenthood and the Acorn affiliated, Working Families Party. After it appeared that she was still running in 3rd place behind both the Democratic and the Conservative Party candidates, but only drawing votes away from the Democratic candidate Bill Owens, she withdrew from the race and endorsed her liberal Democratic opponent, rather than conservative candidate, Doug Hoffman. Thus, the Democrat candidate narrowly won the race.

Newt Gingrich's initial endorsement of Dede Scozzafava cost him whatever credibility he had left with conservatives in his own party, and with members of the TEA Party. He might as well pack it all in now and save all of us Republicans a whole lot of time and trouble arguing over the prospect of his potential candidacy!