Ideology differences carry over to Culver, Branstad tax plans

By JASON CLAYWORTH • jclayworth@dmreg.com • October 30, 2010

Gov. Chet Culver's plan to cut income taxes would help people earning less than \$100,000 but not higher earners.

Terry Branstad's plan to cut corporate taxes would benefit corporations but wouldn't lower taxes for small businesses.

Both Culver, a Democrat, and Branstad, a Republican, agree that commercial property taxes are too high. But Culver, after campaigning on cutting commercial property taxes in 2006 but failing to make headway, isn't pushing a cut this year. Branstad proposes reducing commercial taxes by 35 percent to 40 percent.

Both candidates' plans have drawn some skepticism, even within their own parties.

Branstad supporter Kevin McLaughlin said Branstad's tax plans set up lowa for choosing winners and losers, with big business the perceived winner. McLaughlin, a Des Moines stockbroker and president of lowans for Discounted Taxes, favors reducing nearly all taxes by the same percentage so that every resident or business could share in an immediate benefit.

Charles Bruner, co-director of the lowa Fiscal Partnership, called Culver's plan appropriate but said his group would prefer to focus tax breaks more tightly on working families with children, which he says are hit harder with taxes than other groups.

Bruner's group has not endorsed a candidate. He worries that both candidates' plans could siphon revenue from services that help low- and moderate-income families.

David Swenson, an economist at lowa State University, said the difference between the plans rests largely on ideology and politics. Branstad wants to aim assistance at businesses, while Culver wants to direct help to middle- and low-income families, he said.

"It's who your primary support comes from, and,

basically, what are their talking points and what motivates that group," Swenson said.

Culver plan: Cut taxes for the middle class

THE PLAN: lowans who make less than \$100,000 would receive a tax break of about \$90 on their income taxes. Joint filers who make less than \$200,000 would receive \$180. lowans would claim the credit when they file their taxes each year.

WHO BENEFITS? About 980,000 tax filers would qualify for the credit.

WHO DOESN'T? Anyone earning more than the income limits and those who earn so little they don't pay taxes also would not qualify for the tax credit.

LOST REVENUE: About \$120 million.

THE ARGUMENTS: Culver made his proposal last week, saying lowa's economy had recovered enough to allow the state to rebate taxes. Tax relief should be aimed at middle-class lowans, he said.

Culver wants the Legislature to pass the tax break in 2011 and wants it to take effect for calendar year 2 011. The tax break would be ongoing from year to year.

Branstad ridiculed the idea.

"This is a last-minute political thing he has come up with," he said.

Ed Failor Jr., president of lowans for Tax Relief,



Print Powered By Format Dynamics

faulted the plan for discriminating against higherincome lowans. Wealthier families should not be penalized for their success, he said. The Republican-leaning group's political action committee has endorsed Branstad.

Swenson said Culver's plan would have minimal benefit for many families or the state economy. The \$180 for a family is the equivalent of a large pizza per month, he said.

The bigger question, Swenson said, is whether the tax cut will come at the cost of cuts to services most used by middle- and lower-income families, such as education.

Branstad plan: Cut corporate income taxes

THE PLAN: Branstad proposes to cut the state's top rate of income taxes on corporations, now 12 percent, by half.

WHO BENEFITS? Corporations, typically larger businesses with 75 or more shareholders. Such corporations file taxes as C-corporations and pay state corporate income tax. Roughly 28,500 C-corporations do business in lowa, according to the lowa Department of Revenue.

WHO DOESN'T? Thousands of small businesses, many of them set up as S-corporations or as limited liability corporations. These businesses generally do not pay corporate taxes. Instead, the businesses pay income taxes through their owners' personal tax filings. More than 62,000 LLCs are registered to do business in lowa, according to the lowa secretary of state's office. The state doesn't track the number of S-corporations, but officials said there are thousands. John Gilliland of the lowa Association of Business and Industry said that for many smaller businesses to see a tax break, the state's personal income tax rates would also need to be reduced. Branstad does have a separate plan to forgive all income tax on startup businesses for their first three years.

LOST REVENUE: lowa collected almost \$189.2 million in corporate income taxes last year, after factoring in various refunds. Each major corporation is so different that it's difficult to calculate the impact of a rate reduction in any given year, said Mike Lipsman of the Department of Revenue. Plus, a cut in the corporate tax is expected to prompt some businesses to reorganize to file their taxes as corporations, taking advantage of the

break. Culver has cited lost revenue of \$100 million. Branstad and Lipsman both say the cost is difficult to project and have generally refrained from attaching a number to it.

THE ARGUMENTS: lowa's top corporate tax rate — 12 percent — is the highest in the nation.

"These rating groups look at that (the 12 percent tax rate) and say, 'lowa is very uncompetitive,' " Branstad said.

Branstad's proposed cut, unveiled in January, is one of several proposals that he says will encourage business expansion and help fulfill his campaign promise to create 200,000 jobs in five years. Branstad sees lowering the cost of doing business in lowa as key to business expansion.

However, some groups that have examined the issue show lowa as already below average in the cost of doing business. In 2007, for example, the Milken Institute Cost-of-Doing-Business Index ranked lowa as having the second-lowest cost, behind South Dakota.

Lipsman, of the revenue department, argues that the effective top tax rate is actually below 6 percent because of breaks that exempt corporations from paying the full rate.

Swenson, the lowa State economist, said there is no evidence that lowa's corporate tax is unfair, despite having the nation's highest top rate. He noted that lowa's corporate tax applies only to sales made in lowa and that other tax breaks make corporate taxes a minor overall cost of doing business.



Print Powered By Format Dynamics

Branstad acknowledged that the cut might not save corporations a lot of money, but he said the lower rate would be a strong marketing tool for the state. The cut could also lead to a less complicated tax system by allowing elimination of tax breaks used to compensate for the high rate, he said.

Bruner, co-director of the lowa Fiscal Partnership, believes corporate tax cuts could further increase the disparity between lowa's rich and poor.

His group completed a review of lowa's taxes last year that showed low- and middle-income lowans pay a bigger percentage of their income on sales and property taxes than wealthier lowans do.

Through the past few decades, lowa's tax structure has become more regressive, Bruner said.

lowa's richest 1 percent of families pays roughly 6 percent of their income on state and local taxes, according to a 2007 study by the Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy. The poorest 20 percent of residents pay 11 percent, or almost twice the rate of the richest lowans.

A per-capita evaluation from the Tax Foundation, a research group in Washington, D.C., ranked lowa No. 36 in per-capita cost of corporate taxes, less than half the per-capita cost of Illinois.

Branstad plan: Cut commercial property taxes

THE PLAN: Reduce property taxes on commercial properties by 35 percent to 40 percent over a five-year period. That would lower commercial property taxes in lowa below the Midwest average, Branstad said. Now, commercial property owners pay more than twice the amount that homeowners do on similarly priced properties because of a formula known as the rollback, which discounts residential taxes

WHO BENEFITS? Owners of commercial property.

WHO DOESN'T? Owners of other types of property, such as homeowners. Branstad says other property o wners won't pay more under his plan. Culver disagrees. "Someone is going to pay more. That's just the reality," Culver said.

LOST REVENUE: Property taxes are collected by local governments, not the state. Cities, counties, school districts and other local governments would lose an estimated \$427 million in revenue, according to the

Legislative Services Agency. Branstad has said the state would funnel money to local governments to make up for commercial property tax losses.

"We're going to have to be able to hold them harmless by providing resources for them," Branstad said of local governments.

He has not explained where he would get the money for that from the state budget.

THE ARGUMENTS: Branstad says cutting commercial property taxes will cut businesses' costs, allowing them to create more jobs. It will also reduce inequities between the taxes paid by residential and commercial property owners, he said.

Debate has long simmered about whether tax cuts truly create jobs that offset revenue lost by governments.

Earlier this year, Chris Edwards, director of tax policy studies at the Cato Institute, a research group in Washington, said Branstad's idea to cut corporate taxes is "like putting up a neon sign that says 'we're open for business.' "

The group, which is officially nonpartisan, has roots in the nation's conservative movement.

But Matthew Gardner, executive director of the Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, another nonpartisan research group in Washington, said there is no obvious link between the level of different taxes and economic development.

Swenson, the lowa State economist, said states want



Print Powered By Format Dynamics

to be similar to other states in tax policies to remain competitive. He noted that some states might have what looks like an excessive rate in one area but not in another. Often, the total averages out to be similar to surrounding states, he said.

Generally, investments in human capital, such as education, pay the largest dividends in attracting further economic activity, Swenson said.

Branstad said his plan isn't about picking winners and losers. It's about addressing an existing disparity, he said.

"All of those classes have some sort of tax break, but we're still taxing commercial at 100 percent of market value," Branstad said.

However, many businesses do not pay the full rate, because local governments provide property tax breaks, such as tax abatement, as incentives to recruit and retain businesses.

lowa ranked as having the highest commercial property taxes in the Midwest in a 2009 study r eleased by the National Taxpayers Conference, a nonpartisan network of state-level taxpayer organizations. The group based its findings on property taxes on commercial buildings with an assessed value of \$500,000 in a state's largest city.

Culver, during his campaign for governor in 2006, voiced some of the same concerns about tax inequities as Branstad. He advocated cutting commercial property taxes because "we're just killing businesses."

Soon after he took office, he formed a bipartisan task force to study the issue. The group recommended an immediate injection of cash — \$25 million — from the state treasury to commercial property owners as well as further study as groundwork for long-range changes.

The recommendations went nowhere, however.

"You have very powerful special interests that don't want to change the current system because there's no such thing as revenue neutral," Culver said in an interview.

Debi Durham, a Republican and president of the Siouxland Chamber of Commerce, served on the task force. She agreed with Culver about the power of special interests to block meaningful reform.

Groups from homeowners to industrial manufacturers unite to resist any tax changes that could, even indirectly, cost them more, she said.

"I think we all knew at the end of the day the things that should be done, but what we lacked was the political will," Durham said.

"That's not a slam on one party or the governor. It will take bipartisan support to solve property tax issues, and there doesn't seem to be — on either side — a real political will to get that done," she said.



Print Powered By Format Dynamics