
News and views about the Obama Presidency, the 2010 elections and the 2012 conventions

Welcome to DCW

House Forecast: 253.6 (-3.4) Senate Forecast: 61.4 (+1.4)

DemConWatch:: The Right's Health Care Argument



List of Key Nominees Confirmation Hearing Schedule

Nominee Count Nominated 254 Confirmed 146 Last Updated 6/13/09

Follow DCW on Twitter
A Guide to DemConWatch
Tags
FAQ
2008 Democratic Primary Links
2008 Democratic National
Convention Links
DemConWatch Archives '05-'08
DemConWatch Speeches
Inauguration Information
DCW Store

HOME Mobile Version



That can't be right

Pew: Widespread Support For Insuring Everyone, Obama Approval Steady

A quick comment on Ensign
A quick comment on Ensign

Olive Garden pulls Letterman ads Read more...

Advertise on DCW



Search

The Right's Health Care Argument

by: DocJess

Thu Jun 18, 2009 at 06:09:58 AM EDT

I went to the Cato Institute yesterday with high hopes.

Sometimes, a paucity of words says it all. I don't normally Twitter since @DemConWatch sends out all the DCW posts automatically. But I had Twitter open on my iPhone because I wanted to keep up with the Iranian situation, so sent this from my personal Twitter feed:

@DocJessDCW #healthcare At Cato forum. Not just wrong, but actually lying. Zero doctor input to conference.

And that about says it all. With the exception of Jon Kingsdale, executive Director of the Commonwealth Health Insurance Connector, who spoke on Massachusetts' experience with state-led Single Payer, the morning speakers were all dedicated to taking bits of information, and spinning them so they lacked veracity.

A simple example: Mr. Kingsdale said that the rate of uninsured people in Massachusetts had fallen to 2.6% since the program was implemented in 2007. Down from over 10% when they began. (Massachusetts has always had a high health insurance rate compared to most other states.) One of the other panel members, speaking immediately after Mr. Kingsdale said that he was wrong, the number was well over 5%. Mr. Kingsdale retorted that the 5% number was from late 2007, and this 2.6% number was correct, as it was from the end of 2008. The number could easily be lower at the end of 2009.

The panel then made a big deal of "full insurance" being less than 100%. They didn't seem to recognize that there will always be people who choose to live off the grid, or have just arrived to Massachusetts and are still in that intial 30 day period of switching over addresses, drivers' licenses, etc. Face it, 100% of all Americans don't have Social Security numbers.

I'll spare you from becoming as upset as I did, but will give you a few of my pick faves:

First, there was zero medical input. Not a single doctor on any of the panels. How do you talk health care without getting a single medical opinion? Everyone likes to cite the AMA, but that is a *lobbying* organization to which an increasing number of doctors do not belong. The AMA, by the way, has fought against Medicare since its inception a generation ago, and is no friend to patients.

In the opening comments, Ed Crane, Cato's president mentioned that he believes the current doctor shortage is due to payments set by Medicare. If there was no Medicare, there would be more doctors, he contends. He also pointed out that there is adequate competition because there are 1,300 insurance companies in the US. He obviously doesn't read my work. If you're non-competitive, you can't get clients.

Rep Paul Ryan (R-WI) said that "you can always fire your insurance company." I'd like him to tell this to a 50 year old diabetic. Sure, walk right out of your company-paid policy - there are tons of places waiting to insure you. Probably all 1,299 of Ed's other insurers. (Is the sarcasm dripping off the page yet?)

Michael Tanner, of Cato, said that medical mandates are "a violation of individual liberty and choice". He said the ONLY

Advertise on DCW



Menu

Username:	
Password:	
Submit	

Forget your username or password?

Make a New Account Currently 8 user(s) logged on.

Donate to DCW
Make A Donation
sitemeter.el.ell.
🖸 BOOKMARK 📲 😭 🧦
Subscribe to Posts
736 readers BY FEEDBURNER

DemConWatch on Twitter

243



1 of 3 6/18/2009 4:33 PM



Contributors:

Matt DocJess Oreo

This site is not affiliated with the DNC, DNCC, or any campaign.

Email us at demconwatch@googlegroups.com

Blog Roll

Frontloading HQ The Field MyDD

DCW in the News

AP Politico Wall Street Journal The New York Times NPR Wired US News & World Report thing close was conscription. Someone should point out to Mr. Tanner that while mandates may well deny one's ability to say "no", they don't seem to be a problem in areas like auto insurance nor homeowener's insurance. I'm betting he has both - hard to drive or own a house without them.

I had honestly tried to find some little gem that would explain why the right is so in favour of continuing the current situation with people unable to get insurance, and those with insurance paying extra for those who receive only emergency care or walk out on their bills.

No such luck.

All I can surmise is that the right is in favour of the rich. Stick with me here. Every year fewer people have insurance. They cannot pay for health care services. While I am, as a doctor, always in favour of the least intervention as a first approach, there are many cases where major, immediate, medical intervention is necessary: major accidents, strokes, heart attacks, gunshot wounds, meningitis and other very quick infections, the list goes on. I'm guessing that they just want everyone who cannot afford the thousands a year that health insurance costs to just plain die.

They say that Single Payer will be a form of rationing. And in one sense they are correct: **EVERYTHING** is rationed. Think about it. If a doctor works 10 hours a day and can see 30 patients, his/her care is rationed to the 30 people who get those appointments. It's not just medicine. Want to buy a house in a new development with 30 houses? Those houses are rationed to the first 30 people who buy them, anyone else who wants one is out of luck. Want to own the Mona Lisa? Sorry, that's been rationed to the Louvre. The trick with health care is to get the best, most appropriate, care to the most number of people. Free-market has failed miserably at that. Single payer is the way to go.

DocJess:: The Right's Health Care Argument

Tags: Health Care, (All Tags)

Print Friendly View A Send As Email

The Right's Health Care Argument | 3 comments

Yep (0.00 / 0)

That sounds about right for the Cato Institute. Lie in the face of facts and state it as god-given truth. Americans need to wake-up and refuse neoliberal groups' failed ideology any type of legitimacy.

by: Dave @ Thu Jun 18, 2009 at 09:03:11 AM CDT

great post (0.00 / 0)

my commute has changed and i don't listen to NPR as much in the mornings anymore, but I caught an interview they did with Sebelius and was pretty flabbergasted when they presented the public option as some sort of evil socialism asking "what measures are you going to take to make sure this doesn't end up as public healthcare?" The discourse on this topic is so skewed from best practices, rationality and concern for the well being of all Americans it's disgusting.

by: sarah @ Thu Jun 18, 2009 at 09:45:13 AM CDT

Bi-Partisanship and Health Care (0.00 / 0)

I've been thinking a lot about this, and while there are several roadblocks to real health care reform, one of the largest ones is the President's and congressional Democrat's fetish with bi-partisanship. Yes, I know the idea is a nice one, but it doesn't work. Never has, and never will. Not on an issue this important and, unfortunately, polarizing. It's time to stop with this consensus building and bi-partisan nonsense. Look, if Americans wanted bi-partisanship that badly, would we have elected 59 Democratic senators (counting Franken), increased the Democratic margin in the House, and elected a Democratic president with a landslide 365 EV's? I don't think so.

What Americans want, and what I want, is to get real things done, in health care, the environment, civil rights, without support from the right. President Obama might be a great

Ads by Google

Ma Health Insurance

Individual Plans at Group Rates. Great Coverage/Options. Free Quote!

 $www. Affordable\hbox{-}Health\hbox{-}Coverage.c$

Health Insurance Online

"Home of the 30 second quote" Blue Cross, Unicare, Humana, more www.lnsureco.org

Plans for Small Business

Find out why so many small businesses choose UnitedHealthcare® UHCToday.com/Business

Health Care Coverage

Massachusetts Health Care Coverage Get Fast, Free Quotes Now. USInsuranceOnline.com

Healthcare Reform

Join the Healthcare Movement with I Am Enabled. Learn More Here.

www.iamenabled.com

2 of 3 6/18/2009 4:33 PM