

The Failure of Private School Choice Was Greatly Exaggerated

Corey A. DeAngelis

July 12, 2017

Over the last year or so, many tales of woe have spread that seized on a few, preliminary studies that appeared to show negative effects for students in school choice programs. Just three days before the release of those studies, newspaper columnist Kay McSpadden myopically used the studies to conclude that "the evidence is clear, vouchers don't work."

But two new studies looking at standardized test scores—as well as additional studies by me and my colleagues at the School Choice Demonstration Project —were just released last month. It turns out the failure of choice was greatly exaggerated.

The Louisiana Scholarship Program (LSP) <u>report</u> indicates that the voucher program had large negative impacts on student math test scores for the first two years of the program. Nonetheless, these same students caught up to their peers in traditional public schools by the end of year three. In addition, researchers at the School Choice Demonstration Project found that this program also <u>improved racial integration</u> while increasing student achievement in traditional public schools through competitive pressures.

The Indiana Choice Scholarship Program (CSP) <u>study</u> revealed a similar, but perhaps more encouraging trend. Students using the program performed on par in mathematics and even made gains in English language arts by the fourth year.

This upward trend is not unusual. The <u>recent review</u> of 19 experimental voucher studies around the world conducted by researchers at the University of Arkansas shows that private school choice programs need a few years to start improving test scores. This is likely because children need to adjust to their new educational settings and private institutions must respond to the environmental shift in the market for schooling.

The positive test score trend can be interpreted in two different ways. One is that private schools in voucher programs adjust and improve after a few years of participation. The other possibility is that incentive structure for private schools shifts from a focus on character education towards a focus on test scores, since most states use test scores as their preferred educational accountability measure.

So what does the scientific evidence have to say?

There have been <u>17 experiments</u>, including the one in Louisiana, on the effects of private school choice on student achievement in the United States. Out of these studies, only two have shown negative impacts on student test scores, four have found no effects and eleven have found positive effects overall or for subgroups of students.

The scientific evidence on essential long-term outcomes is more hopeful for private school choice programs. University of Arkansas's Dr. Patrick J. Wolf led an <u>experiment</u> on the D.C. Opportunity Scholarship Program (OSP) which found that winning a random lottery to use a private school voucher increased students' likelihood of graduation by 21 percentage points. The University of Wisconsin – Madison's Dr. Joshua Cowen led a quasi-<u>experimental study</u> on the Milwaukee Parental Choice Program, finding an increase in the likelihood of graduation by four percent.

Another experiment examined charitable giving and found that Ohio voucher students are more likely to <u>donate</u>. And the only quasi-experimental study examining impacts on criminal activity, conducted by me and Patrick J. Wolf, found that Milwaukee voucher students are around half as likely to become <u>criminals</u> as adults than their traditional public school peers.

Although the "failure" of private school choice is continuously echoed by education reporters across the nation, the scientific evidence largely suggests otherwise.

Corey A. DeAngelis is a Policy Analyst at the Center for Educational Freedom at the Cato Institute and a Distinguished Doctoral Fellow in Education Policy at the Department of Education Reform at the University of Arkansas.