Only one role for women in Randian Revolution

Ayn Rand took a rather dim view of her own sex. In a famous television interview she stated that a woman should never become president. And in her novels even her most powerful female characters - like Dagny Taggert in *Atlas Shrugged* - are sexually submissive to a fault when they encounter the protean male hero.

So it is not surprising that the libertarian "movement" inspired by Ayn Rand is primarily tetosterone-fueled,

In the libertarian mind, women are naturally attracted to hyper-masculine "natural elite" - known nowadays as the mythical "Job Creator". But women, when given any power, are an impediment to the achievement of the Randian Libertarian Order because of their innate inclination to seek state welfare solutions.

This was the conclusion of Facebook billionaire Peter Thiel - a huge fan of Ayn Rand - in an **essay** he wrote for the Cato Institute:

The 1920s were the last decade in American history during which one could be genuinely optimistic about politics. Since 1920, the vast increase in welfare beneficiaries and the extension of the franchise to women — two constituencies that are notoriously tough for libertarians — have rendered the notion of "capitalist democracy" into an oxymoron.

It is a matter of faith among (predominately male) Ayn Rand followers that **America's decline began with the 19th Amendment**:

The people opposed to female suffrage proved to be right beyond their wildest predictions. As Google was celebrating the 19th amendment, a British newspaper detailed the boasting of a 26 year old woman who claims to have had sex with 5,000 different men. If Madeline Dahlgren were alive today, I am sure she would have understood the connection between female suffrage in the West and the decline of civilization.

Single mothers, rampant divorce, abortion and falling birth rates are part of the cancer that is destroying what is left of Western Civilization. But very few people (even conservatives) fail to realize that the inception of this cancer can be found in the passage of the 19th amendment.

Why is it so difficult to recruit women to the Randian libertarian movement? **Some radical libertarians.** believe that there might be a genetic explanation: IQ testing has shown that while men and women have the same mean intelligence, men have a larger standard deviation, meaning that there are both more male geniuses than female ones, but also more male retards. Lists of the most intelligent people in history are dominated by men, as are the special ed classrooms at elementary schools nationwide. This fundamental difference between the sexes explains everything from the supposed pay gap between men and women to the dearth of female scientists, engineers and CEOs. Where men are driven to succeed (and fail at it most of the time, as most don't have the ability to), women are content with being... average.

In the end - once the new Libertarian Order is realized - it will hardly matter whether women are on board:

Young men are waking up and throwing off their chains. They're refusing to enable feminism, socialism or liberalism any more. And when enough Atlases shrug, the whole thing will come crashing down. Whether some naysayers think they're "misogynistic" is irrelevant, because women don't decide the future; men do. Paul Ryan embraces not one, but two misogynistic ideologies: the ideas of Ayn Rand, and the doctrines of the Roman Catholic Church. He is smart enough to know that repeal of the 19th Amendment is not feasible. But at least he can spearhead legislation that would restrict or eliminate women's control of their own bodies. In the end, Ryan and his fellow Ayn Rand "revolutionaries" echo the views of a revolutionary from a different era- Stokely Carmichael, Leader of the Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee (SNCC) and the Black Panthers, who said:

"The only position for women in SNCC is prone."