

## IRS Data: Population Moving Out of States With Higher Taxes, More Lawyers

Mark Tapscott

February 10, 2020

Americans are leaving states with higher taxes and deficit spending, as well as more lawyers per capita, and moving to those with lower levies, more balanced budgets, and fewer attorneys, according to a new analysis of Internal Revenue Service ([IRS](#)) data.

“The bottom line in 2018 is that states attracting greater in-[migration](#) tend to be freer, lower-tax jurisdictions, with governments that do a better job of responsibly managing their finances,” Truth-in-Accounting (TIA) Research Director Bill Bergman told The Epoch Times on Feb. 10.

“The more lawyers you have, the higher your tax burdens, and the less likely your state truly balances its budget,” Bergman said.

Bergman published the [results](#) of his analysis of IRS Statistics of Income (SOI) data for 2018 (the latest available from the federal tax agency) on Jan. 30.

“The 15 states with the highest net in-migration in 2018, on this measure, were (in order) Nevada, Idaho, Arizona, South Carolina, Colorado, Delaware, North Carolina, Oregon, Washington, Florida, Tennessee, Maine, Georgia, Montana, and New Hampshire,” Bergman wrote in his analysis.

“The 15 states with the highest net out-migration in 2018, on this measure, were (in order) New York, Illinois, Connecticut, North Dakota, Louisiana, New Jersey, Massachusetts, Kansas, West Virginia, California, Mississippi, Wyoming, Maryland, Nebraska, and Rhode Island,” he continued.

Bergman excluded four energy-producing states, Wyoming, Louisiana, North Dakota, and West Virginia, because their high population losses coincided with severe drops in energy prices.

Bergman used WalletHub’s state tax-burden ranking, the Cato Institute’s “freedom” ranking of states, the frequency of states’ balanced budgets according to end-of-year audit reports, ABA data for lawyers per 10,000 residents, and TIA’s ranking for states’ per-taxpayer share of unfunded state government debt.

Other factors he examined included Winter Average Temperature from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, years since a state’s admission to the federal union, the share of unionized public sector workers per state, and the Democrat-GOP ratio in the 2016 presidential election.

Cold winters were the least important factor, according to Bergman, with a 0.18 correlation, while the 2016 vote was the second-lowest correlation at 0.30 (where 0.0 indicates no correlation

and 1.0 is the strongest correlation. It should be remembered that correlation doesn't equal causation in statistical analyses).

The highest correlation was for WalletHub's tax burden ranking at 0.65, followed by lawyers per capita at 0.52 and TIA's tax burden ranking at 0.49.

New York has the highest number of lawyers, followed by Maryland, Massachusetts, Connecticut, and Vermont. South Carolina has the fewest lawyers, followed by Arkansas, Arizona, Idaho, and South Dakota.

Bergman added that "the in-migration states don't differ much from the out-migration states on this score ... You can't blame bad winters alone for out-migration, it looks like, at least for 2018."

Americans for Tax Reform President Grover Norquist told The Epoch Times the TIA analysis has significant short- and long-term political implications.

"General movement from high-tax/high-spending states to lower-taxed/lower-spending states will tend to strengthen the limited government direction of Florida, Texas, Tennessee," Norquist said, "and in the short term, increase the clout of those benefiting from big government in losing states like California and New York."

But viewed on a longer time frame, Norquist said "these trends are not sustainable ... when enough taxpayers leave, state and local spending will have to decline. We will see blue cities go bankrupt, and we will see what the Supreme Court says about whether states can go bankrupt and start over."

Norquist said the TIA analysis also points to a fundamental problem for advocates of increased government spending and regulation.

"The problem for big government is that there is no big government without taxpayers. As taxpayers leave—and the bigger the taxpayer, the more mobile he or she is—revenue to the state will decline," he said.

"States will respond with more tax hikes, which increase out-migration, and the cycle continues until the state 'hits bottom' or voters rebel, as Californians did in 1978 with Proposition 13." He was referring to the famous "Prop 13" that capped property taxes in the Golden State at 1 percent of the full cash value.

Democratic campaign strategist Jimmy Williams, former senior economic adviser to Sen. Dick Durbin (D-Ill.), told The Epoch Times that "people go where there's a good quality of life" including "good schools, good roads, decent infrastructure," and plenty of jobs.

All of those factors are important, Williams said, however, "lower taxes certainly are an attraction, but they're just one part of the equation."