THE IRISH TIMES

Trump considers privatising airports and dams

US president's trillion-dollar infrastructure plan likely to face opposition in Congress

April 13, 2017

<u>Donald Trump</u> is considering privatising America's airports and dams as part of an infrastructure building programme that could exceed past estimates of a trillion dollars.

But the US president, bruised by the failure of his healthcare bill, could again face a combination of doubtful Democrats and sceptical conservatives threatening to scuttle the flagship project.

On Tuesday, Mr Trump hosted 16 business leaders, including the chief executives of <u>General Electric</u>, <u>IBM</u>, <u>PepsiCo</u> and Walmart, to discuss subjects including infrastructure, regulations and fixing the Veterans Administration.

<u>Philip Howard</u>, a lawyer and advocate of "government simplification", took part in a break-out session with <u>Elaine Chao</u>, the transportation secretary; <u>Bayo Ogunlesi</u>, chairman of <u>Global Infrastructure</u> Partners; and <u>Matt Rose</u>, executive chairman of the Burlington Northern Santa Fe railway, which then reported back to Mr Trump.

The president has pledged to release \$1 trillion (€943 billion) in private and public investments to repair bridges, improve the electrical grid and broadband internet, upgrade airports and potentially rebuild hospitals for veterans, but Mr Howard said Mr Trump acknowledged even this sum might not be enough.

"That was a figure that people discussed but it wasn't hard and fast and in fact I think President Trump at one point mentioned that perhaps it should be more," he told the Guardian after leaving the White House grounds. "Fixing infrastructure's really important and it's going to cost. He was very hopeful that Democrats, certainly on the infrastructure part of this, would be very cooperative."

Among the ideas discussed was the privatisation of US airports. "America can do much more than it has, and can do what other countries in <u>Europe</u> and <u>Australia</u> have done, by harnessing private capital," Mr Howard said. "So it could privatise a number of assets such as airports and dams, and get a lot of capital from that, as well as increase the tax base."

Electric vehicles

Hundreds of airports around the world have been privatised or partly privatised but, Mr Howard noted, but virtually none in America. "Experience shows that private operators actually do a better job for the customer as well as for efficiency's sake."

Last year the <u>Cato Institute</u>, a conservative thinktank, published a paper that endorsed privatising the US's more than 500 commercial airports, which are currently owned by state and local governments and rely on the federal government for capital improvements.

Mr Howard also called for an increase in public-private partnerships similar to other countries. "Government is needed for many things but it's not necessarily the most efficient mechanism to fix a road, for example," he said.

The lawyer gave his backing to a vehicle miles travelled (VMT) tax so that, as motorists moved toward electric or hybrid vehicles, drivers of those with internal combustion engines do not have to bear the burden for maintaining roads: "Every car would pay the same. You travel 10,000 miles, you pay for 10,000 miles."

On Tuesday, the Associated Press reported that the White House intends to propose a package of tax breaks meant to help spur \$1 trillion in new spending on roads, bridges and other construction over the next decade. But as part of that bill, it said, Mr Trump also wants to introduce measures to significantly shorten approval times for projects.

Mr Howard called for an overhaul of the infrastructure permitting process, cutting through red tape for faster decisions. "There's been this accretion of well-meaning laws over the last 50 years with no one in charge of drawing lines, so the process can take a decade or longer to get an infrastructure project," he said. "The effect of that is that it more than doubles the cost of infrastructure and it's also dramatically harmful to the environment, ironically, because conducting an environmental review just prolongs bottlenecks.

"So there needs to be a new mechanism, basically clear lines of authority to make decisions. Congress caused the problem and, although the administration can do certain things by itself, Congress needs to solve that problem. It's kind of a mosh pit of overlapping regulatory requirements often run by agencies with dramatically different missions that don't even like each other."