

## **Daily Policy Digest**

## **Economic Issues**

April 19, 2011

## The Future of Federal Housing Finance Policy

The federal government recently placed Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, the government chartered, privately-owned mortgage finance companies, in conservatorship. These two massive companies are profit driven, but as government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs) they also have a government-mandated mission to provide liquidity and stability to the U.S. mortgage market and to achieve certain affordable housing goals. How the two companies should exit their conservatorship has implications that reach throughout the global financial markets and are of key importance to the future of American housing finance policy, says David Reiss, a professor at Brooklyn Law School.

While the American taxpayer will be required to fund a bailout of the two companies that will be measured in the hundreds of billions of dollars, the current state of affairs presents an opportunity to reform the two companies and the manner in which the residential mortgage market is structured. In his analysis, Reiss employs a regulatory theory to construct a framework to conceptualize the possibilities for reform.

- A critical insight of this body of literature is that regulatory privilege should be presumed to be inconsistent with a competitive market, unless proven otherwise.
- The federal government's special treatment of Fannie and Freddie is an extraordinary regulatory privilege in terms of its absolute value, its impact on its competitors and its cost to the federal government.
- Regulatory theory thereby clarifies how Fannie and Freddie have relied upon their hybrid public/private structure to obtain and protect economic rents at the expense of taxpayers as well as Fannie and Freddie's competitors.

Once analyzed in the context of regulatory theory, Fannie and Freddie's future seems clear. They should be privatized so that they can compete on an even playing field with other financial institutions, and their public functions should be assumed by pure government actors, says Reiss.

Source: David Reiss, "Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and the Future of Federal Housing Finance Policy: A Study of Regulatory Privilege," Cato Institute, April 18, 2011.

For text:

http://www.cato.org/pub\_display.php?pub\_id=13021

For study:

 $\underline{http://www.cato.org/pubs/pas/PA674.pdf}$ 

For more on Economic Issues:

http://www.ncpa.org/sub/dpd/index.php?Article\_Category=17